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Abstract
This paper reports on a study that explored the best ways to design e learning in order to provide better access for adult learners with disabilities. Two districts from the Central Region of Ghana were selected and two major research questions guided the study. The five-point Likert scale was employed between May and August of 2014. The two questions asked were: The kind of issues that should be taken into account in the design and management of accessible e learning? And what problems need be resolved to have accessibility solutions for e-learning by the diverse adult learner population in the region? The results confirm existing insights about accessibility considerations in Ghana, other African countries and the world at large for the disabled, showing that Ghana and other African countries are not unique in terms of accessibility requirements in designing e learning in order to provide better access for adult learners with disabilities
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Structured practitioner notes

What is already known about this topic
i. people with disabilities encounter all the same problems that people without disabilities do
ii. The lower the level of accessibility of a resource for an individual, the less usable it will be for them
iii. improved accessibility for disabled users promotes usability for all
iv. Ghanaian students studied in a private tertiary school did not respond favorably to online teaching approaches
What this paper adds

i. Online teaching approaches experienced by Ghanaian adult learners fail in accessibility considerations

ii. Designing for effective interactivity of learners will help connect learners with the content

iii. Cautious use of analogies, examples and scenarios will engage disabled and able learners effectively

iv. Effective use of communication tools will enhance accessibility to disabled and able learners

Implications for practice and/or policy

i. Implementation of learner-centred approaches and support to enhance learning are critical for learner success, particularly disabled learners.

ii. Increased effectiveness in accessibility design

iii. Need for National policy or law on accessibility consideration for learners especially disabled ones
**Introduction**

This study was motivated by some questions pertaining to management interfaces of modern e-learning system and environment {well designed interfaces like good educators and instructional materials should build a teacher-student relationship that guides users to learn and enjoy what they do (Shojar, Barzegar, Aeini, & Rashidi; 2015)} and how to comply with accessibility guidelines {accessibility guidelines explain how to make user agents accessible to people with disabilities, particularly to increase accessibility to web content.} 

User agents include Web browsers, media players and assistive technologies which are software that some people with disabilities use in interacting with computers (UAAG, 2005)}, and whether the application of such guidelines primarily aligns with the student, or content-user interface to the system in an attempt by the School of Continuing and Distance Education of the University of Ghana to respond effectively to the needs of adult learners in the use of instructional design technology for developing essential knowledge, skills, and attitudes for lifelong learning. The current study asked the following two questions: What are the kind of issues that should be taken into account in the design and management of accessible e learning in the Central Region of Ghana? What problems need be resolved to have accessibility solutions for e-learning by the diverse adult learner population in the region?

show that online learning in higher education in sub-Saharan Africa poses a great challenge as this mode of instruction delivery relies solely on the available information and communication technology infrastructure and the fact that most institutions within the sub-region are currently in a state of crises – having to cope with collapsing infrastructure, brain drain, and dwindling financial resources, whilst under increasing pressure to cater for larger student populations.

Despite these constraints, online learning is still being touted as the only and best possible solution to the problem of access to quality higher education in Sub-Saharan Africa (Asunka, 2008). Although, online learning has been seen to benefit everyone, students with disabilities still experience web barriers that prevent them from benefiting from online learning (Ndeya-Ndereya, 2012). Such students according to Ndeya-Ndereya often struggle with some aspects of learning because most online courses are not accessible to students with disabilities. According to Burgstahler, Corrigan & McCarter, 2004: 234), courses that are designed to be accessible to all potential students, including those with disabilities, offer opportunities to level the playing fields for people with disabilities. Furthermore, CANnect, (2011); observe that engaging in accessible course design has been proven to be a proactive approach in which online courses are created, taking into consideration the needs of diverse learners (in age, gender, backgrounds, learning styles, abilities and disabilities.

Bugler (2013) revealed that crucial assistive technology in education ranges from low-tech options such as reading stands to high-cost computer technology such as Braille display. She further showed that although text-to-speech software has vastly improved opportunities for those with disabilities to engage with ICTs, the real deal comes in the
shape of hardware complete with loudspeakers, a Braille keyboard and screen-reader software which converts all text on a screen to audio output. She noted that, all these assistive technologies come at a great cost and wondered how African educational Institutions could make accessibility in online learning a reality for the disabled.

**Literature review**

Cooper, Colwell & Jelfs (2007), makes it clear that, if e-learning research and development projects are to be successfully adopted in the real-world teaching and learning contexts, they must effectively address accessibility and usability issues.

*Defining accessibility*

The Lincolnshire County Council, (2010), defined accessibility as the process that aims to promote social inclusion by helping people from disadvantaged groups or areas access jobs and essential services. Accessibility focuses on making things usable by people with disabilities, including temporary disabilities. Designing for functional limitations overlaps with designing for situational limitations (Suwannawut, 2011).

Accessibility has also been defined as the ability of the learning environment to adjust to the needs of all learners (IMS Global Learning Consortium, 2002). “Accessibility” is thus determined by the flexibility of the e-learning system or learning resource to meet the needs and preferences of all users. These needs and preferences may arise from their environment (e.g. working in a noisy environment), the tools they use (e.g. assistive technologies such as screen-readers, voice-recognition tools or alternative keyboards, etc.) or a disability in the conventional sense (Cooper et al, 2007).
**Distinction between accessibility and usability**

Shneiderman (2000) observe that people with disabilities encounter all the same problems that people without disabilities do. According to Sloan et al., (2002), accessibility and usability are intrinsically linked. The lower the level of accessibility of a resource for an individual, the less usable it will be for them. In the worst case they will not be able to use it at all. Conversely, improved accessibility for disabled users promotes usability for all. Usability should play an important role in accessibility testing, since a resource presenting usability difficulties will generally present significant accessibility problems for disabled users. Even sites with a high level of accessibility can nevertheless have usability problems that may prevent people with disabilities from using them efficiently (Cooper et al, 2007).

**Students’ expectations and experience**

Asunka, (2008) show that, a cross-section of Ghanaian students studied in a private tertiary school did not respond favorably to online constructivist teaching approaches such as asynchronous discussions and ill-structured project-based learning activities, and perceived collaborative online learning within their context as a complex, more demanding and time-consuming experience. Many other studies have reported unfavourable students experiences with online learning stemming largely from improper planning and poor implementation and evaluation of e-learning approaches.
Methodology

This was an exploratory survey carried out to investigate accessibility issues and needs that confronts the diverse learner population in the Central Region (CR) of Ghana. This research was part of a bigger research carried out in the Central Region from May to August, 2014. The entire survey investigated six research questions as follows:

The questions asked are:

1. What major challenges/opportunities do learners face in pursuing e-learning in CR?
2. What accessibility/usability issues are significant in e-learning in CR?
3. What are the needs of working/ and non-working adults to pursue e-learning in CR?
4. What are the needs of disabled learners to pursue e-learning in CR?
5. What issues are discussed among system developers to provide accessible e-learning?
6. How to become cost effective in e-learning taking into consideration issues about access to resources, accessibility and usability?

In this paper only 2 of the 6 questions are addressed. Survey instruments for the current study addressed the research questions 2 and 4. The survey instruments probed respondents about what accessibility issues are important in e-learning for disabled learners. Specific issues considered in the survey instruments included; forms learning content takes, how assessments happen, how communication are handled, the design of e-learning systems, the functioning of the e-learning system interface, web interface accessibility, inclusive education options for the disabled, learning attainment and
respondents’ physical location. A second question considered by the survey instrument was the needs disabled learners’ have effective e learning in Ghana. Under this question, specific issues investigated by the survey instruments included; training needs of disabled learners for e-learning support for text browsers on the world-wide web, alternate methods for online forms, information layouts that are consistent and easy to understand, simplified and consistent design and presentation, obtaining e-test versions of books, screen enlargements, appropriate text colors and highlights, provision of visual and aural presentation of materials and provision of organizational areas such as calendar task list address book

The survey questions were measured using a five-point Likert scale. It probed the extent to which adult learners in the Central Region agreed or disagreed with concerns and statements expressed about accessibility in e learning. The survey covered two districts in the Central Region namely, the Cape Coast Metropolitan Assembly and the Abura-Asebu-Kwamankese District.

Population and sample sizes of the study
Population for the study comprised adult learners within the two Districts considered for the study in the Central Region. The study population was estimated to approximate between 500 and 600 adult learners in the two districts. The sample size was 150. Two hundred questionnaire were distributed to respondents. One hundred and fifty were returned. Out of this number, 50 indicated to have some form of disability and 100 said they have no disability but know learners with disability. Only respondents who claimed to have experienced e learning at a point in their life were included in the study.
**Questionnaire development**

The questionnaire employed Likert scale with five levels ranging from strongly agree (5 points), agree (4 points), uncertain (3 points) disagree (2 points) and strongly disagree (1 point) was used.

**Validation of instruments**

The instruments for the study was assessed for content and construct validity. Each item of the instrument was carefully analyzed and checked to ensure that it conveyed the necessary message.

**Data entry and analysis**

Quantitative data resulting from the survey was entered into the Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS). Data entered was analyzed and mean responses were examined. Descriptive Statistics (means, charts and standard deviations) were used to present results.
Results

Figure 1, shows respondents assessment on the various accessibility issues important in E-learning system in the Central Region of Ghana.

Insert Table 1 about here

Of all the accessibility issues, respondents indicated that accessibility issues in terms of learning attainment (mean = 4.3) was of major importance. Reporting in a frequency table the number of responses to each question and scale in (%) are shown in Table 1. It was perceived by the study respondents that communication handling (mean = 4.2), web interface accessibility (mean = 4.2) and all other accessibility issues (inclusive education options for the disabled, mean = 4.2; forms learning content takes, mean = 4.1; how assessments take place, mean = 4.1; E-learning system interface functioning, mean = 4.1; physical location, mean = 4.0) showed in the figure above were all important in E-Learning system.

The means of these responses clearly indicated their assessment. On assessing needs of disabled learners for e-learning, respondents made it clear that providing them alternate methods for online forms (mean = 4.3) was the major priority. Respondents indicate provision of both visual and aural presentation of material (mean= 4.2). Reporting in a frequency table the number of responses to each question and scale in (%) are shown in Table 2. A simplified and consistent design and presentation of the e-learning platform (mean= 4.2) and also providing them e-test versions of books (mean= 4.2) for the disabled. Respondents perceived other needs mentioned are listed in the table 2. They
include: Support for text browsers on the world-wide-web, (mean = 4.1); providing information layouts that are consistent and easy to understand (mean = 4.1); Screen enlargements (mean = 4.1); providing appropriate text colors and highlights (mean = 4.1) and providing areas for better organization such as calendar, task list and address book (mean = 3.9).

Insert Table 2 about here

Discussion

Learning attainment

This study has identified the major needs and accessibility issues that are of Importance to learners in the Central Region of Ghana. Specifically respondents have agreed in their responses that learning attainment (the propensity for disabled learners to reach, or succeed in getting to the content, interacting with the content and learning appreciably from the e-learning experience) was an important issue to them. VITA (undated), identifies three things that instructional designers must do to design accessible e-learning course that meets accessibility standards and that appreciably improves learning attainment for all learners.

Designing for effective interactivity, cautious use of analogies, examples and scenarios to engage learners in such a way that they can interact with the content being displayed and learn from the experience. Avoiding the use of analogies, examples and scenarios that are exclusive to non-disabled learners have been found to be helpful. For example, using examples like ‘riding a bicycle’
might not be perceived well by learners who are unable to ride bicycle because of their disability.

This might not help them to be engage appreciably so as to be able to learn meaningfully from the online learning experience. Similarly, the use of examples that depict ‘seeing things’ or ‘hearing tunes or notes played from a musical instrument’ might not effectively engage learners whose disabilities prevent them from being able to see or hear. Avoiding use of such examples, scenarios and analogies and using the kind that all learners (both disabled and non-disabled ones) can relate to, helps in enhancing learning attainment.

*Communication handling*

Respondents in this study have agreed that, the way communication tools are used in the design of the web-based course are important in enhancing the accessibility of e-learning courses. This agreement, conforms to what the literature on accessibility upholds. VITA, (undated) points out that, it is the responsibility of developers to ensure that all non-text elements have alternate text and that text descriptions should convey same information that is communicated by the corresponding images, movies, sounds and applets should also have Alt tags.

Using the appropriate color and the right contrast has been found to affect accessibility. It has been estimated that about 12% of men in are color blind (VITA, undated). Avoiding
the use of wrong colors (green and red) and using the right colors with the right contrast matters in ensuring accessibility in e-learning. Moreover, to enhance accessibility, it has been suggested that any information conveyed through audio, multimedia format is also available in a text format via captioning or transcription (VITA, undated). When multimedia is used as a method of delivery, it is important to ensure that the content provided is suitable and accessibility standards are adhered to.

**Conclusion**

The paper explored the accessibility issues that were of significance to potential adult e-learners from the Central Region of Ghana. Among other interesting findings, the study has shown that, learning attainment (the propensity for disabled learners to reach, or succeed in getting to the content, interacting with the content and learning appreciably from the e-learning experience) and communication handling (the way communication tools are employed in the design of the web-based course) are important to assure accessibility.

Basically all means fell between 4.0 and 4.2. Looking at the frequency tables in Table 1 and Table 2 majority of respondents agreed that the issues raised are important to support e-learning for the disabled learners. Asunka,’s (2008) study in Ghana showed that, a cross-section of Ghanaian students studied in a private tertiary school did not respond favorably to online constructivist teaching approaches such as asynchronous discussions and ill-structured project-based learning activities, and perceived collaborative online
learning within their context as a complex, more demanding and time-consuming experience.

The present study is confirming Asunka’s findings that ill-structured project-based learning activities and perceived collaborative online learning within the learner context must have failed to address all or some of the above accessibility issues adult learners in Ghana consider important for effective e-learning. Findings from the study also tie in with Ndeya-Ndereya’s (2012) beliefs that, implementation of learner-centred approaches that enhance learning are critical to ensure student success, particularly disabled learners. Based on the finding from the study and issues emerging from the discussion, it can be concluded that, increasing effectiveness in accessibility design could be achieved by providing among others, support for text browsing as well as providing simplified and consistent design presentation. Also providing screen enlargements, organizational areas, such as calendar, task list, address book and providing e-test versions of books will enhance accessibility.

Other tools to use to increase effectiveness in accessibility design will include, on-line help, and using alternative text for images, using appropriate color and contrast and employing accessible and consistent navigation technology. Designers should employ interactive elements that can effectively be integrated with assistive technologies. Vita (undated) report that the use of tool tips, mind maps and closed captioning for audio and video materials have used successfully to enhance effectiveness in accessibility design.
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Table 1. Accessibility issues important in e-learning for learners in Ghana

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Accessibility issues</th>
<th>Strongly Disagree</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>Uncertain</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Strongly Agree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Learning Attainment</td>
<td>2(1.3%)</td>
<td>20(13.3%)</td>
<td>14(9.3%)</td>
<td>65(43.3%)</td>
<td>49(32.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communication Handling</td>
<td>2(1.3%)</td>
<td>2(1.3%)</td>
<td>9(6%)</td>
<td>83(55.3%)</td>
<td>54(36%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Web interface accessibility</td>
<td>2(1.3%)</td>
<td>10(6.7%)</td>
<td>10(6.7%)</td>
<td>64(42.7%)</td>
<td>64(42.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forms Learning content takes</td>
<td>1(0.7%)</td>
<td>4(2.7%)</td>
<td>17(11.3)</td>
<td>89(59.3%)</td>
<td>39(26%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How assessment take place</td>
<td>3(2%)</td>
<td>4(2.7%)</td>
<td>12(8%)</td>
<td>92(61.3)</td>
<td>39(26%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-learning systems are designed</td>
<td>7(4.7%)</td>
<td>14(9.3%)</td>
<td>15(10%)</td>
<td>49(32.7%)</td>
<td>65(43.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-learning systems interface function</td>
<td>2(1.3%)</td>
<td>7(4.7%)</td>
<td>11(7.3%)</td>
<td>88(58.7%)</td>
<td>42(28%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inclusive education options for disabled</td>
<td>1(0.7%)</td>
<td>6(4%)</td>
<td>9(8%)</td>
<td>85(56.7%)</td>
<td>49(32.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical location</td>
<td>1(0.7%)</td>
<td>12(8%)</td>
<td>13(8.7%)</td>
<td>79(52.7%)</td>
<td>45(30%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Needs of disabled learners for e-learning in Ghana

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Needs of disabled learners</th>
<th>Strongly Disagree</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>Uncertain</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Strongly Agree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Training needs of disabled learners for e-learning; support for text browsers on the world-wide-web</td>
<td>1(0.7%)</td>
<td>6(4%)</td>
<td>17(11.3)</td>
<td>82(54.7%)</td>
<td>44(29.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alternate methods for online forms</td>
<td>1(0.7%)</td>
<td>5(3.3%)</td>
<td>17(11.3)</td>
<td>48(32%)</td>
<td>79(52.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Information layouts that are consistent and easy to understand</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9(6%)</td>
<td>14(9.3%)</td>
<td>82(54.7%)</td>
<td>45(30%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simplified and consistent design and presentation</td>
<td>7(4.7%)</td>
<td>5(3.3%)</td>
<td>7(4.7%)</td>
<td>63(42.0%)</td>
<td>68(45.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obtain e-test versions of books</td>
<td>4(2.7%)</td>
<td>6(4.0%)</td>
<td>8(5.3%)</td>
<td>71(47.3%)</td>
<td>61(40.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Screen enlargement</td>
<td>1(0.7%)</td>
<td>10(6.7%)</td>
<td>12(8.0%)</td>
<td>70(46.7%)</td>
<td>57(38.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appropriate text colors and highlight</td>
<td>2(1.3%)</td>
<td>11(7.3%)</td>
<td>18(12.0%)</td>
<td>53(35.3%)</td>
<td>66(44.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provision of both visual and aural presentation of material</td>
<td>4(2.7%)</td>
<td>4(2.7%)</td>
<td>17(11.3)</td>
<td>58(38.7%)</td>
<td>67(44.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provision of organizational areas such as calendar task list, address book etc.</td>
<td>2(1.3%)</td>
<td>25(16.7%)</td>
<td>13(8.7%)</td>
<td>49(32.7%)</td>
<td>61(40.7%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>