THE JOHNS HOPKINS UNIVERSITY
STUDIES IN ARCHAEOLOGY
EDITED BY DAVID M. ROBINSON
No. 4

THE NEGRO IN GREEK AND
ROMAN CIVILIZATION
A STUDY OF THE ETHIOPIAN TYPE

BY
GRACE HADLEY BEARDSLEY, Ph. D.
INSTRUCTOR IN HISTORY AND LATIN IN GOUCHER COLLEGE

BALTIMORE: THE JOHNS HOPKINS PRESS
LONDON: HUMPHREY MILFORD
OXFORD UNIVERSITY PRESS
1929
TO
W. B. H. AND J. W. H.
# CONTENTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CHAPTER</th>
<th>PAGE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Preface</td>
<td>ix</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I. The Ethiopian in Greek Literature</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II. The Introduction of the Ethiopian into Greece</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III. The Fifth Century—The Ethiopian Type on Plastic Vases</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV. The Fifth Century—The Ethiopian Type in Vase Paintings</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V. The Ethiopian Type in the Fourth Century</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VI. The Ethiopian in the Hellenistic World</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VII. Terra-Cottas</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VIII. Hellenistic Bronzes</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IX. New Hellenistic Experiments</td>
<td>101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X. The Character of the Ethiopian</td>
<td>111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XI. The Ethiopian in Roman Literature</td>
<td>115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XII. The Ethiopian in Roman Art</td>
<td>121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bibliography</td>
<td>135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Index</td>
<td>143</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## ILLUSTRATIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fig.</th>
<th>Illustration</th>
<th>TO FACE PAGE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Hydria in Vienna</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Pendant for Necklace</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Earring from Cyprus</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Attic Vase in Boston</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Drinking Cup in Boston</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>Janiform Vase in Princeton</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>Cantharus in the Vatican</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fig.</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Page</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Negress on Oenochoe in Baltimore</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Crocodile and Negro</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Negro Head from Olynthus</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Negro's Head from Olynthus</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Side View of Figure 11</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Bronze Head of an African</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Pitcher in Baltimore</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Negro in Munich</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Vase in Munich</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Vase in Munich</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Bronze Statuette from Carnuntum</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Bronze Negro Boy</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Bronze Ethiopian Boy</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Agate in Baltimore</td>
<td>109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Clay Vase in Baltimore</td>
<td>109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Ethiopian Slave Cleaning a Boot</td>
<td>109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Marble Head in Berlin</td>
<td>132</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PREFACE

No barbarian race held as continuous an interest for the Greek and Roman artist as the Ethiopian. Realistic portraits of other known races in the classical world are relatively few and belong usually to the Hellenistic and Roman eras. The negro, on the other hand, was rendered with the utmost fidelity to the racial type during the most restrained and idealistic period of Greek art. Attic vase painters who were content to indicate Orientals by their dress with scarcely any distinguishing marks of race, delineated with marked realism the woolly hair and thick lips of the Ethiopian. From its earliest appearance the popularity of the type never waned in any productive period of classical art.

Due to the humble position of the Ethiopian in Greece and the fact that realism was usually confined to smaller objects the great sculptors did not consider him a sufficiently dignified or important subject, since life-sized heads and statues are comparatively few. But for smaller objects the popularity of the type was tremendous, and is attested by a wealth of statuettes, vases, engraved gems, coins, lamps, weights, finger-rings, ear-rings, necklaces and masks from classical sites.

Literary evidence as to the status of the black race in Greek and Roman life is very slight and to supplement our knowledge one must turn to the art objects which show the type. Interest was drawn to this problem at the time when the excitement over the abolition of negro slavery was raging in the United States prior to the Civil War. The earliest important work on the subject was a monograph entitled Die Aethiopen der altclassischen Kunst, by J. Loewenherz, published in an important year in negro history, 1861. This monograph does not fulfill the promise of its title, for the examples in art are subordinated to a study of the Memnon myth and a discussion of the real and mythical Ethiopian
lands. In 1885 Von Schneider published an article\(^1\) in which he classified chronologically the examples which he knew, and which he later supplemented by a list of examples brought to his attention in the interval.\(^2\) The most important contribution to the subject has recently been made by Buschor in an article entitled *Das Krokodil des Sotades*,\(^3\) which gives a very full account of the negro on vases of the fifth century.

Other work on the question has been confined to the publication of individual specimens which have come into museum or private collections. Sometimes this has been made the basis of a substantial article as in the case of Schrader\(^4\) who compares at great length a head of a Libyan in the British Museum with a head of a negro in Berlin and who assembles some examples of Ethiopians relevant to his discussion. But in the main such articles have done no more than list a few unrelated examples of the type and make some inaccurate generalizations. This is probably due to the fact that only a few of the ancient negro portraits are well known, since only a few have been widely reproduced by illustration. The need for a new and more complete list has been frequently expressed. Wace expressed the hope that this would form a part of Bienkowski's *Corpus Barbarorum*.\(^5\) Von Schneider, who had great interest in the subject, announced his intention of supplementing his list by a more complete study but died without realizing this aim.

At the suggestion of Professor David M. Robinson this study was undertaken. Representations of the negro type have proved to be so common that a complete list is an impossibility, as practically every museum or private collection contains one or more examples. This forces us to depend on catalogues, and as many negro types occur on minor objects

---

4 *Berlin Winckelmannsprogram*, LX, 1900.
5 *B. S. A.*, X, 1903-4, p. 108.
they are not always illustrated. But the writer feels that the range of cases here given is sufficiently extended so that the principal types have all been included and she is encouraged by the very incomplete knowledge shown in previous references on the subject. She has visited many European and American museums in her study of the negro but lays no claim to a complete knowledge of all examples. The terminology has been a real difficulty, since the popular and the scientific understanding of the word "negro" are at variance. European usage in this matter is far from uniform and often careless. The German archaeologists use "Neger" and "Mohr" indiscriminately as synonymous, even Buschor in his excellent article employing them in the same sentence. Museum catalogues use one term as frequently as the other and a study of the objects shows that they are not employed to distinguish a Moor from a Sudanese but that the usage is very loose. The French archaeologists use "nègre" to cover all variations of dark skin regardless of the features or hair. This is doubtless because of more frequent contact with France's North African colonies than with those south of the Great Desert. English scholars, more familiar with Egypt, frequently call these classical negroes Nubians, a usage which has considerable warrant in that many entered Greece by way of Egypt from Nubia. The English also employ the word "negro" but the longer term Ethiopian is generally avoided.

Science limits the name "negro" to one group of African races, the Ulotrichi, the determining factor being, not the skin, but the crisply curling so-called woolly hair. The principal representatives of this group are the stock of Senegambia and Guinea, and its other outstanding characteristics are a short, broad nose, thick, projecting lips, a prominent jaw and abnormally long arms. So complicated are the racial and tribal divisions and subdivisions in Africa with their varying characteristics that the classification of the art types according to racial origins is too difficult for the archaeologist. America, with a delicate race problem on her hands, has long since dis-
regarded any scientific distinctions between the various African types, and the popular usage in this country defines a negro in the terms of the color line. Generally speaking racial feeling is directed against skin, and variations of the hair and features are not taken into account. The use of the word is further complicated by existing legal definitions such as that of the State of North Carolina, which declares any person a negro who has in his veins one-sixteenth or more of African blood.

Greek literature has no such confusion in nomenclature and gives very generally to any member of any dark-skinned tribe the name Αιθιός, which the Greek geographers derived from αἴθως and ὑψ that is to say, a man with a (sun) burned face. It is not at all restricted to the kingdom of Meroë south of Egypt. The Greek use of Αιθιόπ, therefore, closely parallels the popular use of negro and is quite at variance with its restricted scientific use. To use negro in its scientific sense in the present study would be to exclude many Ethiopians. To defer to popular usage would be unscientific and would cause frequent misunderstandings. Therefore it seems best to retain the Greek word in its English form, Ethiopian, and to indicate genuine negro types under the individual descriptions, particularly since this study limits its scope to Ethiopians in Greece and Rome and is not concerned with their original African homes.

My heartiest thanks are due to Professor David M. Robinson who has supervised and assisted in all stages of preparation with that generosity well known to all his students and to Professor Tenney Frank, who read a portion of the manuscript.
THE NEGRO IN GREEK AND ROMAN CIVILIZATION

CHAPTER I

THE ETHIOPIAN IN GREEK LITERATURE

The absence of exact geographical knowledge of Africa and eastern Asia is the basic reason for the profound confusion in the Greek mind about the Ethiopians. Appearing in Homer as the comrades of the Olympic gods, interwoven with the myths of Memnon and Andromeda, emerging actually as persons of curious appearance from the lands south of Egypt, it is small wonder that writers like Strabo and Pausanias found it difficult to reconcile them in geography and legend, and that in different periods they were identified with widely differing peoples.

The confusion begins with Homer himself, to whom Ethiopia was a land at the remotest border of the world beside the stream of Ocean. Here dwelt a blameless race of men who held sacrificial feasts which the gods attended; Zeus and the other gods in \textit{Il.} I, 423-4:

\begin{quote}
Ze\i\i\v{s} γαρ ἐσ 'Οκεανοῦ μετ' ἀμύμωνας Αἰθιοπῆς
χθιζός ἐθη κατὰ δαίμα, θεοὶ δ'άμα πάντες ἐπόντο
\end{quote}

Iris in \textit{Il.} XXIII, 205-7:

\begin{quote}
oὐχ ἐδός ἔμι γὰρ ἀντὶς ἐπ' 'Οκεανοῦ πέλεθρα,
Αἰθιόπων ἐς γαῖαν, ὡθερίζοντι ἐκατόμβας
ἀθανάτως, ἵνα δὴ καὶ ἐγὼ μεταδιώσωμαι ἱρῶν
\end{quote}

and Poseidon in \textit{Od.} I, 22-24:

\begin{quote}
'Ἀλλ' ὁ μὲν Αἰθιόπως μετεκάθε τηλόθ' ἐόντας,
Αἰθιόπας, τοῖ διχθὰ δεδαίαται, ἑχατοὶ ἀνήρων,
οἱ μὲν ὀνομαίου 'Ὑπερίωνος, οἱ δ' ἀνώτος—
\end{quote}
In another passage the Ethiopians were visited by Menelaus, *Od. IV*, 84:

Aιθιώπας θ'ικόμην καὶ Σιδωνίως καὶ 'Ερεμβοῦς

They were included in a list of places decidedly near-eastern; and with Homer begins also the conception of the two-fold Ethiopians (cf. *Od. I*, 24 as quoted above), those of the east and the west, of the rising and the setting sun. We are given no clue as to which group of Ethiopians was visited by Zeus in company with the other gods, but Poseidon seems to have visited the eastern Ethiopians, since he was in Asia Minor on his way home when he caught sight of Odysseus on his raft,¹

*Od. V*, 282-3:

Τὸν ὀ̣ ἐ̣ Αἰθιώπων ἀνίων κρείων ἐνοσίξθων

τηλαθεν ἐκ Σαλίμων ὁρέων ἰδεν·

Iris must have been visiting the Ethiopians of the west since she stops at the palace of Zephyrus on her way. But the western Ethiopians play a minor part in Greek mythology for as the Memnon myth grew in importance, the son of the Dawn who was also king of the Ethiopians, fixed them in the East, where Eos and Tithonus dwelt παρ’ Ὀκεανοίο ῥοής ἐπὶ πείρασι γαῖς (*Hymn to Aphrodite*, 228).

The Ethiopians of Homer, ἔσχατοι ἀνδρῶν, comrades of the gods rather than of men, are creatures too shadowy for any description of their personal appearance. There is no indication that they were black, no allusion to the later etymology ² which derived Ethiopians from αἴθω and ὑφ', that is (sun) burnt faces. On the other hand we can not argue that Homer had never heard of dark men because he does not specifically mention them, and in his linking of the Ethiopians so closely with the rising and the setting of the sun he can not have


² Cf. Stephanus of Byzantium, *Thesaurus s. v. Αἰθιώς; Etym. Mag. s. v. Αἴθιοπία; Suidas s. v. Αἴθιοψ*. 
been entirely unmindful of the action of the sun’s rays. It is not inconceivable to see in the western Ethiopians, who seem to have no other raison d’être than to fill a geographical gap, a subconscious reasoning that the sun must color men dark in the region where it sets not less than where it rises. But they are entirely fabulous and any attempt to place them in a fixed geographical scheme is futile, since Homer himself says that we do not know the places where the sun rises and sets, *Od. X*, 190-192:

\[ \text{ὁ φίλος, οὐ γὰρ τ’ ἔδει \ οὐ \ ζώφος \ οὐδ’ \ οὐ \ ἥδος,} \]
\[ \text{oùd’ \ οὐ \ ἥδος \ φαεομβρωτος \ εἶν’ \ ὑπὸ \ γαῖῶν} \]
\[ \text{οὐδ’ \ οὐ \ ἀνέβει.} \]

Only the Ethiopians visited by Menelaus have a faint ring of reality, as these are listed with actually existing peoples including Egyptians. If we wish to think that Homer had heard vaguely of dark men in the south it proves nothing that Menelaus visited them by ship. Shaksper in an age of greater knowledge gave a sea-coast to Bohemia.

References to Ethiopians in Hesiod are hardly more definite than in Homer. In a fragment quoted by Strabo VII, 3, 7, Hesiod lists Ethiopians with Ligurians and Scythians, people of whom Hesiod could have no very definite knowledge but who are not mythical. Löwenherz (p. 9) is wrong in saying that Hesiod has actual information about African Ethiopians since he names them together with the Libyans. There is no manuscript warrant for reading Libyans here nor any reason for substituting them unless Hesiod shows elsewhere that he knows the real location of Ethiopia. This he does not, for in *Theogony* 984-5 the Ethiopians are without a definite home, and Memnon the son of Eos is their king. Hesiod in the fragment is apparently listing a few tribes who are to him extremely remote, the extremes of north, west and south. Nor is there in Hesiod any specific reference to the Ethiopian

---

color, though nameless dark men in the south are referred to for the first time in the Works and Days where (527) it is said that in winter the sun goes ἐπὶ κυανέων ἀνδρῶν δῆμον τε πόλιν τε. In spite of the gloss, Αἰθιοπων-Μαύρων-κυανέων, the reference here may be to Egyptians, though the adjective κυανέων is later applied to Memnon's Ethiopians by Quintus of Smyrna, Π, 101. In a fragment of Mimnermus (Bergk 12; Diehl 10) the sun goes γαῖαν ἐς Αἰθιόπων ἵππα δῆθι θοῦν ἄρμα καὶ ἱππον | ἱστάσ', ὃς ἦργενεια μόλη. The Ethiopians are again in the East and the western Ethiopians have disappeared, at least for the time being, for Mimnermus evidently thinks of them as sufficiently fixed in the east to be synonymous with it and sufficiently mythical to be contrasted with the Hesperides.

Aeschylus is the first Greek writer to place the Ethiopians definitely in Africa. Prometheus (Prom. 808-9) refers to a dark race, κελανῶν φίλων, who dwell near the springs of the sun where the Ethiopian river is, ποταμῶς Ἀθιόπ. Were it not for mention of the Nile River and the Egyptians this would sound like a complete return to the mythical Ethiopians near the stream of Ocean. The reference to the springs of the sun and the fact that in the Suppliants (280-2) they were neighbors of the Indians show that Aeschylus' geography was very inexact. In fact the Ethiopians again recede into a mythical haze in a fragment (Nauck 192) from the Prometheus Unbound of Aeschylus quoted by Strabo, I, 2, 27:

φοινικόπεδον τ’ ἐρυμβρας ἵππον
χέιμα θαλάσσης,
χαλκοκέραυνόν τε παρ’ Ἡκανώ
λίμαν παντοτρόφον Αἰθιόπων,
ἐν’ ὁ παντόπτας Ἡλίος αἰὲ
χρωτ’ ἀθανατον κύματον θ’ ἱππων
θερμαῖς ὅδρων
μαλακοῦ προχοαίς ἀναπαύει.

Strabo, who tries hard to reconcile the Ethiopia of Homer
and Aeschylus with his own geographical knowledge, explains this passage by saying that since the stream of Ocean refreshes the sun along the whole southern belt, Aeschylus appears to place his Ethiopians along this whole belt. They are probably also the μελανστέρφων γένος (Nauck, Aes., Fr. 370) preserved by the scholiast of Apollonius Rhodius (IV, 1348) who explains that Aeschylus means those whose whole body is dark.

From the vague and unreal Ethiopians of poetry one is recalled into reality rather sharply by Herodotus' matter-of-fact description of two sets of Ethiopians who entered Greece in the army of Xerxes. Herodotus distinguishes sharply between the straight hair of the Asiatic Ethiopians and the woolly hair of those from Africa. They are not presented as corresponding to Homer's twofold Ethiopians, though Homer-loving Greeks must have considered this a verification of the poet's geographical knowledge. Herodotus shows himself a rather superficial observer of racial differences, as he mentions hair as the only distinguishing mark between the two groups, although he goes into their costumes and weapons in some detail. It is significant that nowhere does Herodotus refer to dark skin, apparently taking this for granted as understood, and showing that the identification of Ethiopians with the black races must have dated well before his time.

In view of the fact that Herodotus had discussed the type scientifically, and that vase painters had familiarized it at Athens some time before the plays of Euripides were produced, one is surprised to have the Ethiopians again retire to a mythical landscape on the world's edge as they do in a fragment of the Phaethon of Euripides. But even though the Ethiopians are again mythical they are by now surely dark; it is made explicit that Ethiopia, the country implied by the proper names, is the home of the swarthy race who daily are the first to be struck by the golden flame of the sun.

* Cf. Her. VII, 70. For the eastern Ethiopians mentioned by Herodotus, cf. Macan's note and A. H. Keane, Ethnology, C. XI.
* Cf. Nauck, Euripides, frag. 771 (quoted by Strabo 1, 2, 27).
The explanation is that the Ethiopians have become a fixed literary tradition in Greek poetry, maintaining a separate life of their own and having little to do with reality. Every literature retains certain supernatural beings who become a part of the poetical heritage of their country and who have a long literary history. This is the reason that no inconsistency was felt when poetry suddenly transplanted the Ethiopians from Africa to the extreme east. It is also the reason why the purely poetical western Ethiopians reappear in the *Argonautica* of Apollonius Rhodius (III, 1190 f.):

\[ \text{όλος \ μὲν \ ἀπωθεῖν \ ἔρεμην \ δύντο \ γαῖαν} \\
\text{ἐσπέριος, \ νεάτας \ υπὲρ \ ἄκρας \ Αἰθιοπῆων} \]

in an age when great numbers of terra-cotta figurines portrayed the negro type with a realism that often amounted to caricature. The Ethiopians of the poets—Homer, Hesiod, Mimnermus, Aeschylus, Euripides, Apollonius—are mythical or partly mythical creatures, while the writers of prose—Herodotus, Strabo, Pliny, Heliodorus—dealt with the African reality.

Whenever the mythical Ethiopians appear in conjunction with definite heroes or heroines of mythology they shrink in importance. Interest is centered in the principal actor and mention of them is purely formal, without additional description, as a part of the hero's title. They are closely associated with Memnon, a hero of the epic cycle, and with the post-Homeric myth of Andromeda, daughter of Cepheus and Cassiopeia.

Memnon * does not appear in the *Iliad* but is twice referred to in the *Odyssey*, once (though not by name) as the son of Eos who slew Antilochus (IV, 187-8), and once for his great beauty (XI, 522). It will be noted that Homer does not call

---

him the king of the Ethiopians. He is the beautiful son of Eos, and as the son of so fair a goddess he would not have been thought of as dark-skinned. His identification with the Ethiopians, whether known and not mentioned by Homer or developed soon after, seems to be a reconciliation of two distinct legends—one which placed a fabulous race of men at the place where the sun rose, and one which brought a hero son of Dawn to Troy from the sun-rise regions. It was an easy step to make the dawn hero the king of the dawn folk or Ethiopians though the association of the two always puzzled the Greeks. The practical Romans finally made Memnon himself an outright Ethiopian.

That Memnon’s association with the Ethiopians was completed before the time of Hesiod is clear, for the Theogony (984-5) names him their king. The identification must have been made before or by the Aithiopis, an epic poem assigned to Arctinus of Miletus and lost except for a few fragments and an echo in the Posthomerica of Quintus of Smyrna. The fragments do not mention Ethiopians. The central theme of the Aithiopis, judging from literary references and vase paintings, was Memnon’s participation at Troy on the Trojan side, his victory over Antilochus the son of Nestor, his death at the hands of Achilles and the grief of his mother Eos.7

Memnon was originally an eastern or Asiatic hero and many places in Asia were associated with his name. He was particularly connected with Persia where he was thought to have built Susa.8 But Pausanias says that he went from Ethiopia to Egypt, then to Susa and from there to Troy.9 For other places associated with him, see Letronne.10 Later his identification by the Greeks with the so-called “Vocal

7 Cf. Gruppe, op. cit., pp. 679-683; Robert, Scenen der Ilias und Aithiopis; Lung, Memnon.
8 Cf. Her. V, 54; VII, 151; Pausanias, IV, 31, 5.
10 La Statue vocale de Memnon; Löwenherz, op. cit., pp. 24-9.
Memnon" or statue of Amenophis at Thebes transferred him to Africa and heightened the mystery of his origin.

Asiatic also was the myth of Andromeda, whose parents Cepheus and Cassiopeia were rulers of Ethiopia. Through the command of Ammon she was bound to a rock as a sacrifice to a sea monster and saved by Perseus, who was returning from his battle with Medusa. The myth is not an early one but was well known by the fifth century B.C., where it was a subject for vase painters and dramatic writers. Sophocles and Euripides each wrote an Andromeda. The Ethiopian country of the Andromeda legend was also in antiquity a debated point. The similarity between the names Iope and Ethiopia caused the myth to be localized at Joppa, the presence of a sea monster demanding a sea-coast country. Even in the time of Josephus the traces of Andromeda's fetters were pointed out at Joppa. On the other hand, later writers believed the scene to be African and Heliodorus says that Perseus, Andromeda and Memnon were worshipped as heroes in African Ethiopia.

As Memnon because of his great beauty was evidently white, and Andromeda is white in vase paintings, the ruling caste of Ethiopia must have been considered white. But what was the color of the people ruled over? Greek writers seem to have avoided this problem by silence and the purely formal mention as given above. But the vase painter wanting to portray Memnon or Andromeda was confronted with the necessity of selecting a physiognomy for their followers or servants. Hence on certain vases treated in another chapter negro types appear. And here lies the relevancy of this

11 Cf. Hyginus, Fab. 64; Apollodorus, Bibliotheca, II, 4, 3.
13 Paus. IV, 35, 9.
14 Et. Mag. s. v. 'Iōnē.
16 Aethiopicum, IV, 8.
discussion to the problem of the Ethiopian type in art. For the painters did not create fanciful Ethiopians, but apparently reproduced negro types with which they were well acquainted. Negroes had appeared in Athens. Hence, if the legend specified Ethiopians these were the Ethiopians which the painter knew, and they are interesting more for what they can disclose of contemporary slave life in Greece than for their connection with the myth.

The accuracy of knowledge displayed in regard to the geographical Ethiopia by Greek and Roman authors, their involved grouping of the Ethiopian according to habits of eating and living and their uncertain boundaries, is outside the present question. Some time has been given to the mythical Ethiopians because in the first place they are really Greek, a product of the Greek imagination and a tradition of Greek literature. In the second place, Greek poets created the art interest in the Ethiopian type and gave it a legendary aura which can be held in large measure responsible for the curiosity which prompted the reproduction of the type in Greek art. One can almost see the potter look at his model, as he created one of those joyously realistic plastic heads of negroes, and muse "Can these be the blameless Ethiopians of Homer?"
CHAPTER II

THE INTRODUCTION OF THE ETHIOPIAN INTO GREECE

Greek literature gives but little information as to the presence of Ethiopians on Greek soil. From Herodotus we learn that they formed a part of the army of Xerxes which invaded Greece in the year 480 B.C. A casual reference in Theophrastus tells us that it was fashionable to have Ethiopian slave characters in the third century B.C. But the evidence of excavations shows that they were known even in Minoan times.


A fragment of a painted stucco relief, found at Cnossus, which shows a man's hand fingerling a necklace which has pendants in the form of heads of Ethiopian type with large triple earrings, dates from the period of Middle Minoan III. The hair is black and curly, the eyes large, the noses short and the lips thick and red. The color of the skin is a tawny yellow. From the dull orange beads and yellow faces Evans suggests that the material was gold and believes that a man is putting a necklace about a woman's neck perhaps in a wedding ceremony. Evans says that "the golden material of the necklace, coupled with the negroes' heads, seems to point to Nubia—the Egyptian 'Eldorado' as the source of the precious metal," but he also thinks it possible that the gold may have come from some other African source south of the desert by way of Libya, as there is other evidence that the Cretans had relations with the Libyans.

2. Cf. Palace of Minos, I, pp. 302, 310; figs. 228, c; 230, a, b, and c; II, p. 757, fig. 489.

Faience fragments found with the so-called Town Mosaic,

1 Her. VII, 69, 70.
which date perhaps even earlier from Middle Minoan II times, show types which Evans considers negroid from the swarthy skin color, prognathism, and shape of the torso. He believes that they form part of a siege scene and that some are in the attitude of suppliants. To Late Minoan I b, the age of the great expansion overseas, belong the remains of a fresco on which the "Minoan Captain of the Blacks" is leading the negro troops. The employment of negro auxiliaries by Minoan lords is a historical fact of great significance. Perhaps they indicate conquest in Africa where there were caravan routes to the interior of immemorial antiquity. Their employment as Palace Guards and auxiliaries on European soil is paralleled by the use of Senegalese troops in modern warfare.

3. Cf. Evans, Palace of Minos, II, pp. 756-7, pl. XIII.

From these Minoan fragments it is evident that the Cretans had some knowledge of dark races in Africa. This knowledge does not seem to have been carried over to the mainland; Mycenaean or Helladic art has not afforded any portraits of Ethiopians and it is difficult to believe with Evans that the Minoans made use of black regiments for their final conquest of a large part of the Peloponnese and Mainland Greece. In any case the art type would have died out with the Indo-European invasions. Beyond this Greek literature is silent and the many representations of the negro type in Greek art must furnish their own interpretation.

The earliest appearance of the Ethiopian type in the art of the mainland is on a series of plastic vases in the form of heads, some single and some janiform. Schneider believed that negroes entered Greece for the first time in the army of Xerxes and that their sudden appearance in art is due to the deep impression left in the minds of those who saw them. A glance at these vases convinces one that here is no memory picture. The racial type is rendered with great fidelity. Here is the true negro type, woolly-haired, prognathous, with broad nose and large everted lips. There is no doubt that Ethiopians were actually on Greek soil and that they served as models for
the potter. These vases from the evidence of their decoration and shape can now be dated in the latter part of the sixth century B.C. Consequently Ethiopians did not enter Greece for the first time in Xerxes' army, and we must look for an earlier link between Greece and Ethiopia.

The most obvious connection between the two geographically is Egypt. Here the Ethiopian had been known for centuries, and had appeared upon Egyptian monuments since the second Dynasty, roughly corresponding to the Early Minoan period. There have recently come to the Boston Museum two excellent painted limestone portraits of an Egyptian Ethiopian prince and princess dating about 3000 B.C. Dr. Reisner calls these "the earliest known portraits of negroes," but it has been wrongly denied that these are negroes by Petrie in Ancient Egypt, 1916, p. 48.

Prior to the founding of Alexandria, the strongest bond between Egypt and Greece was the city of Naucratis in the Nile delta. Flinders Petrie (Naukratis I, p. 5), and Prinz (Funde aus Naukratis, pp. 1-6) place the date of its founding by Milesian colonists in the early half of the seventh century B.C. from the evidence of its pottery and scarab industry, and from the testimony of Greek authors. By the middle of the sixth century it had achieved a marked commercial eminence. It was granted certain privileges and immunities by the government of Egypt. It was the gateway of Egypt for all foreigners, since it was the only port of the delta which foreign ships were permitted to enter. It was, therefore, the most logical place for Greeks to have their first contact with members of the Ethiopian race, and the first negroes to enter Greece were in all probability brought back by returning voyagers from Naucratis.

Naucratis was important not only as a commercial but also as an artistic center, and if we are correct in assuming that Ethiopians became known to Greece by way of this city, we should expect them to appear in the art of Naucratis before they occur in the art of the mainland. Excavations have
proved this to be the case, and the popularity of the type to have lasted into later centuries. Furthermore the founders of Naucratis were Ionic Greeks from the mainland of Asia Minor and the interrelation between the Ionian art centers in the early period is well established. There is, therefore, additional support for this conjecture in the fact that the Ethiopian type occurs on objects of the seventh and sixth centuries from Cyprus and Rhodes, two islands influenced by the art of Naucratis. Furtwängler (Griech. Vasenmalerei, text to pl. 51, pp. 255-260) assigns to an Ionian artist the well known Caeretan hydria depicting the myth of Heracles and Busiris in which Ethiopians are shown as attendants. Karo is of the opinion that the Busiris vase was made in North Africa. Buschor (Muen. Jb.-Bild. Kunst, XI, p. 36) remarks that the master who painted this hydria must have been familiar with the Naucratite fabric and types. Buschor, however, believes that Ionian artists introduced the negro type into Greek art. This does not contradict the idea that Naucratis played an important part. It only introduces an intermediary step.

The following objects have been found at Naucratis and other places outside the Greek mainland with which Naucratis had trade relations:

**Vases**


This vase fragment shows the figure of an Ethiopian from head to waist. The type is strongly marked; the lips are prominent and everted, the nose short and broad, the hair woolly. The head is in profile but the body and arms are full front. The right arm is held out from the body with the forearm extending downward. The left arm is missing above the elbow but was probably held up in the same posture as in the following figure. The shoulders are very broad and the waist narrow. Lines of white down the front of the chest and at
the right elbow seem to indicate that the figure is not nude but is wearing a close-fitting jacket with sleeves. Buschor suggests that this Ethiopian may be one of the attendants of Busiris running away before the attack of Heracles, since he considers that this story originated in Naucratis. It is equally probable that the pose, which recurs on the two following examples is a dancing one, since it is identical with the pose of a number of the revellers on the Fikellura amphora from Samos now in Altenburg. The revellers although painted in solid black are not Ethiopian in feature. The design is in black on a drab ground, with details added in purple and white. Size 2\( \frac{3}{4} \) by 2\( \frac{1}{2} \) in.


This fragment of a vase shows a figure in black on a light ground similar in pose to the preceding. The face is smaller and the features are so conventionalized that it is not certain that an Ethiopian is meant, though the black paint and similarity of pose make it probable.


This fragment of the same ware shows a figure similar to the foregoing except that the figure is preserved far enough below the knee to indicate the pose. The face also is conventionalized by the prominence of the jaw, and the black color indicates an Ethiopian. The figure is balanced on the right leg, and the left is held in the air as if dancing. White lines on the body probably indicate a garment. Ht. 1\( \frac{1}{2} \) in.

Fig. 40 on the same plate of the Naukratis publication has the same pose but a different profile and may not be meant for an Ethiopian.


This black-figured hydria depicts the myth of Heracles
FIGURE 1.

HYDRIA IN VIENNA.

Reproduced from Furtwängler-Reichhold, Griechische Vasenmalerei.
and Busiris, an Egyptian king who made sacrificial victims of all strangers. According to the legend, Heracles permitted himself to be lead to the altar without any show of resistance, but just as the rites were about to commence, turned on Busiris and his priests and killed them with his club and his bare hands. The hydria represents on one side the scene at the altar, where Heracles is despatching Busiris and the Egyptian priests. The other side shows a body-guard of five Ethiopians marching to the assistance of the prostrate king. The Ethiopians are strongly differentiated in type from the Egyptians. Their hair is very woolly and their jaw structure prominent. They are nude except for loin-cloths about their waists, and they carry hooked clubs. They march forward with much spirit and the painter has succeeded in making them life-like and comic. There are no livelier Ethiopians in Greek art. Cf. Fig. 1.


This ointment vase of faience in the form of two conjoined heads represents ethnographic types, one a bearded barbarian and the other a negro with a smooth face. The latter has a broad flat nose and thick lips. His woolly hair is indicated by squares blocked out in the faience. The vase dates from the seventh century and was made at Naukratis, though found in the Larnaca district on Cyprus.


This janiform ointment vase is similar to the foregoing though differing in the treatment of the Ethiopian’s hair. Instead of being blocked out in squares as on the Berlin vase, it is indicated by lozenge-shaped incisions with a dot in the center of each. From the same factory as Nos. 8 and 9 is
probably Arch. Anzeiger, 1928, pp. 77 ff., figs. 1 and 2. Cf. below p. 38. Also to the sixth century belongs the oenochoe from Athens in the form of a single negro head with high handle in the Metropolitan Museum (G. R. 570). The hair is indicated by rough dots in light color, the rest black.

Terra-cottas


This figurine of terra-cotta is seated in a crouching position, his right leg drawn up in front of him and his left leg drawn under him. His hands clasp his right knee and his chin rests on them. He has thick, negro-like lips, but his ears are those of a satyr. Ht. 4½ in.


This terra-cotta figurine of an Ethiopian is seated in a similar position, except that both legs are drawn up in front. Traces of red color remain. Ht. 4½ in.


Similar figurine of an Ethiopian. Ht. 4½ in.


Similar terra-cotta figurine of an Ethiopian. The right foot is broken off. Ht. 3½ in.


Similar terra-cotta figurine. Ht. 3½ in.


Terra-cotta figurine of an Ethiopian, similar in pose to no. 10. Ht. 4½ in.
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This terra-cotta figurine of an Ethiopian is seated with his right leg drawn up in front of him and his left leg drawn under him. The modelling is crude and the features are indistinct, but the broad nose and thick lips can be distinguished. The eyes are closed. There are remains of a red color on the surface. In type the figure belongs to the series found at Camirus. Ht. 0.09 m.


This is a terra-cotta figurine of an Ethiopian, similar to the figures from Camirus in the British Museum. The forehead is low, the lips large. Though found in the Cyrenaica, it undoubtedly belongs to the same series. The face is ape-like in expression. Ht. 0.09 m.

MINOR OBJECTS


Paste scarabaeus of Naucratite fabric with an Ethiopian head in high relief. It is not unnatural to find an object imported from Naucratis in Aegina, a city of commercial enterprise in the early period.


Scarabaeus of paste with a negro’s head in high relief. The lips are very full, the nose short and flat.


Paste scarabaeus similar to the foregoing.

Scarabaei of paste with the design of a human head. Buschor considers that they represent Ethiopians. This is probable, though the crudity of the work makes it hard to determine. The majority have the reverse design of a winged animal.


Mould for the front of a paste scarab. The design is the head of a negro with a grinning expression. Diam. 1 ½ in.


Steatite in the form of a scarabaeus, the convex side a negro's head. The flat under-surface has a geometric pattern.


Head of an Ethiopian carved from steatite, the features similar to those of a steatite pendant in the Metropolitan Museum. This head, however, is carved in high relief in the center of a flat oval surface of steatite. The hair is indicated by raised dots. According to Buschor it was used as a seal.


Steatite head of an Ethiopian, smaller than the foregoing. It is carved in high relief from a depression in the center of a flat, round surface. The hair is shown by means of raised dots. The expression is similar to the Ethiopian head on the ear-ring in the Metropolitan Museum, though the features are not as coarse.


Head of a negro carved from steatite. It was intended to be worn as a pendant on a necklace, as it is pierced through above the ears and is flat at the back where it would lie
Figure 2.
Pendant for Necklace. Sixth Century B.C.
Metropolitan Museum of Art.

Figure 3.
Sixth Century Earring from Cyprus.
Metropolitan Museum of Art.
against the neck. The profile is ape-like because of the prominence of the jaw and the low retreating forehead. The nose is very broad and flat, and the lips wide. The hair is indicated as woolly by a series of drilled holes. Ht. 1\(\frac{1}{2}\) in. Fig. 2.


Negro head, carved from steatite, as pendant on a gold earring. It is similar in type to the foregoing, but resembles an animal in the exaggeration of the features. The curly hair is indicated by lozenge-shaped incisions similar to those on an ointment vase in the British Museum. A novel feature is that the eye-balls are painted red. Fig. 3.


Small head of an Ethiopian of dark blue glass, found in the remains of a private house.


A thin strip of gold embossed with rosettes and conventionalized animal heads. In the center of the strip at the top is the mask of an Ethiopian on its side. The strip was found in a Bronze Age tomb in the Larnaca district where the janiform vase of faïence was found, and is probably one of the earliest instances outside of Egypt. Length 16.1 cm.

At first glance it seems difficult to generalize about objects which have so little uniformity. Naucratis may have introduced the type but its adaptations varied widely. A study of the objects reveals certain general facts. In the art of Naucratis the type occurs on vases and scarabaei. On the island of Rhodes it was adapted to small terra-cotta figurines. On Cyprus it was used as the subject of a series of black steatite heads. On Cyprus were found the two janiform ointment vases, but these belong to Naucratis, and the Caeretan hydria is affiliated with Naucratis. The explanation seems to be that in this early art of Cyprus and Rhodes the Ethiopian was considered apotropaic, while in Naucratis his apotropaic func-
tion was giving way to interest in him as an ethnographic type.

The small objects upon which the negro type is found have long been recognized as prophylactic. Two of the steatite heads, and the pendants of the Minoan necklace were obviously intended to be worn as ornaments. Now the tendency to wear or carry about on the person some small object to counteract the evil eye, ward off harm or bring good luck to the wearer is universally found. The steatite and glass heads, paste scarabaei and the gold strip ornamented with the Ethiopian mask are undoubtedly apotropaic in function. This is the reason that the negro head is always in full front, never in profile. This is the reason that the ugliness of the features has been exaggerated. The red eye-balls of the small head on the earring are repulsive and the jaw is so prominent that it seems fairly to represent an animal.

The recurrence of the type on later Greek jewelry has caused the frequent generalization that the negro in Greek art was always prophylactic. This is not true of all periods and types of objects. The Attic artists with characteristic delicacy invested the racial type with a spirit which amounts almost to charm. Ugliness of feature was never stressed. Rather they intrigued the Attic artist because of their strange and novel physiognomy, and their reminiscent association with legendary Ethiopia. But in these earlier centuries of greater superstition and lesser knowledge the small objects with the Ethiopian type are without question prophylactic.

To ward off evil influences was probably also the purpose of the terra-cotta figurines from Camirus. These small figures were all found in graves possibly with the intention of providing dead men with a slave in the next world and were certainly to keep away all harm. All the figurines show practically the same pose. The slave crouches on the ground with one or both legs drawn up in front of him. He rests his head on his hands, which clasped about his knee, and his eyes are closed as if in sleep. Probably they do not simulate
death, as the pose recurs on objects of the fifth century and the Hellenistic period which have no funerary purpose. An inscribed gem of the fifth century, now in the Corneto Museum, shows a crouching Ethiopian as the attendant of a youth who is vigorously pouring oil upon himself after some gymnastic exercises. Several early gems show the sleeping slave alone. The pose is common in statuettes of bronze as well as of terra-cotta from the Alexandrian era, one example even showing an Ethiopian street-hawker asleep in this position, with a tray of fruit in front of him and a pet monkey on his shoulder. Schneider dismisses the question with the remark that the pose was a favorite one for slaves in antiquity. While this statement seems to have been deduced from the frequent occurrence of the figurines rather than to explain them, the pose of the Camirus figurines probably has no special significance. It is a posture regularly found among races accustomed to squatting down on the earth. It was also easier to model a terra-cotta figure seated on a somewhat triangular base which supported the figure than to balance a standing figure in a fragile material.

At Naucratis the Ethiopian slave was better known than on Cyprus or Rhodes. If the Greeks there found him sufficiently ugly to be prophylactic, as evidenced by the many scarabaei, they also found him interesting as a type. It would be absurd to call the Ethiopian of the vase fragments and the Caeretan hydria prophylactic. They are the product of a joyous, almost child-like interest in a new race. The negro perhaps unfortunately has always appealed to the comic side of the Caucasian. The negro's propensity to quick laughter, his feeling for music and the dramatic and his loose-jointed dancing have always made him a popular comedian. We know from Hellenistic objects with the negro type that these characteristics have changed no more than the physiognomy, and the Greeks of Naucratis probably enjoyed them fully as much as we do. The figures on the fragments with their exaggerated eyes and queer jackets are undoubtedly
meant to be comic whether they are dancers or whether they are servants of Busiris running in terror from the on slaughts of Heracles. The artist of the Caeretan hydria is openly inviting laughter at his row of Ethiopian fighters marching with clumsy weapons to a contest already settled.

An interest in the Ethiopian features more scientific than humorous is shown by the makers of the ointment vases in which an Ethiopian is contrasted with an Asiatic. Whether or not these faces were intended as an advertisement of the country from which the perfume came, the intention here was fairly serious and the matter of setting off one type against another presented a very neat problem to the potter. These vases are extremely significant in that they are the link between the art of Naucratis and that of the mainland. The Attic artist who was the first to portray the Ethiopian type adopted the medium of the janiform ointment vase.
CHAPTER III

THE FIFTH CENTURY—THE ETHIOPIAN TYPE ON PLASTIC VASES

The Ethiopian type in the art of the mainland first appears, as has been said, on plastic ointment vases in the form of heads. These occur singly or conjoined and the type is not confined to ointment vases, being found on drinking cups and pitchers as well.

**OINTMENT VASES**


Plastic ointment vase in the form of the conjoined heads of a kantharos (*Mün. Jb. Bild. Kunst*, XI, 1919, p. 15), but the description given by Nicole, who calls it a balsamaire, specifies the spout and vertical handles of an ointment vase. Nicole states that the type of the Ethiopian is identical with that of the following vase with the love name Leagrus.


Ointment vase with cylindrical spout supported by vertical handles, in the form of a head. Perfectly preserved, it is one of the finest examples of the type. The inscription reads Δέαρος καλός. The hair is indicated by raised dots of clay. Hair, lips and eye-balls are in the color of the clay. The outlines of iris and pupil are indicated by incised lines. The nose is too sharp for the typical Ethiopian nose. Diameter of the base 0.04 m., Ht. 0.28 m.


Ethiopian’s head of same type as above. There are traces of an illegible inscription at the top. The eyes are painted white and the iris red. Ht. 0.12 m.

23

Ointment vase with a cylindrical mouth and two vertical handles above head. The hair is rendered by raised dots of clay, which are unpainted. The forehead is wrinkled. The skin was painted black, leaving the lips in the red color of the clay. There are traces of white on the eye-ball. The face has a lively expression. Ht. 0.105 m.


Vase with a lecythus mouth over an Ethiopian’s head. The hair was indicated in the clay and painted. Lips and eyes were left unpainted. Furtwaengler assigns the vase to the latter half of the fifth century. Ht. 0.115 m.


Janiform ointment vase. Both heads are Ethiopians made from the same mould. The forehead is low and retreating, the nose short and flat and the lips thick and protruding. The hair is rendered by raised dots in the clay. The flesh is painted black, but the hair and lips are left in the original clay color for contrast. White paint is applied to the eye-balls, and the pupils are painted black. The vase is referred to as having a “lecythus mouth” in the notice in the Arch. Anz. cited above. Figs. 4a and 4b.


Janiform ointment vase combining the heads of an Ethiopian and a Greek girl. The profile of the Ethiopian shows the sloping forehead, flat nose, thick lips and prominent jaw of the Boston vase. The Greek girl wears a cap upon which is painted a wreath of ivy leaves, and below it her hair is indicated by three rows of raised dots. The heads have been joined less gracefully than on the Boston vase or the Morgan
Figure 4a. Attic Vase. In Boston.

Figure 4b. Attic Vase. In Boston.
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vase (45). They are telescoped so that they have a single ear between them and the proportions are less pleasing.


Janiform ointment vase combining the heads of a negro or negress and a Greek girl. The Ethiopian's profile is identical with that of the Boston and London vases above. The Ethiopian's eyes are almond-shaped and set wide apart. The girl wears a cap painted black on which is a red-figured design of palmettes and cocks. On either side of the girl's neck, running from edge of cap to base, is the inscription *kałōs* written backward.


Ointment vase with a cylindrical spout in the form of an Ethiopian's head. The profile is very different from the Boston, London, and Paris vases. The nose is too long and pointed to be the characteristic Ethiopian's nose. The hair, however, is rendered similarly by raised dots of clay and the flesh is painted black. The lips are thick and protruding. Very lifelike. Red lips and white eyeballs.

**DRINKING CUPS**


Janiform vase, one head a white woman, the other an Ethiopian. The hair of the white woman is in rows of raised dots in the Procles technique. There is a wreath of ivy partly on her cap and partly on the mouth of the vase. The eyes are long and narrow, the lips archaic.

The Ethiopian is from the Charinus mould (p. 32). The hair was left in the color of the clay, but there are traces of brown color on the lips and eyes.
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Cantharus in the form of the conjoined heads of an Ethiopian woman and a white girl. There is a band at the top decorated with palmettes in black on a white ground. Under it is the inscription ὁ παῖς καλός. Ht. 0.193 m.


Drinking cup with one handle in the form of a negro's head, surmounted by a large round vase mouth. The wide band is painted in the black-figured technique, showing the vase to be one of the earliest of the drinking-cup group. The single handle extends from the rim of the cup to the back of the plastic head.

The hair is shown by the familiar raised dots which are left in the color of the clay. In the clay color also are the eyebrows and the thick, protruding lips. The details are painted in with elaborate care and give the combination of black-figured and red-figured head a striking appearance. The wrinkles in the forehead have been incised in the clay and those in the corner of the eyes have been added in white paint. The eye-balls have been painted a staring white and the pupils black. The surface of the skin is a glossy black. Fig. 5.


Vase in the form of a negro's head with a cup mouth with a band of red-figured painting showing satyrs. Facial type quite distinct from other heads; Greek, more cylindrical and stiff. Outline of hair different, though left in the color of the clay and not indicated by clay dots or rings. The band of red-figured painting shows a satyr of heavier build than those of Sotades. Eyes and lips are unpainted, the rest is varnished black. One-handled drinking cup. Eyebrows raised, forehead concave and does not slope, nose longer, in line with eyes. Flesh part black. Traces of red and white
Figu:e 6.
Janiform Vase.
In Collection of Mrs. James Morgan, Princeton, N. J.

Figu:e 5.
Drinking Cup.
In the Boston Museum of Fine Arts.
color on hair, lips, and eye-balls. Schaal thinks that this is an excellent portrait, but evidently does not know other good examples.

The Frankfurt vase has the peculiarity of a hole in the mouth through which wine could be poured. Schaal suggests that this may have been intended to create some sport.


Drinking cup with one handle in the form of an Ethiopian's head, dated by Buschor at about the beginning of the fifth century.


Janiform kantharos with the heads of an Ethiopian and a white girl.

45. Princeton—Mus. Coll. of Junius Morgan. Cf. Art and Archaeology, XX, 1925, p. 120.

Drinking cup in the form of the conjoined heads of a white girl and an Ethiopian, both from the Charinus mould. The white girl is identical with the signed vase of Charinus in the Corneto Museum. The negro profile is one of the series identified by the signed fragments in the Villa Giulia Museum. The white girl wears a cap decorated with an ivy pattern. The cup mouth is plain. This appears to be a replica of the vase in Bologna. Fig. 6.


Fragments of a drinking cup in the form of an Ethiopian's head. They consist of a part of the cup mouth, two pieces showing ears and two pieces of a black painted band, one of which bears the inscription. The decoration of the cup mouth is identical with that of the Charinus cup in the Corneto Museum. There is no question that these are fragments of a negro's head. Fragments of both ears show that they were painted black, and the hair around them is in raised dots
left in the color of the clay. Even the shape of the ear is identical with the Morgan vase.


Janiform cantharus which combines a head of Heracles with a negro’s head. Helbig suggests that the Ethiopian may be intended for Busiris because he is contrasted with Heracles. This seems unlikely, since the head of Heracles is also found in combination with the girl who so often forms the other half of the janiform Ethiopian vases. As Ridder suggests, the other head is Omphale. Fig. 7.


Cantharus in the form of the head of an Ethiopian woman. She wears a cap. The flesh is painted black, leaving the lips in the red color of the clay. The teeth are shown and are painted white, recalling the oenochoe in the collection of Prof. D. M. Robinson (189). The hair is indicated by wavy, incised lines instead of the usual raised dots. Eyes and eye­brows are painted. Myrtle branch on the cup mouth.


Cantharus with a band at the top ornamented with pal­mettes in the red-figured technique. The lower part is the head of a negress (Masner does not say a woman) wearing a cap, under the front of which appear a few rows of raised dots to indicate hair (Procles technique). Prominent jaw, broad nose, high cheek bones, thick lips. The work has been carefully done. The flesh is painted black, leaving hair, eye­brows and lips in the red color of the clay. The eye­balls are painted white and the teeth show white between the large, protruding lips. Pupil and iris are marked by incised circles. Behind the head is a broad red band decorated with white
borders and dots. The ear is modelled with a rosette ear-ring out of a lump of clay. Excellent and careful work of the severe style. Ht. 0.178 m.


Janiform cantharus with the conjoined heads of a white girl and an Ethiopian woman. The face of the white girl is pale and somewhat archaic in type. Above both heads is the inscription ὁ παις καλὸς ναι.

**PITCHERS—SINGLE HEADS**


Oenochoe in the form of a negro's head. The flesh is painted black. The lips and hair, which is indicated by raised dots, are left in the original color of the clay. Ht. 0.17 m.


Oenochoe similar to the above. The work is more careless. The mouth of the vase is broken off. Ht. 0.07 m.


Janiform oenochoe with trefoil top showing the conjoined head of a girl and an Ethiopian. The latter seems in this instance intended to represent a woman since only a band of raised dots indicating hair is shown, back of which is a cap painted black and decorated by a wreath of ivy leaves.


Oenochoe combining a bearded male head with the head of an Ethiopian woman. Most of the color is gone from the vase and the work is poorer than that of the other known vases of the type. The vase mouth is stocky and not graceful. Attic vase of first half of fifth century B.C. Greatest height, 0.18 m.; of face, 0.045 m. Width of face, 0.04 m. Fig. 8.
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Oenochoe in the form of a negro’s head. Ht. 0.15 m.


Vase in the form of an Ethiopian’s head. Enough of the mouth remains to show that it was probably an oenochoe. The head is covered by raised dots of clay. Forehead is sloping, eyebrows prominent, nose broad though pointed, lips thick and everted.

It was on the ointment vase, as Buschor points out, that the Ethiopian first appeared conjoined with another head in the art of Naucratis in the 7th century. And it is on the ointment vase of the sixth that it was first shown by the Attic potters. This vase form always gave the potter the greatest opportunity for display of individuality in treatment. It needed only a narrow mouth and small handles and lent itself to variety and innovation. Since its shape was not prescribed it was the starting point for novelties of design which eventually influenced other vase forms as well.

The Attic ointment vases in the form of double heads show a profound advance over those of Naucratis. The funnel-shaped vase mouth and vertical handles, while they are the same type, have been refined. The mouth rests on a more slender cylindrical neck and the handles which support its edge are less clumsy. They now rise from the side of the head instead of from the hair above the center of the forehead. The ointment vase type from Naucratis had little grace, since the chins of the two heads were enlarged and extended to meet and form the oval base upon which the vase rested. In the Athenian vases the chins are normal in outline, and the necks of the two heads are moulded together so that the vase rested upon the flat circular base at the bottom of the neck. But the similarity of the two types is so pronounced as to leave no doubt in regard to their relation.
From the ointment vase the type was soon adapted to pitchers and drinking cups with one or two handles. Some have single and some double heads.

The foregoing twenty-six vases showing Ethiopian heads have many characteristics in common. Practically all show the hair by means of tiny lumps of clay. In the technique introduced by the vase of Procles the skin is varnished black, while hair and lips are left in the color of the clay. The eyes are painted and sometimes additional details are added. All the vases show a desire for effective contrast. The hair was left dull in order to emphasize the shiny black skin. On the janiform vases the severe white face is introduced for sharp contrast. The white face and black cap are set over against the black face and dull hair. It is a type which recalls the archaic maidens from the acropolis. While the archaic smile is not pronounced, the large wide-open eyes recall the older technique.

The greatest contrast between the Greek and Ethiopian types was in features and skin. The regular, somewhat archaic nose and lips of the Greek girl offset the snub nose and protruding lips of the Ethiopian, and the pale color of her skin emphasizes the shiny, black flesh. One suspects from the spirited expression of these Ethiopian faces that the artist took the greatest pleasure in portraying them, and that the rather severe white face was introduced to contrast with the black, rather than the reverse.

The potter is more important than the painter in the case of these plastic vases. He created the mould into which these faces were pressed. That the Athenian plastic vases were pressed into moulds rather than poured can be seen from the fact that the insides of these vases are rough and show finger-marks. The points of the two parts are clearly visible on many examples. Often the lip was thrown separately on the wheel and attached. The rôle of the painter was secondary and consisted only of the painting of the features and head dress.
Once the master potter had made the mould it could easily be used again either by the potter himself or by others in his workshop. In a number of instances we have replicas of plastic ware showing that this was done. "The Greek potter did not use the mould as a labor-saving device. He employed it only where the work demanded it, as in the Athenian plastic ware. Here we sometimes find the same mould used several times... but the number of such repetitions is not great, and certainly could not indicate mass production."  

A hunt for replicas among the Ethiopian heads shows the single heads all to be distinct and individual types. But on the double heads the same mould is employed seven times—on the Boston, London, and Paris ointment vases, the Bologna and Princeton drinking cups and the Brussels pitcher. On the Boston vase two Ethiopians are conjoined. On the others the Ethiopian is combined with a white girl. Likewise this Ethiopian is more masterly than the others. Who made this splendid mould, used in at least seven surviving examples? Because the same mould of the white girl has been employed on another vase with the love-name Epilycus, these plastic vases have been attributed to Scythes, who employs the same name. On the face of it this seems slim evidence for assigning plastic vases to a man known only for painting. Perrot and Buschor come very close to the truth when they speak of these heads in conjunction with the beautiful head by Charinus in the Berlin Museum. No one seems, however, to have brought forward definite evidence connecting these double heads showing the negro type with Charinus, and the references have always avoided a definite assignment by references to the workshop or influence of Charinus or unknown artists of his circle. Even Buschor does not seem to know the signed fragments in the Villa Giulia Museum.  

To Charinus this finest of the negro heads can be assigned

---

beyond possibility of doubt. The vase in Princeton and the fragments in the Villa Giulia complete the evidence. The white girl of the Princeton vase who is conjoined with the Ethiopian head is identical with the single head in the Corneto Museum which is signed by Charinus himself. The fragments of a negro head in the Villa Giulia Museum with a cup mouth, similarly decorated showing it to be a companion piece are also signed by Charinus. The fragments showing the ear, hair and a bit of the skin are identical with the Ethiopian mould used as the other half of the Princeton vase which we know to be a replica of Charinus’ signed vase. The other vases are all replicas of this. It is not surprising that so excellent a head as our negro should be the work of a man whose skill as a potter is evident from the great beauty of his signed vases.

But it is not necessary to suppose that Charinus always used his own mould. In fact this is not possible for two of the double heads are put together so badly that the adaptation can not be the work of his own hand. The ointment vase in the British Museum shows two heads put together entirely without grace, and the Brussels pitcher leans and is not well proportioned. The Boston, Paris, and Princeton vases are well put together. It is likely that Charinus who made the mould, modelled and signed the two vases in the form of single heads with the cheese board pattern on the cup mouth, and that the other vases were made by artists of his workshop who had greater or lesser skill. This is further borne out by the fact that the painted details differ greatly on the vases from the same mould. On the signed Corneto vase the painting of the cap is very delicate and skilful, while on the Princeton vase it is very coarse.

Charinus made vases on which the painting was both black-figured and red-figured. He is placed in the early fifth century by Della Seta, but Buschor rightly places the girls’ heads by Charinus between the years 520 and 510 B.C. It was known that Charinus made both pitchers and drinking
cups. Now that the negro mould made by Charinus has been identified with him, it is evident that he—or his workshop—turned out ointment vases as well. As these are agreed to have had an earlier popularity than the pitcher and drinking cup styles, the dates 520-510 B.C. are not too early for Charinus.

The single heads of Ethiopians, as we have said, so far present no replicas. There is no chain of evidence connecting any of them with known vase painters such as we have in the case of Charinus. The majority belong in the transition period between the two wares. The Boston cup with the single handle has an elaborate black-figured design. The Vienna cantharus is red-figured. Not many of the vases are inscribed. No information is to be gained from the Vienna cantharus. On the Athens vase the love-name Leagrus shows the vase to be from the transition period as Leagrus' name occurs on black-figured and red-figured ware alike. It can not, however, help in identifying any one vase maker as it occurs on vases, the work of at least fourteen men and many others not yet identified. Calliades is known to be a maker of plastic vases and some of the unidentified heads may some time be brought into relation with him. Buschor believes the Leagrus head to be the last of the series of Ethiopian heads in Attic art.

The interpretation of these Ethiopian heads depends in some measure on whether they are meant to represent men or women. There is great disparity about this in the museum catalogues. Even the Charinus mould is interpreted in both ways. All doubt is removed here by the fact that the Brussels pitcher shows the Ethiopian with a woman's cap of exactly the same type as that of the white woman on vases. And no one who is truly familiar with the negro type of our own Southern cities can fail to realize that there is something indefinably feminine about this head particularly when viewed full in front. The oenochoe in Dr. Robinson's Collection and the canthari in St. Louis and Vienna are clearly meant to be
women, since the hair is bound up in a cap or turban similar
to that worn by the Ethiopian woman on the gem from the
Lewes Collection now in Boston. Negresses in Greek art are
not so rare as Dr. Seltman would have us believe when we
have at least eight instances before the fifth century is well
under way. On the other hand, the impression of certain
heads is as definitely masculine as these are feminine—for
instance, the Frankfurt and Boston cups with the single
handle.

The fact that the two sexes are shown on these vases pre-
cludes their interpretation as any one definite mythological
figure. Memnon and Andromeda were not considered black
in the sixth century before Christ. Dr. Seltman would like
to follow Mayor and see in the female Ethiopians a representa-
tion of the monster Lamia of Libyan origin, with whom Greek
mothers frightened their children. It is true that Dr. Rob-
inson's vase shows large teeth which do not appear in the
others. In spite of this, however, the face does not seem suffi-
ciently hideous for the conception of Lamia. She is more
probably a type which happened to interest the artist. The
other vases certainly are not meant to be Lamia. They are
not grotesques or caricatures—they are simply naturalistic.

Nor is there any basis for interpreting the off-set heads
from the point of view of any allegorical contrast such as day
and night. In such a case there would surely be some attrib-
ute such as sun's rays or stars to call attention to the mean-
ing. It is true that Pausanias in describing the Chest
of Cypselus relates that the woman who symbolizes Night holds
in her arms the two children Sleep and Death, the former
portrayed as white, the latter as black or dark (V, 18, 1),
probably in the same way as a woman holds two children on a
British Museum vase. However, the Greek word employed is
\( \mu \epsilon \lambda \alpha \varsigma \), which is nowhere a synonym for \( \alpha i \theta i \omega \phi \). Death is else-
where portrayed as black. If Death had been rendered with
the features of an Ethiopian, Pausanias would have specified
as he did in the case of the nude Ethiopian boy standing
near Memnon in Polygnotus' painting of the lower world (X, 31, 7). It is improbable that the heads on these vases have any further significance than racial contrast for the sake of conviviality.

Helbig suggested that the Ethiopian on one of the double-heads was Busiris since it was coupled with Heracles. In the first place the Ethiopian probably represents a woman. Heracles is as well known as a heavy drinker as for his Busiris episode as witnesses Euripides' Alcestis; and in the second place there could be little point in combining Busiris with a white girl as on most of the vases, or Busiris with Busiris as on the Boston vase with the two Ethiopians. The same applies to the suggested identification of the white girl with Omphale, when she appears conjoined with Heracles. It would have no point when the white girl is conjoined with the satyr or Ethiopian. In the third place Heracles' drinking gives a hint as to the reason for the type. The vases in the form of heads have certain fixed types used singly or in combination—white girls, satyrs, Heracles, Ethiopians. Perrot suggests that the white girl is a nymph or Maenad. This is probably right, for although her features are in the severe and expressionless technique of the early period, she has a vine or garland on her head-dress. All but the Ethiopian type—nymphs, satyrs, the great drinker Heracles—are appropriate types for a revel or drinking bout. The Ethiopian—perhaps an echo of the Naucratis revellers—is a novelty, something to tickle the sense of humor and add to the gayety of the feast.

Granted that these Ethiopians are taken from life, as the Charinus negro certainly is, there is much left to guesswork as to what part they played in Athenian life in the sixth century. Probably they were first brought from Naucratis whither they had been brought from some region of the upper Nile. That they were slaves is without question. They were also indubitably a great novelty in sixth century Athens and would therefore be reserved for entertainment rather than for menial work. They waited on their master's tables at banquets, as
their frequent presence on drinking cups would imply. As at least eight distinct types served as models there must have been at least eight, both men and women, in Athens. The others were probably sought as models after Charinus had popularized the type. There can be little doubt that he created a great demand for these Ethiopian vases when chance has preserved as many as eight replicas, a large number for a work-shop in Athens where vases were so seldom duplicated. The presence of Ethiopian boys on gems of the late sixth and early fifth centuries, together with these Ethiopian men and women is clear evidence of an established slave life for the race at Athens.

Everything, however, combines to show that they were never common in Athens and must have been rare in the sixth century. Theophrastus who wrote his Characters in the early third century has a man of “Petty Ambitions,” who aims to do the fashionable thing at all times. This man is careful to have an Ethiopian for his attendant. Had Ethiopian slaves been common even in Theophrastus’ time, it is not likely that the rich and fashionable would have affected them. They must have been unusual and expensive. From this it follows that they were even more rare at Athens two or three centuries before. One gets this feeling from the vases themselves, where the artist seems to have experimented in the portrayal of a new and curious race. There is no race prejudice even in the heads which offset the black type against the white. The contrast is shown in a spirit of sympathy which indicates that the artists saw in them comedy rather than homeliness.

A keen sense of the comic interest of the Ethiopians is the predominating element in the next use of the type on vases, a form which is the special study of Buschor in his article on Sotades. There exists a small group of vases, of Attic fifth century workmanship, in which a drinking cup mouth with red-figured painting is combined at the base with a plastic group showing an Ethiopian boy seized by a croco-
dile. The two somewhat unrelated parts of the cup are unified by making the tail of the crocodile curl up to form the handle of the cup. The style of painting is different in each case but the design of the plastic group is the same. The crocodile has seized the Ethiopian’s right arm in his jaws and grasps him around the waist with his left forepaw, pulling him down on his right knee. The pose of the boy gives the artist an opportunity to show his skill in modelling the muscular structure, and there is striking realism in the pain expressed by the wide open mouth and eyes. The conception of the boy struggling in the grasp of the river animal inevitably calls to mind the struggling Laocoon group, though the latter is morbidly tragic and the former comic in intent. The humorous effect is heightened by contrast with the gaiety of the scenes painted on the cup mouth above. As Buschor points out, the artist was familiar with the Ethiopian type but not with the crocodile, since the animal is far from true to life, particularly the head. He thinks it probable that the artist conceived the idea of this plastic group from stories of the Nile told by returned travellers. It seems more likely that Sotades must have seen crocodiles at some time and have attempted to reproduce them from memory. A vase signed by him was found at Meroe and is now in Boston. If he had never seen the animal it is improbable that the legs and claws would be as well rendered. The Egyptian origin of the subject is now made certain by a faience representation of a crocodile with a severed negro’s head by its side, recently acquired by the Egyptian section of the Berlin Staatliche Museum. It is published with illustrations in Archäologischer Anzeiger, 1928, pp. 77-82. It resembles in style the faience ointment vases pictured by Buschor, op. cit., figs. 49 and 50, and is probably from the same factory, dating about 600 B.C. An Italic rhytum in Naples (H. 2958) with a severed negro’s head, shows the continuance of the type (Arch. Anz., 1928, p. 81, figs. 3 and 4).

The theory which Buschor sets forward in his article is
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that this group of vases, together with others in the form of animal heads, can be assigned to Sotades, from the resemblance between the bands of painting on the cup mouths and the painting on other vases which are signed works of Sotades. The article was worked out in such detail as to leave little room for doubt, but it has been confirmed beyond dispute by the finding of Sotades’ signature upon the vase from Meroë in the form of a rhytum with a cup mouth, now in the Boston Museum of Fine Arts. The plastic group at the base is a horse and rider. Buschor is interested mainly in the animal and the band of painting; but he has also assembled many instances of the Ethiopian type in connection with the figure on these vases, and has made a classification of the vases in the form of plastic heads which paved the way for Sotades’ crocodile group. As has been said, he failed to complete the identification with Charinus.

These Attic fifth century vases are the earliest examples of the comic association of negro and crocodile, a motif very common in the magazines of humor a generation ago and still found in the souvenir statuettes sold at southern resorts. Buschor distinguishes between the crocodile vases which are of genuine Attic fifth century workmanship, and those of later Italian workmanship which were made to imitate them. The Attic examples are the following:


Drinking cup, the lower part a plastic group of an Ethiopian boy struggling with a crocodile. The cup mouth is ornamented by a band of red-figured painting showing two satyrs and two Maenads. The crocodile was painted green, with details added in black. The Ethiopian’s flesh was painted black. Eye-lids, eye-brows and hair were painted.

brown, the lips red and the teeth white. Ht. 0.24 m., length of base 0.202 m.


Vase similar to the foregoing. The band of painting on the cup mouth is different, but has the same subject, i.e., satyrs and Maenads. Ht. 0.255 m.


Vase similar to the foregoing. The band of painting is poorly preserved, but the four figures on it were warriors and women. Ht. 0.225 m.


Vase similar to the foregoing, but much restored. The band of painting shows two maidens, one in hunting garb and two draped figures. Ht. 0.235 m. Fig. 9.

To these vases which are genuine Attic examples, Buschor adds another which probably belongs in this class:


Vase similar to the foregoing. It is decorated only with a lozenge pattern and branches, which are arranged over each other in the manner of a frieze.

It is evident that there was slight use of the Ethiopian as a subject for archaic terra-cottas. One specimen is listed from Athens and the museum catalogue calls it a negro. No illustration is available by which to judge the racial type, though the catalogue calls the work crude. Since only one example seems to occur it is possible that the figurine is not intended for an Ethiopian, the crudity of the work having made this a plausible supposition.
Archaic terra-cotta figurine of an Ethiopian (?) on horseback with a basket of fruit in front of him. The back of the figure is not modelled.

There are only a few gems of the fifth century which represent the negro. An agate scarab, dating from the first quarter of the fifth century, of excellent Etruscan workmanship from Corneto, now in Boston, shows a little negro attendant holding a sponge and squatting on the ground in front of Peleus (Beazley, *The Lewes House Collection of Ancient Gems*, pl. A, 16). A Greek intaglio of the free style of the end of the fifth century, now also in Boston, shows the head of a negress with an ample kerchief wound about it. The frizzled hair appears in front and behind. She wears earrings and a necklace. It is a wonderful head with warm, rich modelling, a real masterpiece (Beazley, *op. cit.*., pl. 3, 52). The negro appears also for a brief period on the coinage of Athens and Delphi (cf. Seltman, *Athens, Its History and Coinage*, pp. 97, 200).
CHAPTER IV

THE FIFTH CENTURY—THE ETHIOPIAN TYPE IN VASE PAINTINGS.

In leaving the plastic vases and passing over to the Ethiopian type in vase painting, the mythology surrounding Ethiopia is again encountered. The myths of Greece and their representation in contemporary drama were a favorite subject of the vase painter. When the Attic artist undertook to reproduce a scene which involved characters connected with this legendary country, it was natural that he should give them the features of the Ethiopians whom he had seen, and who had already been established as an appropriate subject by the moulders of plastic vases. None of the actual rulers of Ethiopia who appear as principals in these vase paintings are themselves portrayed as black, just as they are not black in the literature. It is only such attendants, soldiers and slaves as are introduced into the scene who are given the genuine Ethiopian physiognomy. The artists could not give the ruling caste the features which they associated with a group of slaves of their own time.

There are four legends which involved the Ethiopians in their representations on Greek vases. The stories of Memnon and Andromeda, concern chiefly the mythical Ethiopia of the east; the Busiris legend is related to Egypt; and the story of Lamia is connected with Libya.

Memnon, hero of the Aithiopis and of Attic tragedy, appears on many vases, the principal subjects being his victory over Antilochus, his fight with Achilles watched by the two goddess mothers, Eos and Thetis, and the grief of Eos at his death. In proportion to the many vases showing Memnon in battle, very few show Ethiopian attendants. None of the vases showing the mourning for Memnon show followers of the Ethiopian type. As in Greek literature, when the Dawn Goddess is the important figure the idea of dark Ethiopians
is submerged. But when armed Ethiopians appear on vases they undoubtedly are connected with the Memnon myth, even when the principal character Memnon is absent. Only those vases showing Ethiopians will be given here." For the others see G. E. Lung, Memnon: Archäologische Studien zur Aethiopis.

The vases which portray Memnon with his Ethiopian warriors all show the same scene, Memnon standing between two Ethiopian warriors.


This black-figured amphora in the style of Exeias shows Memnon armed for battle and attended on either side by an Ethiopian. These two attendants are given with great realism. Their hair is woolly, their foreheads sloping and wrinkled, their noses snub and broad. One wears a short chiton and carries a pelta, the other wears a cuirass and short chiton. Both carry clubs in their right hands.

There is an inscription, Amasis, and some obscure letters which were at first read as ἅρσει and the name refers to the fallen negro. See Philadelphia vase below.


This amphora shows Memnon and his Ethiopian attendants, the latter characterized by great prominence of jaw. It is of later date and poorer workmanship than the London amphora.


This black-figured amphora, similar to the Exeias amphora
in London, shows an armed hero standing between two Ethiopians.

The vases on which Memnon does not appear, but which can undoubtedly be connected with the *Aithiopis* are the following:


Two fragments of a red-figured lutrophorus very finely drawn. Instead of a wedding scene there is a battle with portions of two Ethiopians. Dr. Brueckner assigns them to the Memnon episode.


Three fragments of a large red-figured amphora. On one a bearded and helmeted Greek warrior is piercing an Ethiopian with his spear. The piece is broken so that the Ethiopian's eyes and the top of his head are gone, and his figure is broken off at the waist, but the woolly hair and prominent jaw reveal the race of the figure. The other two fragments show the face and body of a second Ethiopian who is lying upon the ground. The closed eyes show him to be dead. The features of the Ethiopians are somewhat idealized, and there is no trace of the comic or grotesque in their pain such as is present in the crocodile vases.


On this polychrome lecythus an Ethiopian warrior with a slight beard is arraying himself in heavy armor. He wears helmet, cuirass and chiton, and a chlamys hangs behind him. His sword is hanging from his lance, which is in front of him, and he is raising his shield from the ground with both hands. Buschor suggests that the man is Memnon himself arming for battle. This is unlikely, as the vase is too early for Mem-
non himself to be thought of as Ethiopian. It is probably one of his followers.


Archaic red-figured cylix, whose interior design is an armed Ethiopian, running. He is nude, but a chlamys placed over his right shoulder hangs down on either side of his body. He holds a lance in his right hand, and carries on his left arm a shield in the shape of a pelta, decorated with a vine of black ivy. His lips are thick, his nose short and his jaw structure very prominent. In the field are some letters of an inscription, but they have not been interpreted.

Pottier says that the provenance of the vase is unknown, but it tallies in every detail, even to the illegible inscription, with the vase described by Welcker in the Bullettino, for 1837, p. 73. Diam. 0.33 m.


This black-figured amphora has scenes from the Trojan war, probably as related in the Aithiopis. On one side an armed warrior, probably Ajax, is bending over the body of Achilles, while Menelaus is killing an Ethiopian who is inscribed AMASOS and who is rendered realistically with the blood spurting from his wound. On the reverse the corpse of Antilochus lies on the ground, and three armed Greek warriors are pursuing two nude figures meant to be Ethiopians, though the faces of these have gone. Amas(i)os is probably the genitive of Amasis, as Achilles’ name is also given in the genitive. He bears a marked resemblance in features and equipment to the Ethiopian marked Amasis on the London amphora. Both carry the crescent-shaped shield and the club.
Only the pose is different. Amasis on the London vase appears with Memnon; on the Philadelphia vase he has just received a severe, probably fatal wound. The name Amasis was at first wrongly taken to be the signature of the painter in both instances. Loeschke rightly assigned the London vase to Execias, while D. M. Robinson and Furtwängler assigned the Philadelphia vase to the same master. That the vases are the work of one hand is evident from the close resemblance of the two negroes.

The most labored interpretation of the word Amasis was probably that of Leaf, who thought it referred to the white corselet of linen like those sent by King Amasis of Egypt, thus showing the Egyptian origin of Memnon. This idea became untenable when the recurrence of the word Amasis on the Philadelphia vase became known. Beyond question the name refers to the Ethiopian, even though he is not otherwise known. The natural conjecture (since these vases are too early for the Greek dramas in which Memnon figured) is that he is a character from the Aithiopis, some trusty Ethiopian follower of Memnon. The Ethiopian with a crescent-shaped shield on the red-figured cylix in Paris is probably this same Amasis. Perhaps this shape of shield was mentioned in the Aithiopis as the epic poets often gave descriptions of armor (as for example the shield of Heracles). If this Amasis is indeed an Ethiopian character of the Aithiopis the vases tell us at least this much of his rôle: that he was a companion of the armed Memnon and supported him in his duel with Antilochus. After the death of Antilochus he was pursued off the field by Greek warriors. He survived his master and after the death of Achilles finally met death at the hands of Menelaus.

Buschor connects also with the Ethiopian warriors of Memnon the trumpet blowers who appear as a shield device on several vases. Chase includes these Ethiopian trumpeters under the class of devices chosen to indicate rank, such as armed human figures and horsemen. This seems possible,
since it was undoubtedly a sign of distinction to have a rare negro slave at the time when these vases were painted. On another vase not mentioned in Chase’s article the shield device is two Ethiopians with a serpent between them. The function of the negroes may be apotropaic as well. This would not preclude the other explanations. The Ethiopian appears as a shield device on the following vases:


On this hydria, whose principal design is the judgment of Paris, are two warriors who hold one shield between them. The shield device is a serpent between two Ethiopians, one of whom is armed with a bow and quiver, the other with a club. Welcker adds “Ce sont, sans doute, des soldats de Memnon.”


An Ethiopian occurs as a shield decoration on this Attic red-figured amphora. He blows a long trumpet which he holds in his right hand. A mantle hangs over his right shoulder and left arm. His left arm and knees are bent in a comic attitude.


An Ethiopian with a long war trumpet occurs as a shield device on this black-figured amphora. He is nude except for a band at his waist from which are suspended a sword and sheath. The features are of pronounced Ethiopian type, and the angle of the left arm with hand resting on the left hip gives a comic effect. A piece is broken out so that the lower part of the figure is missing. Baumeister suggests that the
shield device may have a proleptic reference to the defeat of Memnon by Achilles, on whose shield it appears. Reinach says that the vase is attributed to Amasis, but neither Karo nor Hoppin accept it as an unsigned vase of Amasis.

With Memnon’s followers have been associated a much discussed group of alabastra, all of which have practically the same design very crudely painted in black on a dull white ground. In all, the principal figure is an Ethiopian wearing a sleeved jacket and trousers. He walks toward the spectator’s right, but his head is turned in the opposite direction. The arms are extended awkwardly at right angles to his body. In his right hand he usually holds a double axe, and over his left arm is spread a folded piece of cloth. On the majority of these vases there is in the background a palm tree and an altar or table. On a few examples a Corinthian or Boeotian helmet is lying either on the table or on the ground. The vases follow:
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p. 27; Furtwängler, Beschreibung der Vasensamml., II, p. 532, no. 2260; Winnefeld, l. c., p. 42, n. 2 and p. 44; Bethe, l. c., p. 243; Reinach, Répertoire des Vases, I, p. 412, no. 6; Graindor, l. c., p. 25, no. 6; Corey, De Amaz. Ant., p. 90.


Vase like the foregoing.


Vase in the form of a girl’s head, with a vase mouth upon which this same Ethiopian figure appears.


85. Compiègne. Cf. Heydemann, l. c., p. 37, A; Graindor, l. c., p. 25, no. 3.


One has an individual in Persian or Eastern garb chasing an Ethiopian.


92. Naples—Branteghem Coll. Cf. Heydemann, l. c., 1869, p. 36, no. 10 and no. 115; Heydemann, l. c., 1872, p. 35; Froehner, Coll. Branteghem, p. 64, no. 155; Froehner, Deux Peintures de Vases Grecs, p. 17; Reinach, Répertoire des Vases, I, p. 412, no. 5; Graindor, l. c., p. 25, no. 4; Reinach, Rev. Arch., 1913, I, p. 99.

This Ethiopian has bow and arrow instead of ax.

93. Parent Coll.—from Camirus. Cf. Froehner, Deux Peintures de Vases Grecs, p. 17; Heydemann, Arch. Zeit., 1872, p. 35 C; Winnefeld, l. c., p. 41; Bethe, l. c., p. 244; Corey, l. c., p. 90; Graindor, l. c., p. 25, no. 1.
Corey suggests that this is the same as the Naucratis vase, but the origin is apparently not the same.


95. Paris—Louvre.

There is a second vase of this type in the Louvre. Cf. Corey, p. 90.


Round plate with figures of the same style as on the alabastra and with the inscription.

100. Tübingen—University Coll.—Inv. 1362. Watzinger, *Griech. Vasen*, p. 40, no. 51, fig. 20; no. 47, pl. 16.

Fragment of the inside of a plate showing a young negro boy wearing a jacket with sleeves. He has the same pose as those on the alabastra. The work is finer. His nose, lips, and jaw are well shown. His hair is indicated by an outline of curly dots.

101. Athens—Private Coll.—from Megara. Cf. Winnefeld, l. c., p. 44, fig. p. 45; Graindor, l. c., p. 25, no. 11.

The history of the discussion about these vases is as follows: Froehner first called attention to this type of alabastrum. He assembled four examples of the type, and this number has been increased in subsequent articles by other authorities so that now at least twenty-eight examples have been published. In the following year the alabastra were discussed by Heydemann, and Cecil Smith assigned the series to Naucratis from the technique and the subject as well as from the fact that three of the examples were excavated at Rhodes, where the influence of Naucratis was strong. He agreed with Froehner in considering that the figures repre-
sent Ethiopian Amazons, since several such vases exist where the figure has a white face. On such vases, however, the palm tree, ax, table, and cloth are similar.

Winnefeld considered that the vases probably contained some product coming from Egypt, and that the recurring Ethiopian type was a sort of advertisement or announcement of the contents. Gardner's opinion that they came from Naucratis was overthrown when a fragment of a plate of the same fabric and with the same subject, but with an Athenian inscription, was published by Bethe. Bethe's interpretation was that they are a proof of the active commercial relations between Egypt and Athens at the beginning of the fifth century. Corey does not believe the figures to be Amazons, white or Ethiopian, nor does he see in them a reference to the Memnon story. He agrees with Winnefeld that the type was chosen as a sort of trade advertisement.

A new list of eighteen such vases was formed by Graindor. His view is that these Ethiopians are Asiatic, since their costume is the one generally given on vases to Amazons, Scythians and in general all barbarians who come from Asia. This is strengthened by the fact that on one example are two Ethiopians wearing Phrygian caps. Graindor believes that the figures are all soldiers, armed with the double axe and using the folded cloth as a shield. He argues that Herodotus lists Ethiopians among the armies of Xerxes and that they had probably fought at Marathon; and that it is no serious objection to his views that Herodotus describes a different costume from the one which appears on the vases. Since the Ethiopians were defeated together with the Persians, Graindor believes that this series of vases was made to flatter Greek vanity. He sees in the helmet a dedicated trophy which is a delicate reference to the Greek victory, and believes that the Ethiopian is supposed to be in flight.

Reinach, while agreeing that the vases were beyond doubt made at Athens and show some relation between that city and the Greek colonies in Egypt, is inclined to agree with
Froehner in thinking them Amazons such as appeared in combat in the opening of the Aithiopis. Perrot agrees with Winnefeld and sees in them merely a trade advertisement. They were made quickly and exported by the hundreds, hence the crudity of the drawing. Their type was a novelty and therefore became suddenly and widely popular. Buschor considers that a reference to the Memnon myth is intended. Pfuhl sees only the commercial advertisement of a favorite Egyptian perfume. D. M. Robinson suggests a connection between the Ethiopians, who as we know from gems served as bath slaves, and these alabastra containing unguents used at the bath. In this case the Ethiopian type would symbolize the bath.

The only agreement of opinion seems to be that the vases were of Athenian manufacture, were widely exported and symbolized some relationship with Egypt. The interpretations of the Ethiopian figure differ widely. That they were made at Athens is proved by the fact that two of them have Athenian inscriptions and that one such figure occurs on the popular type of vase in the form of a head. That they were widely exported is proved by their varying provenance, two having been found even in Spain. The relationship with Egypt is evident from the type of alabastrum which was used in both countries and possibly from the Ethiopian figure as well.

The view that the figure was an advertisement is undoubtedly correct. For this reason it was repeated over and over again. But merely because it degenerated into a repeated trade-mark when it became popular, it does not follow that it was originally a trade-mark only. The device when adopted must have had some particular meaning or must have made some reference to a place or event intelligible to the Greeks. Otherwise why all the details of dress—the palm tree, the table or altar and the helmet? Graindor’s view that the alabastra symbolize the Greek victory over the Ethiopians who came in Xerxes’ army is untenable. It is true that the
costumes suggest Asia; but the other points made by Grain­
dor are open to serious objection. In the first place the rep­
resentation of a contemporary event is unusual in Greek art,
particularly in contrast with Roman which is so predomi­
nantly commemorative. Aeschylus did bring the Persian
war upon the Greek stage, but in a tragedy of dignified pro­
portions, mentioning no Greek by name and placing the scene
at distant Susa. Likewise it seems inconsistent with the
Athenian pride in their tremendous victory to commemorate
it in art by picturing a humble and almost grotesque auxil­
iary. Another argument against the interpretation is that at
least four of the vases have been found at sites in Boeotia,
and such a design would not be popular in a state which
Medized. Furthermore, if the helmet is to be regarded as a
dedicated trophy, why is it a Greek helmet? Would not some
trophy more of an African or Asiatic nature have been
selected? The principal argument is that fragments of one
vase were found in Persian débris on the Acropolis, thus dat­
ing their manufacture before the Persian wars.

There are not such serious objections to the Memnon inter­
pretation. In this case the Greek helmet would be that of the
fallen Greek warrior Antilochus who had just been slain by
Memnon. The helmet has been dedicated at the altar as a
trophy and one of Memnon’s warriors looks back at it as he
leaves the scene. The Asiatic dress is appropriate since they
are assisting the besieged city of Troy in Asia. Likewise
according to one version of the story Memnon came to Troy
by way of Persia, with which he was closely associated in
legend. On the other hand, it is impossible to be certain that
an Ethiopian Amazon is not meant, fresh from a successful
duel with a Greek warrior. To those who knew the Aithiopis
the whole scene was probably clear.

It is not likely that the interpretation will be settled to the
satisfaction of everyone. The use of an Asiatic scene to sym­
bolize an African perfume does not sound consistent on the
surface. However one must not expect any consistency in
regard to Ethiopia. As has been shown in connection with the literature, the Greek mind was in complete confusion as to Ethiopia and considered it now in the East, now in Africa. A scene from the *Aithiopis* would be accepted without question as symbolic of Africa at this time when genuine Ethiopians who were so rare in Athens and interest in whom was so great, were known to have come from Africa.

Compared with the Memnon legend, other myths yield comparatively small returns in the way of representations of Ethiopians in art. A few occur on vases connected with the story of Andromeda, daughter of Cepheus and Cassiopeia. Her mother boasted rashly about her beauty, saying that she was fairer than the Nereids, and thus incurring the displeasure of Poseidon, who sent a sea monster against the land. The oracle said that the only way of escape was to deliver up Andromeda to it, and Cepheus in order to save his people had his daughter bound to a spot where she would be a prey to it. Perseus, returning from his victory over Medusa, slew the sea monster, freed the maiden and married her, though she had previously been betrothed to a certain Phineus. Just as Memnon himself is never represented with negro features, neither are these rulers of Ethiopia, though in later times the Roman Ovid describes Andromeda as swarthy. In literary tradition Andromeda went down as black, e. g., in the *Andromède* of Corneille.

One vase shows Phrygians, not Ethiopians, as the servants of Cepheus, but genuine Ethiopian faces occur on certain vases, which are listed below:


This crater illustrates the Andromeda story. The principal characters in the scene are Andromeda, Perseus, Cepheus, Aphrodite and Hermes. There is in addition a seated figure wearing a long-sleeved jacket and gayly ornamented trousers. There is a wreath on the hair and the features are unmistakably Ethiopian. This figure has been the subject of contro-
versy. It was interpreted by Froehner as a woman personifying Ethiopia. Furtwängler considers it neither a woman nor an allegorical reference to Ethiopia but offers no solution of its meaning. Bethe agrees with Furtwängler that the figure is masculine, from the clothing and the absence of any indication of the breast. He says that the figure can not be a servant since he does not carry any paraphernalia. He believes that the vase portrays Euripides' version of the Andromeda story; that the costumes are undoubtedly those of the stage and that the Ethiopian is a female figure. While this is an ingenious interpretation, it is not all impossible that the Ethiopian is a woman and that she represents Ethiopia. Personification of cities and countries was not infrequent in classical art. The figure on the Andromeda vase in London wears the same type of costume. In the fifth century Athenian fancy had confused the Ethiopians and the Amazons. The figure is obviously not meant for a servant or she would not be seated in the presence of the rulers. She is evidently of equal importance with them and the allegorical interpretation seems satisfactory. It would show that the scene of the story was Ethiopia. The vase was made in Attica about the end of the fifth century.


This hydria shows the chaining of Andromeda, not to a rock according to the more usual version of the myth, but to two upright posts. The scene is being watched by Perseus, at the extreme right, and next to him is Cepheus wearing a tiara and seated on a throne. At the center of the picture is a figure wearing a sleeved jacket and trousers, and a tiara, and supported by two Ethiopian slaves, each holding up an arm of the supported figure. To the right of this group are three Ethiopians who are preparing the ground and the stakes,
and to the left of the group are three more who are bringing up objects for the funeral rites. The supported figure is the subject of dispute. Petersen wishes to interpret it as Phineus, the betrothed suitor of Andromeda, from the height of the figure and the masculine dress, and thinks that he is bringing up the funeral objects for the sacrifice of his betrothed. The chief objection to this interpretation is that if the figure is to be taken as Phineus, the main character, Andromeda herself, is not shown on the scene. Also, this figure has the most important position in the scene, the center, and the arms are in the proper position to be fastened to the upright stakes which are already being fixed in the ground. Likewise the piteous expression is more appropriate to the victim than a mourner only. She is taller than the slaves who hold her up, but her importance in the story warrants this. Both sides of the scene converge toward this figure which is the center of interest, and it seems unlikely that it could be anyone but the heroine herself. If this depicts the Andromeda of Sophocles, and the tradition was that the Andromeda was a satyric play, there is nothing wrong in making the central figure somewhat comic or of a height greater than the others. The eight Ethiopians have thick woolly hair, short noses and thick lips, and one has a wrinkled forehead which shows, according to Walters, that he is older than the others.

The myth of Busiris in vase painting began with the remarkable Caeretan hydria. It is probable that this adventure of Heracles almost immolated on the altar of Busiris and suddenly breaking free, the persecutors becoming victims, had been popularized early by Sicilian and Attic comedy. This vase showed both Egyptian priests and Ethiopians, whereas the majority of the Attic representations of the story show only Egyptians. The usual type for such priests assisting at the sacrifice is the low forehead, shaved head and long mustaches. On certain of the vases, however, the type is plainly Ethiopian or the Egyptians have been given a negroid appearance. There
are a number of vases on which the story is shown, but only the following show the Ethiopian type:


This red-figured amphora of the severe style, shows the scene of Heracles at the altar attacking the priests of Busiris. He wears the lion skin and holds one of the priests or servants in the air by the feet. To the right of the altar, another servant holds a double axe with both hands above his head as if about to strike (cf. the double axe held by the Ethiopians on the series of alabastra). A third figure who has crouched down on the ground has his arms raised in an attitude of fear. Pottier remarks that the type has frankly turned toward the grotesque, and that the bald crania and burlesque attitudes suggest satyric drama actors. This is probably the correct interpretation since it is known that Euripides wrote a satyr play around the Busiris story and that it was a favorite with the comedy writers. It is probable that the different priests who appear on the vases go back to different comedies or satyr plays as originals.


On this red-figured cylix, the scene on the exterior shows Heracles being led to the sacrifice, bound, by two barbarians of Ethiopian type. A third walks in front of him, carrying a lecythus.


Amphora with a scene from the Busiris story. Two Ethiopians with stump noses hold sacrificial instruments. One is bearded. The hair of both is rendered by raised and varnished dots.

Hydria with the Busiris story. The Ethiopians are of a type similar to those on the Athens and Bologna vases, and wear ear-rings.


Stamnus with the scene from the Busiris story which shows Heracles turning on his tormentor and the negroes in confusion. The attendants are Ethiopian with woolly hair shown in dots in the same manner as on plastic vases and gems. The vase was known to Helbig from a drawing only. It has since come into the possession of the Ashmolean Museum.


Fragment of a large red-figured crater with the Busiris story. Busiris himself wears a Phrygian cap. The attendants are two maidens and two barbarian slaves of Ethiopian type. Nude Ethiopians hold Heracles chained between them. One is crouching on the ground.


Fragment of a red-figured vase showing the upper part of an Ethiopian who is carrying in his hand two sacrificial spits and therefore is probably to be associated with the Busiris legend. He is markedly dolichocephalic and the outline of his woolly hair is indicated by a wavy incised line. His nose is short and his lips are everted, making the racial type very pronounced.

The foregoing myths have had Asiatic or Egyptian associations, but the myth which Mayer wishes to see represented on a vase in Athens is connected with Libya.

This white Athenian lecythus, dating about 480 B.C., with decoration in black, shows a woman of grotesque and horrible aspect tied to a palm tree and tortured by five satyrs. Mayer wishes to recognize in this figure Lamia, a witch-like creature who was the bogey of Greek children. She had been a Libyan queen beloved by Zeus, and the jealous Hera had deprived her of her children. In her frenzy Lamia stole the children of other people, and from the cruelties which she practised on them became a hideous and distorted person. The vase seems to fit the myth, for the woman's figure is most horribly distorted. Likewise Zeus gave her the power of taking out her eyes and putting them back, so that when they were out she was quiet but when they were in she went on her frightful raids. The woman on the vase seems distinctly to have empty eye sockets, which probably accounts for her helplessness at the hands of the satyrs.

This striking scene of cruelty is so strange a conception for Greek art that Mayer is undoubtedly right in associating it with some dramatic presentation, particularly from the presence of the satyrs. Buschor, however, thinks it unlikely that Lamia should be represented as a negress and that satyrs should be punishing the vampire. He connects the scene with some satyr-drama based on the story in Pausanias I, 23, in which perhaps satyrs appeared as apes, and violated the woman put ashore on the apes' islands. This interpretation seems to be an improvement over Mayer, who suggests the travesties on myths which are known to have been performed at the Cabiric sanctuary at Thebes and which are reflected in the vases found there. This interpretation would connect the vase with another group of Cabiric vases upon some of which one of the famous characters of Greek mythology is frankly caricatured as an Ethiopian. Lamia had African ancestry, and it is not surprising to find her portrayed as a negress.
But there is no such tradition in the case of the enchantress Circe, and to find her rendered with Ethiopian features is an instance of the intentionally grotesque.

The Circe vases of this type are as follows:


This is an unpublished scyphus with black painting on a dull buff ground. A triple band of brown paint runs around the center of the vase, and a wider single band at the top. Between these are the designs; on one side a grapevine, on the other a scene in caricature of Circe offering Odysseus a potion. Odysseus on the right is on his knees and receives the bowl with both hands. His hair is portrayed in comic disorder, and his features are grotesque. Circe, at the left, stands with her back to her loom, dressed in a loose garment and holding the bowl out to Odysseus. Her features are caricatured but not strongly Ethiopian as on the Oxford and London vases described below.


A Cabiric vase which caricatures the Circe myth, but on which Circe is probably not Ethiopian.

114. London—British Museum. Cf. Walters, J. H. S., XIII, 1893, pp. 77-87, pl. IV.

This scyphus from the Cabirium is similar to the foregoing. It has on one side the grapevine pattern like that on Dr. Robinson's vase, and on the other the scene of Circe offering Odysseus the potion in a scyphus-shape vase. Circe is frankly caricatured as a negress. Her nose is short and snub, her lips thick and her jaw protruding. Her hair is fastened in a turban-like cap. She wears a loose garment and her pose is purposely ungraceful. She stands at the left of the scene facing Odysseus, and is identified by the inscription above her head. Odysseus is shown as an emaciated figure, nude except for a cloak thrown about his shoulders and a pointed cap. He
wears a sheathed sword and leans on a knotted staff. His legs are crossed and his attitude comic. Back of him is Circe's loom, and at the extreme right one of his companions who has been transformed into a bear.


On this scyphus from the Cabirium the same episode is shown in caricature. Odysseus is at the left of the picture and is shown in full front, whereas the other vases show him in profile. He wears the travelling hat and his cloak hangs over his arm. His body is grotesquely distorted. At his right, in profile, stands Circe facing him, stirring a potion in a scyphus. She wears a long flowing garment. As on the London vase, she is evidently meant to be an Ethiopian, from her nose, mouth and jaw. It is difficult to determine whether the black dots on her head are intended to represent curly hair or the pattern of a cap. Back of her is her loom and shuttle. The care with which all the slender threads of the loom are represented is proof that the apparent crudity of the figures is intentional.

These vases date from the late fifth or early fourth centuries. One other instance of caricature, from an earlier period than the Boeotian vases, shows the probable intention of the artist to give Ethiopian features to one of the figures he represents:


The vase is the famous caricature of the triumph of Heracles, driven in a chariot drawn by centaurs, by a Victory who is of a distinctly non-Greek type. Perrot (p. 22) says that she has the snub nose, thick lips and square jaw of a negress, and that since the vase was intended for Africa, the artist wished to give one of his principal personages the traits which belong to the physical type of entirely African popula-
tions. Perrot has exaggerated the negroid characteristics of the victory, though she does undoubtedly suggest the African type.

This closes the list of vases which can be definitely associated with any of the myths of Greece. There still remain a few vase paintings where Ethiopians are represented in some of the slave functions which they performed in everyday life. They make no pretence to direct caricature or the grotesque, though it is impossible to dissociate from the comic any realistic representation of a genuine Ethiopian. These occurrences of the type are unrelated having in common only the fact that they are all genre scenes:


This fragment of a red-figured vase shows the upper part of an Ethiopian boy. He is evidently the slave of the person whose hand is seen at the left of the fragment and who is engaged in pouring ointment from a vase. The scene is similar to one on a gem in the Corneto Museum, where an Ethiopian slave boy is crouching down on the ground near his master, who is also pouring ointment from a vase.


An Athenian lekythus with a grave scene. At the right of the stele is a Greek woman holding a lekythus in her hand. To the left of the stele, facing her, is a slave girl carrying a stool on her head and an alabastrum in the right hand. Her nose is snub, her lips thick and her hair short and wavy. She is certainly a barbarian and the profile verges toward the Ethiopian type. Bosanquet says she "is not necessarily a negress," but it seems probable that she is so intended when one compares her with the Ethiopian stool-bearer on the Andromeda hydria in the British Museum. Bosanquet also
notes a similar profile on a small lecythus at Cambridge (Gardner, *Catalogue of the Fitzwilliam Mus.*, p. 59, no. 138, pl. XXX) but the type of this figure is simply barbarian, not Ethiopian.


Red-figured amphora, on one side of which is shown an old man out walking, attended by an Ethiopian slave boy.


Kalyx-Krater H. .30 m. Broken and mended, the surface rubbed. B. three draped youths. A. Two actors and two satyrs. One actor a bald, beardless negro slave holding a torch. He wears an animal skin and carries a torch. The other actor is dressed like a king and holds a scepter. One satyr is lighting his torch from that of the slave, who is unconscious of what is going on. Undoubtedly the negro is a comic figure in some satyr play.


One of the followers of Theseus on a red-figured vase is a boy with thick lips and curly (though not woolly) hair. He is dressed for travelling, and wears hat, chiton, chlamys and boots. Over his left shoulder is a skin which serves as a travelling sack. In his right hand he holds a club. The outfit is unusual for Ethiopians and one may not be meant here.


Fragment of a red-figured cylix, the interior scene depicting a nude Ethiopian carrying an oenochoe. He is evidently the
slave of the man whose shoes appear at the right of the fragment. The Ethiopian’s nose is short and broad and his thick lips hang open.


One of the figures on this pelike is an Ethiopian bald-headed boy who leads a camel by the halter. Behind the camel is a palm tree. A similar figure is found on a silver patera of Assyrian origin now in the Louvre, where one of the figures in a procession is an Ethiopian leading a dromedary.


This polychrome lecythos shows a youth who is going toward Charon’s boat, attended by an Ethiopian slave who carries a bird cage and a hare. The slave wears a turban and his face is painted black.


One of the figures in this vase painting of late style is a nude Ethiopian boy, who carries two stools.

These vases invite some comment both as to their success in portraying the Ethiopian type and what they show of the usual function of the Ethiopian slaves at the time they were made. Bates has made the statement that “as a rule, the negro is most absurdly drawn on Greek vases.” This comment was inspired by the Caeretan hydria, though it seems strange that in spite of certain archaic limitations of the vase Bates should fail to respond to the vigor and spirit there shown. “Most absurdly drawn” is a dubious phrase. It is hard to say whether Dr. Bates means “most comically” or “most badly.” Neither is true, however, “as a rule.”

---

\(^1\) Cf. *Transactions, Department of Archaeology, Univ. of Pennsylvania*, I, 1904, p. 50.
certain vases, as has been seen, the effect is intentionally comic and on a few others the drawing is such that one can not readily tell whether the artist intended the figure to be an Ethiopian or a barbarian. It must be borne in mind, however, that the painter of red-figured vases had several handicaps to overcome. The technique itself prevented him from giving the figure a black skin and thereby making his intention instantly clear. Nor was it as easy to indicate woolly hair as in the case of the plastic vases with their raised dots. As has been seen the vase painter in several instances borrowed this technique and reproduced the woolly hair by dots. Unable to show the dark skin and not always certain of how to portray that non-Greek woolly hair the painter was deprived of the two most prominent Ethiopian characteristics. Neither was there any characteristic and easily recognizable Ethiopian dress. He, therefore, fell back upon the short nose, thick lips, or prominent jaw as being the principal features by which to depict the negro physiognomy. For this reason it is reasonably sure that when any two of the above features occur the vase painter is attempting to portray an Ethiopian. That they varied greatly in skill is to be as much expected as that they would vary in portraying the white race. The Ethiopians of Exeasias surpass the one drawn by the painter of the Ethiop Pelike.

The vases show that the Ethiopian slaves are still reserved for personal attendance. They are not menial laborers. All the vases with genre scenes show them as personal servants or followers. They are associated with the bath by the vase on which an Ethiopian is pouring ointment and another on which he carries an oenochoe. A young mistress on a lecythus is attended by a slave carrying a bird cage. An Ethiopian boy attends an old man, a maid servant carries a stool and alabastrum for her mistress. An Ethiopian boy carries two stools for visitors. The Ethiopian leading a camel is probably a reminiscence of an Egyptian trip made by some artist. The occupations are not heavy labor. The only suggestion of hard
work occurs on the Andromeda vase where Ethiopians are driving stakes in the ground, and this is unquestionably a scene from a play.

While Ethiopians were still rare at this time, they were kept as attendants doubtless because their masters found them diverting and amusing. They were likewise considered comic as their presence on the stage proves. Their chief popularity as a stage figure was evidently in comedies and satyr plays. In nearly all the vase paintings referring to mythology their rôle was a comic one. The Athenian seeing them daily at the palaestra and frequently upon the comic stage began to take them for granted. They no longer held the same mystery at the close of the fifth century as when they first appeared upon the streets of Athens.
CHAPTER V

THE ETHIOPIAN TYPE IN THE FOURTH CENTURY

During the fourth century the popularity of the Ethiopian race as an art subject seems to have waned at Athens. Doubtless the novelty of their appearance had worn off considerably, and the tendencies in art which made them a furore in the Hellenistic period which followed, had not as yet developed. This century was the period of their great popularity in Magna Graecia. The Greeks of southern Italy had imported some of the Attic vases in the form of Ethiopians heads, and had taken a particular fancy to the crocodile drinking cup of Sotades. Realizing the possibilities of a vogue for these, local vase makers evidently decided to imitate rather than import, and in consequence we have a series of these vases, of obvious fourth-century Italian workmanship.

There is no difficulty in differentiating the imitations from the Attic fifth-century originals. The Italian artists altered somewhat the proportions of the vase; they added ornamental details to the decoration of the crocodile, and twisted his tail about the Ethiopian's left arm. Hence it no longer served as a handle for the cup, and another handle was added above it. The simple painting, usually of four human figures, which Sotades put upon the cup mouth, gave way to the more florid painting of the period, which ran down over the whole of the cup mouth instead of being restricted to a band.

A few have even altered the posture of the Ethiopian, so that his right leg instead of his right arm is held in the crocodile's mouth, and he is lashed to the body of the cup by the crocodile's tail. Another example, while keeping the traditional posture of the figure, has replaced the cup by a trefoil pitcher mouth. These imitations or adaptations are of interest for the painting of the crocodile, since the paint is gone from the animals on the Attic vases. The modeling
of the crocodile is no more true to life than in the originals and shows no closer acquaintance with the reptile. Although the Ethiopians must have been known to the south Italian Greeks by a period as late as the fourth century, these stiff little black figures with staring eyes have no individuality and have evidently been copied from the Attic vases, not from life. There is no advance in the rendering of the racial type. The technique has been taken over, though with little skill, and if the Italian vases show a more striking contrast between black skin and white eye-balls, it is because the paint on most of them has been better preserved.

An interesting variation of the crocodile drinking cup is in the Jatta Collection. The band painting on the cup mouth, especially the figure of the winged victory, is so similar to that of a crocodile vase in the same collection that the two must be the work of the same hand. But while the shape of the cup, the band of painting and even the design on the pedestal are similar, the body of a maiden with a dog in front of her, has been affixed to the crocodile's tail forming a representation of the sea monster Scylla. The fish tail given to Scylla is longer than that of the crocodile, but retains the gaudy stripes and spots given the crocodile on all these Italian vases which were copied from the Attic originals where the plastic dots remain although the paint has disappeared. Cf. J. E. Harrison, *Myths of the Odyssey*, p. 195, pl. 55 b; Buschor, *Mün. Jb. Bild. Kunst*, XI, 1919, p. 6, no. 10.

The Apulian vases of the type of the Ethiopian boy seized by a crocodile are as follows:

126. Cambridge—Fitzwilliam Museum—Earl of Cadogan Coll. Cf. Gardner, *Catal. of Fitzw. Mus.*, p. 80, no. 244, pl. XXXVI; Buschor, l. c., p. 6, no. 8, fig. 8;


The vase has a trefoil pitcher mouth instead of the usual cup mouth.

The following vases differ in the shape of the cup mouth but the grouping of Ethiopian and crocodile is identical. The crocodile holds in his jaw the right foot of the Ethiopian and pins down the Ethiopian's left foot with his claw, while he lashes the neck of the Ethiopian with his tail.

Still another variant is that found on a vase in Berlin where the crocodile has seized the arms and waist of a boy (not clearly marked as Ethiopian because of indistinguishable features but undoubtedly intended for one because of the association of negro and crocodile), as on the Attic vases. The feet of the boy are not separated as on all the other vases but are brought together as if the boy were being dragged or lifted.

The great similarity between all these vases, even those whose design has been altered, points to one place of manufacture, and the fact that so many have been found at or near Ruvo points to a workshop here. The local demand must have been the stimulus to manufacture, as practically none of these vases were found outside of Italy.
The negro and crocodile do not again appear in classical art as a plastic group although the subject of the following Italian vase painting must be related to the foregoing group as it was done about the same time and comes from the same place.


This is a drinking cup with a band of painting depicting a boy running away from a crocodile, at which he is looking back. Below the animal is a small Ethiopian’s head in relief. Italian work. Ht. 0.22 m.

The vase in the form of the Ethiopian’s head evidently enjoyed the same kind of popularity and underwent the same kind of imitation on the Italian peninsula as the crocodile group. Furtwängler has said of the imitations that they “lack the characteristic strength of the Attic Moors’ heads.” Not only is the expression of the face rendered with less masterly skill, but just as the painting of the negro-crocodile vases became more florid the simplicity of effect has been weakened by the addition of wreaths, ribbons and other painted details which bridge the way to the developments of the Hellenistic period which followed. There is some advance in that the little raised dots of clay which had heretofore been used to suggest the curls have been replaced in some instances by an attempt at actual modelling of the hair, and there is more use of incised lines in adding details. There are no more janiform vases, all that occur being examples of the single head type:


This drinking cup has a single handle and large mouth, in the form of an Ethiopian’s head. Panofka rightly considers that it is meant to represent a woman, from the head-dress of ribbon bands, painted red, which cross each other over the
forehead and either ear. The curly hair is indicated by raised spirals like snail shells. The eyes are deep-set, the cheeks hollow, the nose short and broad and the lips protruding. There is no life in the expression of the face. Ht. 0.202 m.


Vase with narrow pitcher mouth, in the form of an Ethiopian's head. The flesh is painted black on a white slip. The hair is in rows of curls, and the lips are red. Above the head is a thick yellow cushion band with ends hanging down on the shoulder. Ht. 0.12 m.


Vase with a narrow pitcher mouth, in the form of an Ethiopian's head. Furtwängler suggests that a woman is meant, since the hair is decorated with a wreath. The flesh is painted black on a white slip. Ht. 0.123 m.


Vase from Italy with an Ethiopian's head in relief on the handle.


Ascus in the form of an Ethiopian's head, interesting for its use of coloring. A wreath around the front of the head, with flowers at each end, is painted white; eyes and teeth are painted white; and red is used for the eye-brows and lips. Ht. 2½ in.


Oenochoe in the form of the head of an Ethiopian, with thick curly hair. The forehead is wrinkled, and over it is a heavy garland which falls in a loop over each ear. This vase was found on the island of Cos, but Walters assigns it to
fourth-century workmanship, and it is therefore contemporary with the Italian vases. Ht. 4 ½ in.

143a. Baltimore. Found by D. M. Robinson in 1928 at Olynthus. Vase of red clay, in form of negro head, 0.15 m. high, 0.12 m. wide, 0.09 m. thick.

Large coarse outstanding ears with deep opening. Wide flat nose with spreading nostrils. Thick lips and receding chin. Protruding eyes. Pronounced supra-orbital ridges. Receding forehead with one deep wrinkle. Hair in coarse curls extending down to ears and out over forehead in large mass. Top of vase and neck and bottom broken. Before 348 B.C. Fig. 10.

143b. Saloniki. Vase in form of negro head found by D. M. Robinson in 1928 at Olynthus. From broken top to base of neck, 0.155 m. Widest part of head by ears, 0.105 m. Face alone, 0.065 m. wide. Thickness, 0.09 m. at eyes, 0.103 m. at mouth. Diameter of top opening, 0.039 m., of base, 0.075 m. Before 348 B.C.

Hair acts like wreath around the head and anticipates such Hellenistic vases as Figures 16 and 17. Figs. 11 and 12.


One-handled drinking cup in the form of the head of an Ethiopian woman. She wears a sphendone set with stars and a laurel wreath. Panofka suggests that the stars may be intended to mean that she represents night. Ht. 0.15 m.

The other objects belonging to the fourth century have nothing in common beyond their period and the evidence that the popularity of the type survived in widely different areas. No one interpretation will cover them all.

There are a few asci from Cyprus where the Ethiopian type had been so popular in the sixth century and was probably at that time considered apotropaic. This may still have been the feeling in the fourth century, as the small heads moulded on the top of the asci are in full front. A similar ascus from Greece in the Stoddard Collection, however, looks more as if the asci belong purely in the realm of genre without ulterior
FIGURE 10.
VASE IN FORM OF NEGRO HEAD FROM OLINTHUS.
Presented to Johns Hopkins University by the Greek Government.

FIGURE 11.
VASE IN FORM OF NEGRO’S HEAD FROM OLINTHUS.

FIGURE 12.
SIDE VIEW OF FIGURE 11.
intent. This is not the case with a group of engraved gems from Sardinia. There is marked oriental influence to be seen in these gems, some of which show the Ethiopian head strongly conjoined with other heads not negroid. The prophylactic theory is the most reasonable explanation of these, since the types seem to be of intentional ugliness.

To this century belongs also, Wace notwithstanding, the fine bronze head of an African in the British Museum, a portrait, doubtless idealized, which has been the subject of much discussion summarized below, but which is too isolated a case to affect the general development of the Ethiopian type in Greek art. Aside from this bronze and the Sardinian gems, the use of the Ethiopian type in the fourth century is confined to vases; and these are chiefly imitations of an earlier period at the same time that they hint of Hellenistic developments to come.

The objects follow:

**Asci**


Ascus with an Ethiopian's head in front view moulded in relief upon the top.


Ascus similar to the foregoing.


Ascus with a trumpet-shaped spout and arched handle. In a large convex medallion on the top is the mask of an Ethiopian in full front. He has woolly hair and wears ear-rings in the shape of fleur-de-lys. Superior Greek fabric of the fourth century.


Ascus similar to the Cambridge and Cyprus Museum examples.
Gems


Scarab of green jasper with the head of an Ethiopian in profile to right. The gem is not well preserved and the outlines of the face are somewhat blurred, but the broad nose and thick lips show the race of the subject. The scarab is of Phoenician style.


Green jasper scarab with the bust of an Ethiopian in profile to right. The woolly hair is indicated by raised dots close together. The lips are thick and the cheek-bones prominent.


Green jasper scarab showing two conjoined heads, a bearded male head in full front and an Ethiopian in profile. The nose of the Ethiopian is flat and his thick lips are prominent.


Green jasper scarab with a head in profile to right, probably intended to represent an Ethiopian.


Pale gold ring, the thin hoop broadening into an oval bezel, on which is engraved a head probably meant to be an Ethiopian.


Gold ring with a revolving scarab of green jasper, carved with an elaborate design. The space is filled at the bottom by an animal group, and at the top by three conjoined heads. The middle head is in full front, the others in profile right and left. The profile heads are clearly Ethiopians from their
FIGURE 13.

BRONZE HEAD OF AN AFRICAN.

In the British Museum.

Reproduced from Arndt-Brunn-Bruckmann, Griechische und Römische Porträts, pl. 42.
short, broad noses and thick lips. The central face, which is
distorted in a grin, is called a negro by Smith, but a head of
Bea by Furtwängler and Marshall.

Catalogue of Finger-Rings, p. 223, no. 1456.

Silver ring, gold-plated, with a pointed oval bezel, with an
Ethiopian head in profile to left.

While the fourth century, generally speaking, made no
advance in the rendering of the racial type on vases or small
objects, it produced a portrait head which is extremely fine.
Life-sized heads of men with African blood are extremely
rare. Only one other deserves to rank with this bronze, a
marble head of an Ethiopian from the second century A. D.
(No. 289). This head, now in the British Museum, was
found among the ruins of the temple of Apollo at Cyrene. It
is evident from the fragments of bronze horses found with it
that it formed part of a chariot group, and from its dedica­
tion in the temple of Apollo it is probable that the man was
a victor in the chariot races at Delphi.

156. London—British Museum—from Cyrene. Cf. Smith and
Porcher, Discoveries at Cyrene, pl. LXVI; Trivier, Gaz. Arch.,
IV, 1878, p. 60, pl. 8; Rayet, Monuments de l'Art Antique,
II, pl. 57; Newton, Guide to Bronze Room, pp. 49, 12; Gaz.
Arch., IX, 1887, p. 397; Smith, Marbles and Bronzes in the
British Museum, p. 8, pl. 41; Walters, Catalogue of Bronzes,
p. 34, no. 268; Studniczka, Kyrene, p. 5; Brunn-Arndt-
Bruckmann, Griechische u. Römische Porträts, pls. 41 and 42;
Schrader, Winckelmannschr., LX, 1900; Lawrence, Later Gr.
Sc. pl. 27.

The style of the head appears to be that of the fourth cen­
tury, with possible Lysippan influences. The growing beard
and waving locks of hair are rendered with care, but other­
wise there is an absence of realistic detail and any hint of
emotion, and the head is notably an idealized portrait. The
features are quite regular; the only one suggestive of a strain
of negro blood are the lips, which have an unmistakable full­
ness. The man is a North African of Libya, of a race with
features as fine as those of the Cabyles who now inhabit the
region. The poise of the head is so noble that it suggested
to Trivier the idea that here was some Libyan chieftain portrayed in bronze in token of the victory of his splendid horses.

The work is that of a very good artist, though nothing is known of his identity. He had complete mastery over his medium, and even the rendering of the wavy hair, difficult in a material which must be cast from a mould, gave him no difficulty. He achieved the right compromise between fidelity to detail and the effect of the whole. In this respect especially is his handling of his subject in contrast to the treatment of racial types in the next great period of Greek art, namely, the Hellenistic era. Fig. 13.
CHAPTER VI

THE ETHIOPIAN IN THE HELLENISTIC WORLD

After a century in which the Greek world outside of Italy appeared to lose interest in the Ethiopian type (except for the single splendid example whose interest for the sculptor probably lay in some achievement of his career rather than his idealized barbarian features) there was a sudden and new impulse. From the third and second centuries B.C. we have a flood of figurines in terra-cotta, bronze and even marble in which the Ethiopian is delineated with a realism which occasionally crosses the boundary of caricature and the grotesque. All this can only mean some new attitude toward the type which is due to a new influence at work. This is quite patently the founding, the almost mushroom growth and cultural predominance of the city of Alexandria. It would be natural that this city, the most brilliant center of the Hellenistic era, the most completely cosmopolitan, and the one most advantageously placed for the study of African types, should be in large measure responsible for the renewed interest in the Ethiopian.

The sophistication of Alexandria, its social ennui and intellectual godlessness have been wonderfully described by Dickens (Hellenistic Sculpture, pp. 27-28).

"The people of Alexandria were noted in the ancient world as scoffers and cynics. Their temper was fiery, their jests were brutal, and reverence of any kind was unknown to them. A cosmopolitan medley of Greek, Macedonian, native Egyptian, Jew, and every nation of the East, they were united only in their utter diversity of point of view and their scepticism of all ideal obligation. To such a people caricature and a love of the grotesque were almost second nature. By the side of the greater art of Alexandria it is easy to discern a lesser art of comic, grotesque, and obscene statuettes of every
description. . . . In Alexandria above all the grotesque exaggeration of natural defects found its true popularity. The negro, the hunchback, the drunkard, the crélin of every kind, became popular artistic models. As if the delineation of youth and beauty were exhausted, the Hellenistic sculptors of Alexandria rushed into the portrayal of disease, of old age and of mutilation in every form. They suffered as much as any modern decadent from 'la nostalgie de la boue.' Here again we must beware of attributing to Alexandria all the grotesque figures of Hellenistic art and all its pieces of most painful naturalism. Pergamum, if not Rhodes, and doubtless Antioch must have played their part in this commonest form of artistic decadence; but we have so much of this work certified as Alexandrian that we are justified in regarding Egypt as its chief and most popular home. Works of this type fall into two classes: the purely grotesque and the extremely naturalistic. . . . We may presume that the demand was primarily foreign and not Greek, though all the skill of Greek sculpture is employed in the faultless execution of many of them."

The foregoing passage well explains why the Ethiopian now appears in genre scenes, studies in ethnographic portraiture, in caricature and the grotesque. The figurines form if not the most important, at least the largest class of negro portraits from antiquity. Schreiber expresses the prevailing opinion so ably seconded by Dickins that Alexandria was the distributing center of the small bronzes. Wace at first believed them to be Campanian, and although he later modified this view, he still refused to believe them Alexandrian because so many are found outside of Egypt. His classification of the figurines is poor, making no distinction between the grotesques and the really artistic figures, and including the life-sized bronze head from Cyrene. Nevertheless their spirit is Alexandrian and the point of their scattered provenance is settled by assuming with Dickins that the demand was foreign. In the case of the Ethiopian bronzes an addi-
tional bit of evidence points to Alexandria. Not only would Ethiopians be better known in Alexandria than any other Hellenistic city, but beginning with this period representations of Ethiopians no longer show the curly hair by means of raised knots but show a new arrangement of the hair in three or more rows of flat symmetrical curls strongly suggestive of the conventional Egyptian head-dress. This involved hair arrangement which persists in Roman art is without doubt as Perdrizet (Coll. Touquet, p. 58) points out the type affected by the Ethiopian butlers of Trimalchio—"inde subierunt duo Aethiopes capillati."¹ Friedländer (p. 225, note to sec. 34) wants to edit capillati out of the passage, but there is no real reason for doing so when it is natural to think of conspicuous hair in connection with Ethiopians and the elaborate arrangement on the statuettes explains the passage satisfactorily. These many flat curls recall the groups of plaited pigtails covering the head of many a small pickaninnny.

As for the two classes of figurines specified by Dickins, the grotesque and the extremely naturalistic, the distinction is hard to make inasmuch as the average white man is inclined to view humorously a serious realistic portrayal of an African negro. Nevertheless I find very few of the figurines grotesque, preferring to consider them instances of extreme naturalism. This naturalism in the rendering of the racial types is entirely compatible with charm as evidenced by a famous statuette in the Bibliothèque Nationale of an Ethiopian boy playing the lyre (Fig. 20), the dancing Ethiopian in the Naples Museum, and the fine statuette in the Metropolitan Museum in New York (Fig. 19).

The terra-cottas from this period showing Ethiopians seem to have had other centers of manufacture, and are found principally in Asia Minor and Italy. They were not made at Tanagra, where the irregular features of the negro did not attract those craftsmen who concerned themselves chiefly with

¹ Cf. Petronius, Cena Trimalchionis, sec. 34, Buecheler ed., p. 23.
the dainty in art. Wace is right in pointing out the popularity of these figurines in Italy and a South Italian factory may be conjectured for the number brought to light in the lower part of that peninsula. Perhaps the influence of the Pergamene school, or Antioch, as Dickins suggests, is reflected in those of Asiatic origin. A few showing genre subjects have been found even in south Russia and were perhaps an import from the Asiatic center of manufacture. The Euxine shores were originally colonized chiefly by Asiatic Greeks and their commerce with these regions was extensive.

It is not only the Hellenistic bronzes but the baser terra-cottas which show "all the skill of Greek sculpture in the faultless execution of many of them." A few are very commonplace, it is true, particularly a group of replicas from Sicily which are expressionless and stiff. On the other hand, several are masterly, such as the broken figurine from the Gréau Collection now in the Berlin Museum, a thick wreath above a face wearing an expression of pain, and the head in Berlin found in a Priene house which is virtually a duplicate of the head of the foregoing figurine. According to Schrader this head is so realistic that an authority on African tribes, to whom it was shown, declared that it might easily be a likeness of a present-day member of one of the least civilized African tribes. Even finer, as it succeeds in combining an element of pathos with its stark realism, is the tiny figurine with a vase mouth in the Ashmolean Museum of which there is a close imitation, if not a replica, in the British Museum showing a thin little Ethiopian boy asleep, leaning against an amphora. One of the most interesting is an impudent caricature of the Spinario so beloved by the Greeks, from Priene, now in Berlin.

The purpose of these terra-cottas, whether decorative or funerary, is not easy to decide, and probably we would find instances of both. Froehner believes the Gréau Collection figure in Berlin to have been funerary because of the wreath in the hair and the wrinkling of the face in what he calls an
expression of pain. But the wrinkles are mere realism and the wreath occurs on far more figures associated with the banquet than with the tomb. On the other hand, three seated figures, one in Bari, one in Berlin, and another in Naples, have the traditional mourning posture of the hand to the head. This recalls the crouching posture of the hand to the head of the seated terra-cottas from the sixth century at Camirus. These were definitely found in tombs and yet the same posture is reproduced on Athenian gems of the sixth and fifth century where it is almost certainly intended to be comic. The Spinario caricature is an outright instance of the comic. Consequently no one rule will apply to all the terra-cottas. Perhaps some were intended to be funerary, others decorative and still others became funerary by accident where favorite decorative or prophylactic objects were buried in the tomb.

The vases of the Hellenistic period are included with the terra-cottas, as several are in reality figurines of the genre type cast hollow with a vase mouth added. They show great variety and no little ingenuity in incorporating the vase mouth as a part of the design. In this respect they outdo the fifth century crocodile vases, though these vases of Sotades are sufficient to refute the statement that in Greek art the search for novel effects and emotional realism is confined to the Hellenistic period. The slave boy with the amphora has been mentioned. Another type probably meant to be humorous shows an Ethiopian crouching down on all fours. In one example he is washing clothes or grinding corn; in another he is filling a vase from a wine skin.

The vases in the form of an Ethiopian’s head do not lose ground, in spite of innovations. They differ from the earlier ones in reflecting the new hair arrangement, and are chiefly drinking cups.
CHAPTER VII

TERRA-COTTAS

Figurines and Fragments


Head of Ethiopian with curly hair cut close to his head, with a wrinkled, retreating forehead, broad nose and thick lips. Height 0.03 m.


Figure of a man seated on a rock, his head resting on his right hand. His pose and expression denote preoccupation or sadness. To judge his features from the illustration, there is nothing in his physiognomy especially to indicate an Ethiopian. A barbarian may be intended, though the Notizie says "Moro." A similar figure in the Berlin Museum is painted brown. Height 0.22 m.


Youth seated on a rock, his elbow resting on his left knee and his left hand supporting his head. His right hand rests on his right knee. The hands are large in proportion to the size of the figure. The features are not strongly Ethiopian, but the figure was painted a dark brown, showing that the artist intended to show a member of this race. This seems to be a companion piece to the foregoing as they reverse the positions of the right and left hand. They were, however, found far apart.


82
Figurine of an Ethiopian, his arms gone from the shoulder and his legs broken off at the knee. His face has an expression of pain or grief, and his thin body shows above the folds of an exomis which is fastened over his left shoulder. On his head is a thick wreath, according to Froehner a funerary crown. This still has traces of color, showing that it was originally painted. The forehead is wrinkled, the lips thick and the nose snub. It closely resembles the head from Priene which follows. This would cast doubt on the idea advanced by Froehner that this statuette was funerary, as the Priene head was not found in a tomb but in a private house with many other terra-cottas. Froehner reads a look of grief into what was intended as naturalistic portraiture. Height 0.145 m.

Head of an Ethiopian, crowned with a wreath of flowers, found with many other terra-cottas in a house in Priene. It is not a caricature but an extremely naturalistic portrait of an African of the lowest type of intelligence. Schrader says that an authority on African tribes to whom it was shown stated without hesitation that a woman was meant, and that it might easily be the picture of a present day member of one of the least civilized Central African tribes.

The handling of detail and the effect produced are masterly. The thick, coarse, half open lips are in startling contrast to the elaborate garland which hangs down on either side of the face. There are remains of dark brown color on hair and flesh. Height 0.07 m.

A figurine caricaturing the famous "Spinario" as an Ethiopian. His forehead is wrinkled and his eyes have an expression of pain. His nose is short and broad at the base,
and while his lips are not large, a grotesque effect is given by
his exaggerated puffed-out cheeks. He wears a cap on his
head, and some drapery fastened up over one shoulder. His
body is somewhat dwarfed, with head large in proportion.
Height 0.165 m.

Winter, Terrakotten, II, p. 448, no. 7.
Head of an Ethiopian or barbarian, with short, broad nose
and thick lips. Height 0.04 m.

164. Berlin—Königliche Museen, no. 6968, formerly Kommos Coll.,
Athens—from the Cyrenaica. Cf. Winter Terrakotten, II,
p. 448, no. 6.
Head of a barbarian or an Ethiopian with a long beard.
The lips are thick, the nose broken off. Height 0.055 m.

165. Constantinople Museum—from Assos. Cf. Winter, Terrakotten,
II, p. 448, no. 9.
Fragment of an Ethiopian’s head, the cranium missing.
The hair is in long locks, but the negro blood is evident in
the broad nose, thick lips and wrinkled forehead. Height
0.035 m.

166. Cyprus Museum—from Citium, Kamelarga site. Cf. Myres and
Richter, Cyprus Museum, p. 155, no. 5549.
Terra-cotta head of an Ethiopian woman broken from a
figurine, found with other terra-cottas in a sanctuary, proba-
bly that of Artemis. Height 0.08 m.

167. Gréau Collection. Cf. Froehner, Terres Cuites d’Asie de la Col-
lection Julien Greau, vol. I, p. 70, no. 5; vol. II, pl. 83.
Head of an Ethiopian with curly hair, low, wrinkled,
wrinkling forehead, flat nose (partly gone), and thick lips,
the lower one protruding.

168. Gréau Collection—from Tarentum. Cf. Froehner, Collection
Gréau, 1891, p. 148, no. 257; Winter, Terrakotten, II, p. 449,
footnote.
Mould for a terra-cotta bust of an Ethiopian boy, his left
arm raised. Height 0.08 m.

169. London—British Museum—from lower Italy. Cf. Winter, Ter-
rakotten, II, p. 449, no. 8 b; Walters, Catalogue of Terra-
cottas, p. 311, no. D 86.
Ethiopian with curly hair and characteristic features, seated on a rock, about to write on a scroll. Except for the writing, the pose recalls the seated terra-cottas in the Bari and Berlin Museums. Height 8 in.


Ethiopian boxer, with *caestus* on both hands and a loin cloth about his waist. His features are coarse and he is partly bald. He leans back, with his arms out in front of him. Height 10½ in.


Mate to the foregoing figure, with left foot advanced and right arm raised as if to strike. His face is more youthful than his companion's, and there are traces of dark color still visible on it. Height 9½ in.


Life-sized mask of an Ethiopian evidently intended to be worn, as the mouth, nostrils, and pupils of the eyes are pierced through. Each ear has been pierced with a hole, which was probably intended for the cord which held the mask in place. The hair is in clusters of curls, the nose flat, and the mouth grinning, with the upper row of teeth indicated. Height 8½ in.


Figure seated on a rock. Similar to that from Bari. His head is resting on his hand. Height 0.21 m.


Unpublished terra-cotta head of an Ethiopian woman, painted glossy black.


Fragment of a terra-cotta pendant in the form of an Ethiopian's head.
Head of an Ethiopian inclined toward the left shoulder. Ht. 0.035 m.

An Ethiopian or barbarian slave, carrying a dish on his up-raised left hand (balanced as a modern waiter balances a tray), and an oenochoe in his right hand, which hangs by his side. He wears a loin cloth about his waist. His wavy hair is long and hangs about his neck, his eyes are set far apart, his nose is short and his thick lips protrude somewhat. Ht. 0.17 in.

Head of an Ethiopian with curly hair, the flesh painted black, similar to the head in the Central Museum, Athens (no. 157; above).

A nude Ethiopian youth, found with a group of the Niobids in terra-cotta. He has sunk to his knees and his head is thrown backwards. His right arm, which was evidently up-raised, has been broken off. His left hand holds the remains of a sack which was thrown over his left shoulder. This hunting sack is evidence that the Ethiopian was intended as an attendant of the sons of Niobe who were killed while out hunting.

This figurine is of especial interest because, although a genre type, it is connected with mythology. The portrayal of Ethiopians in connection with mythology is usually confined to vases.

Figurine with legs apart. The position is a seated one.
It has been put together from fragments. The hands on the knees. Figure hollow. Lower legs cast solid. Heads modeled separately and then fastened on. In this way one mould head could be used for several figures. The same mould is used for the heads of the other figures.

The head inclines forward. The hair is only moderately curly. The forehead is excessively wrinkled between the eyes, which are wide open and rectangular in outline. The nose rises from a depression between the eyes, and is broad at the extremity. The lips are thick, protruding and tightly closed. The unusually large eyes are characteristic of the modern Nubian. Ht. 0.24 m.


The hands are held in front of the breast. The legs are crossed at the ankles. Put together from fragments. Ht. 0.24 m.


Figurine similar to the above except that the arms and a portion of the right breast are broken off. A streak of black color is still visible in the face and hair, making the identification as an Ethiopian certain. Height 0.24 m. Put together from fragments.


Terra-cotta head of an Ethiopian with flat nose, thick parted lips and high cheek bones. The racial type is caricatured.


Figure in the traditional crouching position, asleep. His thick lips are the only evidence of negro blood in his physiognomy, but the pose is the conventional one for the Ethiopian slave. Part of the right arm and right leg are missing. Ht. 0.09 in.

Standing figurine, wearing a loin-cloth and holding castanets in his hand. His slightly parted, thick lips and his hair, in conventional rows of flat curls, indicate Ethiopian blood. Ht. 0.145.

**Vases**


Ointment vase in the form of an Ethiopian's head, from the Hellenistic period. In the hair is an ivy wreath. Greenish clay with black glaze.


Similar vase in the form of an Ethiopian's head.


Similar vase in the form of an Ethiopian's head, similar to the preceding.


This is an unpublished drinking cup or pitcher in the form of an Ethiopian's head. His neck serves as a base, and a simple cylindrical spout with a trefoil opening inside rises from the top of his head. A flat channeled handle curves from the back of the spout to the back of his head. Only the face and front of the hair are modeled, the clay at the back of the head being left smooth. There is an incised inscription at the back of the Ethiopian's neck, near the bottom of the vase, AA. Possibly this is only a decoration and not meant to be an inscription. The entire surface of the vase was covered with a black glaze, much of which still remains. The vase was made in two sections, the modelled front and plain back, and then joined together.

The hair of the Ethiopian is in three rows of spiral curls over his forehead and ears, and fits like a cap about his forehead, which is deeply wrinkled. The eyebrows are heavy, and
are rendered by means of incised lines, herring-bone pattern, in the clay. The eyes are wide open, the iris shown by an incised circle, with a raised dot in the center to represent the pupil. The nose, rising from a depression between the eyes, broadens at the base almost to the width of the mouth. The lips are very thick and protruding, and are parted to show the white teeth. There is a prominence about the jaw structure which renders the profile ape-like in effect. The ears are set very low in the head, in line with the mouth. The throat is drawn and tense, and the muscles stand out sharply. Height of entire vase 5½ in. (0.135 m.); height from base to top of Ethiopian’s head 4½ in. (0.105 m.). Fig. 14.


Ascus in the form of a crouching Ethiopian boy, asleep. His right leg is drawn up in front of him, and his head rests on his hands, which clasp his right knee. He is nude except for a garment tied around his throat. An amphora at his back forms the spout of the vase. Early Hellenistic work, similar to the vase in the Ashmolean Museum, Oxford.


Small black vase with the head of an Ethiopian in relief.


Vase of light brown clay in the form of an Ethiopian, who crouches on all fours, animal fashion, filling a vase from a wine skin. Over his head and shoulders is a panther’s skin fastened under his chin. The trumpet-shaped mouth of the vase projects from the middle of his back. Early Hellenistic period. Ht. 3¾ in.


Fragment of a vase in the form of a grotesque Ethiopian’s head. The hair is indicated by three rows of conventional curls. The forehead is low and wrinkled, and the eye-brows,
modelled in the clay, are heavy and close together. The nose is short, broad and flat, and the lower lip thick and protruding, disclosing a row of teeth. The beard is indicated by crescent-shaped incisions in the clay.


Unpublished vase in the form of a crouching Ethiopian painted black. The expression of the face is sad, like that of a bronze statuette from Chalon-sur-Saône in the Bibliothèque Nationale (below, no. 214).


Vase in the form of a negro's head, of red clay.

196. Odessa Museum—from Olbia.

Ointment vase in the form of a negro's head.


"A little negro slave boy coiled up fast asleep under an amphora against which he huddles as if for shelter from the Bora. The characteristic features of the race are admirably rendered, including the woolly hair, protuberant forehead, thick lips and indescribable nigger grin. The backbone, ribs and muscles of the half-starved little form are indicated with anatomic precision and even the dolichocephalic skull and disproportionately long arms of the negroid type are faithfully reproduced. This surprising accuracy of detail, however, is not won at the expense of the general effect of the figure, which for life-like realism and true pathos is probably without a rival amongst Greek terra-cottas" (Evans). Ht. 2.5 in.

The vase is similar to the ascus in the British Museum and Evans says that a figure of black stone spotted with green, identical in attitude except that the child was crying, was sold in Paris, the present ownership being unknown. Also in the Ashmolean Museum (1922. 205) is an ascus from Boeotian
Figure 16.
Third Century B.C. Vase.
In Munich.
By Courtesy of Professor Sieveking.

Figure 17.
Profile of Figure 16.
Thebes in the form of a negro boy bent over to the ground. We reproduce in Fig. 15 an unpublished example in Munich in the Pinakothek dating from the third century B.C.

198. Pourtalès Collection. Cf. Panofka, Cabinet Pourtales, p. 115, pl. XXX.

Vase in the form of an Ethiopian boy on his knees, bending forward as if washing some object in a stream. The vase mouth projects from the lower part of his back, and a handle connects it with the middle of his spine. His nose is snub, his lips thick, his hair moderately curly and his whole face childish. Possibly he is grinding corn.


Guttus decorated with the head of an Ethiopian in relief on the top.


Vase in the form of an Ethiopian’s head, the features caricatured. The forehead is low and wrinkled, the nose snub and the lips exaggeratedly thick. The woolly hair is surmounted by an ivy wreath. Hair in rows of conventional spiral curls in the Egyptian fashion. In it is a taenia which lies over the forehead bow-shaped and falls behind the ears on either side. Scanty traces of white on teeth and of blue on the wreath and of pink on lips and cheeks. There is a simple cylindrical spout at the top of the head, a twisting handle connecting its brim with the back of the Ethiopian’s head. In comparison with the life-like heads of the sixth and fifth centuries this is an asymmetrical monstrosity. Third Century Work. Ht. 0.15 m.

In Munich is a very similar unpublished vase which we reproduce in Figs. 16 and 17.


Vase shaped like an Ethiopian’s head, painted black. Ht. 7\frac{3}{4} in.
Individually more artistic than the terra-cottas are the bronze statuettes which found their inspiration in Alexandria. This guarded expression is used inasmuch as it seems inadvisable to attempt a more subtle classification into Greek, Graeco-Roman or Roman. It is possible that some are Roman—indeed their provenance places them clearly in the Roman era—but such are either Alexandrian in spirit or can be shown to be excellent copies of Greek originality. Certain types of objects can be shown to be Roman work, as they all betray a certain attitude toward the Ethiopian type which will be shown to be the psychology of Rome and not of Greece. Such objects will be treated separately under Rome. But the statuettes are definitely Greek in spirit. Their realism is softened with Greek charm or spiced with Alexandrian insolence; it is not the photographic and often inert realism of Rome. This chapter, then, covers statuettes definitely Alexandrian, others whose Greek or Roman origin is disputed by authorities equally worthy of consideration, a few from Roman times and places but with Greek parallels or ancestry and one or two for which the ex cathedra pronouncement of museum catalogues must be accepted.

Many more of these bronzes come from Egypt than do the terra-cottas. A few are masterly and all deserve fuller mention. They are as follows:

**Bronze Statuettes**


Statuette of an Ethiopian boy seated on the ground with his left leg drawn close to him and his right drawn up in front. His head rests on his hands which clasp his right knee.
His eyes are closed as if in sleep. The pose is traditional and occurs on terra-cottas and gems from the sixth century on.


Statuette of an Ethiopian seated on the ground, asleep, a tray of fruit in front of him and a tiny monkey on his right shoulder. He is probably, as Schreiber suggests, an Alexandrian fruit vendor taking his siesta by going to sleep at his post, with his wares in front of him. He is treated in strong caricature. His position is the traditional crouching one, his head resting on his hands, which clasp his right knee. His body is miserably thin, and the bony structure of his face stands out prominently. The hair is in rows of conventional locks like flower petals, the nose is short and broad and the thick lips are slightly parted. Height 0.05 m.


Young Ethiopian wearing trousers, his hands behind his back.


Bronze statuette of an Ethiopian youth carrying an amphora on his shoulder.


Statuette of a standing Ethiopian who holds a bird in his right hand. His hair is in wavy locks, his nose broad and his lips thick. He is heavy in build, and does not show the emaciated thinness characteristic of most negro portraits.

Bronze statuette of a negro usually interpreted as a dancer caught at one of the wildest moments of his dance. The left foot and left hand are gone, but the twist of the body indicates that he was momentarily poised on the toe of the foot which is missing, his right leg drawn up preparatory to the next leap of the dance. His head is thrown back and there is an expression of frenzy on his face. This is the traditional interpretation of the pose. But the left leg does not look as if it were maintaining the weight of the body, even momentarily, and the figure is probably swimming. The head is thrown back as if to keep it clear of the water. The expression of frenzy may mean that he is escaping from a crocodile.

His hair is in three rows of spiral curls; his forehead is deeply wrinkled. The eye-balls are inset in silver, with a hollow left to indicate the pupil. The nose rises from a depression between the eyes and broadens at the end. His mouth is large and his thick lips are parted in the abandon of the moment. The finger-nails are rendered with fidelity.

Fouquet says that from its provenance the statue can not be earlier than the first century A.D. It is true that it can not have been taken to Carnuntum earlier than this date, though that does not prove that it was not made earlier. Its pose is that of a figure in Lisbon, but the Lisbon figure is of much poorer workmanship. Either the Lisbon figure is a copy of this one or both are copies, one excellent and one poor, of an Alexandrian figure. The use of silver inlay for the eyes is not confined to either period, but occurs on well attested examples from both.

The figure has more life and motion than any other classical statuette of the Ethiopian type. Height (in its present state) 0.085 m. Fig. 18.


Boy seated on the ground in the usual crouching position,
asleep, his head resting on his right knee. The original publication does not call him a negro, but in subsequent references he is called without comment a negro. In the illustration available it is difficult to see any traces of the Ethiopian in his physiognomy, though his pose is the traditional seated posture familiar among statuettes of Ethiopians from an early period. This figure is more widely known than many better ones because it has been reproduced by casts in the Berlin and Zurich museums. The left arm and right foot are missing. It is included here because it is commonly known as an Ethiopian. Height 0.056 m.


Ethiopian boy crouching down on all fours, with head thrown back and turned to the right. The left arm is gone at the elbow, the left leg at the knee and the right arm at the shoulder. The suggestions offered by Perdrizet in explanation of the pose are (1) that he is undergoing punishment (which is entirely out of harmony with the mischievous expression of his face, and for which there is no parallel among representations of Ethiopians) or (2) that he is stalking some prey, such as a bird’s nest (which is admissible from pose and expression). It is more probable that like the Carnuntum and Lisbon figures he is swimming, as his legs are drawn up in swimming position, and what remains of his arms indicates that they also would be correctly placed for this interpretation. His head is held up as if to keep it clear of the water. Perhaps he is diving after coins. He has a parallel in the busts of diving negroes in the British Museum, and the Bibliothèque Nationale. His hair is in regular rows of curls, his eyes have hollows to represent the pupils, his nose is short and very broad at the base and his lips are thick, the lower one prominent. He wears a short tunic fastened about his waist. The left arm is gone at the elbow, the left leg at the knee and the right arm at the shoulder. The expression is full of mischief and lifelike, and this little figure is one of the most interesting of the genre portraits of negroes. Length 0.102 m.
Nude female figurine in stiff erect pose, the legs close together. The arms are missing and there are sockets where they were intended to be fastened to the figure. The hair is in conventional rows of flat locks, radiating from the top of the head as a center. The face is very round, with low forehead, nose short but not negroid, and thick full lips. Schreiber calls her an “Aegypterin,” Wace a negress. Height 0.21 m.

Bronze figure in the exact pose of the dancing Ethiopian from Carnuntum. Most of both arms is missing. The head, however, while bent in the same way, shows different features, the hair being conventionalized, and the expression of the face being softened from frenzy to passivity. The provenance of the figure is not given, but its relation to the other is indisputable. Its poorer workmanship would indicate a copy.

Dancing Ethiopian with a short chiton fastened over his left shoulder. The dance is not a furious one such as may be shown in the Carnuntum and Lisbon bronzes but a slower, more graceful measure. The dancer’s right arm is extended in front of him, with his left drawn back and bent at the elbow. He balances on his left foot, with his right foot poised in the air back of him. His head is bent back and turned toward the right. The hair is in rows of locks, and the broad nose and thick lips attest the negro origin. The head is large in proportion to the body, perhaps indicating a dwarf.
Figure 19.

Bronze Negro Boy.

In Metropolitan Museum of Art.
twisted mantle about his waist, revealing the soft modeling of the flesh. He carries some object in his hands and leans forward in what Miss Richter calls a walking attitude. This seems unlikely, as both knees are bent at more of an angle than would be normal in ordinary walking. It may be a posture in some barbaric dance, in which case the objects in his hands would be castanets, or he may be an athlete, and his position one of combat. There is a tenseness about the figure which the walking interpretation does not explain. It is possible that he is holding reins in his hand and driving, from the way his foot is braced.

The hair is in long spiral curls against the head, with a single curl in the middle of the long retreating forehead. The hollow eye-sockets were originally filled with some substance, probably silver, which has fallen away. The nose, rising from a depression between the eyes, is very broad at the base, and the slightly parted lips are thick, the lower one protruding. It is a fine example of Hellenistic art. Height $\frac{3}{16}$ in. (0.183 m.) Fig. 19.


This is the best known and probably the finest statuette of an Ethiopian. It came to light in the year 1763 at Châlon-sur-Saône in a chest, together with some other bronzes of evident Roman origin. The condition of the chest showed that it had not been buried long, though the mystery of its burial
was never solved. The grace of the figure and the skill of the work are the reasons for its assignment by all to the Hellenistic period, notwithstanding that the rest of the bronzes were Roman.

The statuette portrays an Ethiopian boy standing with his slim body bent gracefully at the waist, his left arm held in front of him as if supporting some object on his shoulder and his mouth open as if singing. It seems reasonably certain that his left hand held in place a trigonon which rested against his shoulder, and from which he is drawing the notes with his right hand, which is placed as if about to pick the strings. The dreamy sadness of his expression and the "langueur" of his pose give, as Collignon suggests, the illusion that he is actually singing some sad song of his homeland. The interpretation of Wace that he is a hawker crying his wares seems untenable, not only from the pose and the expression of the face, but because such hawkers are generally portrayed in caricature. The interpretation of Caylus and Heydemann, that he is wounded and twisting with pain, is not accepted by the others.

While the characteristic Ethiopian features are present, particularly in the profile, they are treated so that the effect is pleasing. The hair is arranged in formal stages of curls—the Alexandrian style—the forehead is wrinkled, the nose is not coarse, and the thickness of the lips is moderated. The upper row of teeth is indicated, and the eyes are inset in silver, with a hollow to indicate the pupil. The work is generally assigned to Alexandria. The height is only 0.20 m., but the work is so excellent that photographs give the illusion of a large statue. Fig. 20.


Ethiopian boy, standing, clad in a tunic which covers him from his neck to his knees and which is drawn in at the waist
Figure 20.
A Bronze Ethiopian Boy.
In Paris.
by a girdle tied in front. His pose would indicate that he is pulling some heavy object toward him, or holding reins to check horses, as his left foot and left shoulder are thrust forward, with his head inclined away from them. The arms are entirely gone, though there is an opening in the tunic on either side which shows where they emerged.

The hair is in the conventional rows of flat locks; the eyeballs are inset in silver; the nose is squat at the base; the lips are thick. The work is probably Alexandrian. Ht. 0.175 m.


Ethiopian standing, his hands behind his back, his body bent as in the Chalon-sur-Saône statuette. His hair is curly and his lower lip protrudes in exaggerated fashion.


Statuette of a negro boy standing with the weight on the left foot, his body bent at the waist in the pose of the preceding figure but turned in the opposite direction. His right arm is missing and his left is extended in front of him with palm upwards. His head inclines toward the left, his hair is in curls and his lips are thick.


Ethiopian standing with his weight on his right foot. Both arms are gone. The head is turned to the right, and shows curly hair, broad nose, and thick lips.


Bronze figurine in relief style, of an Ethiopian boy crouching down with his head on his right knee, asleep. His woolly hair is indicated by large round dots, and his thick lips are
parted. The exact provenance of this figure is unknown, but it is supposed to have come from Greece. He must be the earliest of the series and possibly dates from a previous period because of the technique of the hair.


Standing figure who has turned around as far as possible, and is making a gesture of thumb between fingers. He wears a cap on his curly hair and is slightly bearded. His hair in conventional rows of flat curls, suggests the Ethiopian. Ht. 0.145 m.

There is only one instance of a bronze Ethiopian life-size which, if it is genuinely an ancient work of sculpture, probably belongs in this period. Only the illustration in Reinach’s Répertoire is available, and this shows the general appearance of the boy to be unlike all other classical representations of Ethiopians.


Ethiopian boy, standing, with arms extended in front of him and palms upturned. His face is round and his build heavy. His hair is short and curly, his nose broad and his lips thick. His neck is awkward, being short and fat. The general appearance is unlike other ancient negroes.

In the Rhode Island School of Design in Providence is an unpublished interesting bronze head of a negro said to come from Alexandria.
CHAPTER IX

NEW HELLENISTIC EXPERIMENTS

Marble and Basalt

Previous to the Hellenistic era figurines were nearly all of the traditional terra-cotta with possibly a few of bronze, but in this period there was some experimenting in other materials. The suitability of some material inherently black for representing black skin now occurred to the sculptors and there are instances of basalt, black stone and black marble. One might expect a more frequent use of black materials, were it not that bronze itself suggests the negro skin so admirably and terra-cotta is so easily treated with black paint. However, the use of a black medium leaves no possible doubt as to the intention of the artist. Examples of black substance are as follows:


Basalt statuette of an Ethiopian boy, the arms broken off at the wrists and the legs broken off above the knees.

The hair is in close spiral curls all over the head, the nose broad and the lips thick and slightly parted, with the lower one protruding strongly. The hollow eye sockets were originally filled with some substance, probably silver, which has fallen away. There is a marked emphasis of the lower part of the facial structure. The whole is a very excellent and pleasing portrayal of the type.

The head inclines toward the right and the position of the arms shows that they were supporting some object on the left shoulder. The similar pose of the famous Châlon-sur-Saône statuette in the Bibliothèque Nationale, where the arms are placed as if holding the trigonon, or three-cornered lyre,
indicates that the correct restoration would be with the lyre. It is more prosaic but possible that he may be holding up a platter in the fashion of a terra-cotta figure found at Myrina, but the first interpretation seems more in keeping with the expression of his face. Height 0.40 m.


Head of an Ethiopian, of black stone.


Black marble statuette of an Ethiopian who has sunk to the ground and is resting on one knee. The awkwardness of the pose is perhaps due to the restoration, as base, plinth, and both legs below the knees are modern. His head turns toward the left, and his hands are behind his back as if tied. His hair is in long, conventionalized curls. His race is evidenced by his hair, lips, and the dark material of which he is made. Height 0.90 m. Also in Berlin (1579) is a black basalt head of a negro boy from Asia Minor dating from the second century A.D. In the British Museum is a portrait of a young Egyptian with curly hair, in green slate, from Alexandria, second century B.C.


Bust of an Ethiopian of basalt (in the collection of Lady Mary Vyner which was made by William Weddell, Esq., about the year 1765).

The experimenting artists of the Hellenistic era also attempted to show black in white. To represent an Ethiopian in white marble called for no little skill, as the artist was unaided in obtaining his effect by the color of his medium. It was necessary to convey his meaning by telling delineation of the physical marks of race. It is not surprising that such use of marble was infrequent and in this period it is confined to one example of relief sculpture, one statue in the round
in life size, two statuettes of great interest, and a remarkable gray marble head of a negress in the Museo delle Terme in Rome (illustrated in Arch. Anz. XXVI, 1911, p. 169).


Biga driven by an Ethiopian with a warrior walking in front of the horses. The negro, shown in profile, has curly hair, snub nose, thick lips, and wears a simple tunic drawn in at the waist. He leans forward over the horses, holding the reins in his left hand.

The meaning of the scene has not been explained, though Reinach suggests the Busiris myth. This is very unlikely, as there is no suggestion of Heracles in the warrior and no apparent point of contact with the story. Perhaps the Ethiopian is a charioteer about to enter a contest in the hippodrome. It seems more probable that the Ethiopian is Memnon's chariot driver, and that the warrior who precedes the horses is none other than the hero himself.


Pentelic marble statue of an Ethiopian slave boy, who carries in his left hand a ring from which are suspended a strigil and an ointment vessel for his master. His equipment shows him to be a bath attendant. The following are modern restorations: the right arm, shoulder and breast; the left side of the neck; part of the foot; and almost the whole support and plinth. The right hand has been restored as held out in front of him, holding a sponge. This is not an unlikely conjecture, for slaves holding sponges occur on the Corneto gem and a British Museum bronze. Helbig would prefer to have the hand restored as making some gesture to correspond with the mischief in the eyes, but this seems pointless.

One might expect some difference in the treatment of the
negro features, some idealizing in this portrait on a larger scale than the usual figurine, but this is not the case. The hair is short and woolly, and the nose and mouth are characteristic, though by no means displeasing in effect. He is probably a favorite attendant of some gentleman of the time, who wished to have him immortalized in marble.


Parian marble statuette of an Ethiopian acrobat balancing himself on his hands and chest with feet in the air on the back of a crocodile. Head and neck are stretched forward. His hair is in corkscrew curls and his nose is short and flat. The lips have been damaged so that their original outline is not clear.

The statuette as shown in the Collignon illustration was restored in certain parts, which have subsequently been removed. These are, according to Smith, the head and tail of the crocodile, the right leg, left knee, the feet, and both elbows of the acrobat, the forepaws and part of the rock plinth. According to Clarac, the hands also are modern. Height 2 ft. 5½ in., as restored.


Marble statuette in the identical pose of the above, except that there is a plain base instead of a crocodile and that the hands are closed instead of being spread out on the base. The legs are broken off at the knees, and very little of the base remains. The notice of its excavation states that it was a figure for a fountain.

The similarity of these two figures seems nowhere to have been pointed out. The pose is identical and either one is a copy of the other or both are copies of the same original. The London statuette is poorer work, and its face lacks entirely the liveliness of the other.
The position of the two bodies is identical and the modelling of the flesh very similar, the differences consisting in the head, the base, and (if the London figure has been restored in that place) the hands. There is no evidence in the case of the Villa Patrizi figure that he is balancing on a crocodile. The treatment of the hair is far better in the latter statuette, the ringlets of curly hair being carefully modelled. The Ethiopian has a mischievous grin and both rows of teeth are indicated. The provenance of both is Rome, the former having been taken from Rome to London by the first Earl Cawdor, the other having been excavated in 1908 in the Via Nomentana. But the workmanship and the presence of the crocodile presuppose an Alexandrian original, if the figures are not themselves Alexandrian. Both figures are fountain figures.

It seems possible to connect the Villa Patrizi figure with another piece of sculpture, something which cannot often be done in the case of representations of Ethiopians in art. This is another fountain figure, a young satyr, which recently came into the Smith College Museum and is published in the Bulletin of Smith College, Hillyer Art Gallery, for May, 1920. There is also a replica of the satyr fountain figure in Copenhagen in the Glyptotek Ny Carlsberg. In Rome in the Museo Nazionale Romano\(^1\) is also a statuette of a negro acrobat used as ornament of a fountain.

A comparison of the Villa Patrizi and Smith College figures reveals a similarity of treatment that leaves little doubt that the same sculptor modelled both. The outline of the form, the surface of the flesh and the delicate revelation of muscle show marked similarities. One common feature of both poses, though the satyr stands upright and the Ethiopian balances with feet in the air, is the sharp twist of the shoulder away from the chest necessitated by the supporting of a heavy weight. But the strongest resemblance is in the expression.

Both figures have their lips parted in the same impish smile. Both are surely the work of the same hand, which probably specialized in fountain figures. It is significant that the head of a satyr was found with the Villa Patrizi figure.

Not the least interesting use of the Ethiopian head during this period was its adornment of necklaces and earrings. In fact, it is from its occurrence as a pendant or amulet in this and earlier periods that the theory has been deduced that the Ethiopian was considered prophylactic in antiquity. There is no statement to this effect in ancient literature.

There is great charm in a few necklaces, of which neck and loop of the clasp are soldered each to the top of a tiny Ethiopian head, carved in garnet, and held in place in the chain by a collar of gold filigree work. In spite of the small size of these heads every feature is clearly distinguishable. The goldsmiths of the Hellenistic period showed great skill in rendering the hair by tiny twisted spirals of fine gold wire affixed to the head in rows to represent curls.

Of the type of necklace with the Ethiopian's heads at the clasp, the following examples are known:


Necklace of roller-shaped beads of gold and carnelian, strung alternately. At each end it terminates in the head of an Ethiopian, carved from carnelian, and held in place by a collar of spiral gold and a wig of gold wire twisted into rings to indicate curls. The necklace fastens by means of a gold hook attached to one head and a gold loop for it to pass through, attached to the other.


Part of a necklace terminating in the heads of a negro (Marshall) and a negress respectively, carved in garnet, to which hook and loop are soldered in the manner described above. The hair is rendered by rows of spirals of gold wire,
and the features are almost ape-like from the effect of the protruding lower jaw. From the evidence of the hair-dressing and the features, I consider that both heads represent women. The eyes were originally filled with some substance which has fallen away. Marshall places the work in the third century B.C.


Necklace terminating at each end in the head of an Ethiopian woman, carved in garnet, the hair indicated by spiral coils of gold wire in rows. The lower part of the face is prominent. Work of the third century B.C.


Broken necklace, the end which is preserved terminating in the head of an Ethiopian woman carved in garnet, the hair rendered by coils of fine gold wire. Work of the third century B.C.

Of similar technique and closely resembling the heads on necklaces is an ear-ring from the same period:


Ear-ring of twisted gold wire terminating in the head of an Ethiopian woman carved from garnet. Collar and hair are formed from coils of fine gold wire. The features are clear, and the profile is almost ape-like, with the protruding lower lip and jaw. Third century B.C. Height 0.019 m. Weight 30 grns.

The Ethiopian head as a pendant on a necklace, already met in the small objects from Naucratis and Cyprus, recurs again in the Hellenistic era on jewelry found in Italy and South Russia. The popularity of the type in Russia seems greater in this period than any other, as evidenced by the vases and terra cottas as well as the jewelry.

Three female heads of hollow gold, the thick lips showing Ethiopian blood. They have collars ornamented with gold filigree work, and ear-rings in the form of great loops of gold wire, which stand out at right angles to the head. While Marshall considers that they are either pin-heads or pendants, it seems more likely that they are the latter, and that they were held in place in the necklace by means of these loops. Unless the ear-rings had some such function, it hardly seems likely that they would be of such an exaggerated size. Work of the third century B.C. Height 0.02 m.


Pendant of hollow gold, with two heads in janiform fashion, both Ethiopian. On either side is an ear-ring common to both, and at the top is a wire loop by which it was suspended. The noses are short and broad, and the lips thick. Work of the third century B.C. Height 0.026 m.


Small Ethiopian masks, of gold, which served as pendants on a necklace. Found in graves in South Russia.


Three Ethiopian’s heads of dark blue glass, and one of paste, which probably served as pendants on a necklace.

To this series of jewelry belongs also an example from South Russia of an Ethiopian head as the head of a pin.


Gold pin decorated with a negro head carved from sardonyx. The Ethiopian head on gems seems to have passed out of fashion, though a garnet carved with the design of an Ethi-
Figure 21.
An Agate from Alexandria.
In Collection of D. M. Robinson.

Figure 22.
Clay Vase.
In Collection of D. M. Robinson.

Figure 23.
Bronze Ethiopian Slave Cleaning a Boot.
In the British Museum.
opian mask may belong in this period, since garnet heads appear on the necklaces and negro masks serve as pendants.


Garnet carved in cameo with the design of a negro mask in front view.


An interesting example of Greek work from Alexandria, which Seltman believes to be connected with the ruling family of Meroë and perhaps portrays them, is an agate carved to represent three conjoined heads. Part of the stone is black and this has been carved with the features of an Ethiopian woman. The artist has shown great skill in adapting a white band in the stone so that it appears to be the edge of her veil. The other two heads in lighter stone are a bearded man and a youth with Ethiopian features. Seltman suggests that this is either the handle of the lid of a casket or the head of a small sceptre, since a small vertical shaft has been drilled in the center of the stone. Height 1.25 m. Fig. 21.

The conception and the style are unique in the history of the Ethiopian type in art. This tricephalic agate appears to be genuine, and may serve to establish the authenticity of the following gem in the British Museum now listed as doubtful, since the subject is evidently the same:


Agate cut in cameo with the head of a veiled negress in full front. Authenticity doubtful.

The device of using the black part of the stone evidently anticipates the process described by King to fit the design, in connection with Renaissance cameos dating a little later than 1500 A.D. He stated that this age was "extremely fruitful in heads of negroes and also of negresses, the latter often in the character of Cleopatra holding to her breast the asp."
There is reason to believe that some of the latter are intended to commemorate the renowned black concubine of Clement VII, the mother of Alessandra dei Medici. Another reason, besides the celebrity of the sable beauty, that prompted the Florentine school to produce such swarms of miniature Ethiopians, was their discovery of the secret of staining black one of the layers of the common agate-onyx and obtaining thus the contrast, so great a desideratum in this style.” Cf. C. W. King, Antique Gems and Rings, p. 326.
CHAPTER X

THE CHARACTER OF THE ETHIOPIAN

It is from the Hellenistic figurines that we can draw our clearest picture of Ethiopian slave life in the Greek world, depicted with a realism which the most accurate literary account could not match. Mythology is forgotten, the legendary Ethiopian of Homer has disappeared in an age of skepticism. There is no illusion about his origin, and therefore no mystery about his features. While his features were never idealized, they were in the fifth century invested with a certain charm. His features are now a study in ethnography, an exercise in skill at delineating odd type. The Ethiopian is a vogue, a fashion and a subject to be seized and made to pose as he goes about his daily work. The artists have caught him in varied attitudes and occupations; but all seem to have in common that they are not menial. The Ethiopian slave is sufficiently rare and fashionable so that he is reserved for personal service or for entertainment.¹ Not until there was no longer a scarcity of Ethiopians were they assigned to heavier and more degrading tasks. Their Greek masters evidently appreciated what are now considered to be among the best of the negro qualities—personal loyalty, ready laughter and a gift for song and dance. Boys were the favorite slaves with Ethiopian women apparently second in favor. The little slave boys, a vogue with the rich, run about waiting on their masters, carrying dishes and amphoras, filling vases for the banquet from wine skins. In the Ashmolean Museum is a terra-cotta negro boy (no. 197) from Tarentum, asleep beside an amphora, and an ascus in the form of a negro boy.

¹ There is no doubt that they were slaves. A lecythus (G. 168) in the British Museum of Italic fabric of about 200 B.C. is in the form of an Ethiopian with hands crossed over his knees. On his breast is a placard showing that he is exposed for sale.
bent over to the ground (1922. 205; from Thebes, third century B. C.). In the British Museum Life room is a bronze negro slave cleaning a boot, illustrated in *Guide to the Exhibition Illustrating Greek and Roman Life*, 1920, fig. 142 (no. 266, Fig. 23). A small bronze statuette of Hellenistic times from Perugia acquired for the British Museum in 1908 shows a negro slave with curly hair with his right hand on his hip but his left hand raised high as if it originally carried a lamp. If entertainment is required as well as butler service, they sing songs which, to judge from the plaintive expression of their faces, were the ancestors of the present-day negro spirituals, and perhaps accompany themselves on the trigonon (nos. 214, 223) in lieu of a banjo. If a more exciting offering is required, they dance a furious, barbaric dance, a tribal dance of Africa, or perhaps a gentler measure more adapted to Greek restraint (nos. 211, 212, Fig. 18). Perhaps they hold boxing matches (no. 170) or even give an acrobatic performance with a tame crocodile (no. 229). And when their part of the entertaining is over, they drop off to sleep in the usual hunched-up crouching attitude (nos. 202, 220). They still accompany their masters to the palaestra (no. 228) and sometimes go on a hunting expedition with him to carry his equipment (no. 179). Perhaps they gain a meagre living by hawking fruit on the streets of Alexandria with a pet monkey to attract trade (no. 203), and their acrobatic stunts may have been street performances. Possibly they entertained travellers by diving for coins, a common sight in modern harbors (no. 209).

The only hint of education is the Ethiopian seated on a rock, writing on a scroll (no. 169). Was he some special slave, sufficiently valued by his owner so that it was considered worth while to train his intelligence?

It is in these figurines also that we first find in Greek art any sense of the pathos of the Ethiopian's lot, though compassion for the life of a slave is found in Greek tragedies. Heretofore the only emotional element present has been that
of humor and caricature; but among these terra-cottas and bronzes are a few which seem to show a consciousness of another mood. The artists regarded for an instant, not the strangeness which made the Ethiopian an object of entertainment to them, but the pathos of an exile from his own land (nos. 158, 159). This sentimentality is very fleeting and is nowhere met in the later and more matter-of-fact Roman art.

The distinction between a naturalistic representation and caricature is hard to make without having seen the original. This is no doubt the reason that in many museum catalogues heads and statuettes of Ethiopians are often wrongly called grotesques. From this the impression seems to have grown that the greater number of all ancient negro representations are grotesques, and their popularity has been explained from this standpoint. In reality we find among these figurines of Ethiopians very few of the distorted bodies and hideous faces which make the Alexandrian grotesques so distasteful, nearly all of them being simply cases of extreme naturalism. The few actual grotesques, and some of the realistic figurines, may perhaps be accounted for by the theory which Miss Richter advances, namely, that the grotesques represented stock characters in the mimes which had such an enormous popularity throughout the Hellenistic and Roman eras, and about the nature of which we have such scanty knowledge.

Euripides wrote a satyr play on the Busiris story in which he probably brought Ethiopians upon the stage, and both the Busiris and Andromeda myths were subsequently used by comedy writers. From the evidence of the vases, which often have comedy scenes and which frequently introduce Ethiopians, it is reasonable to suppose that the type became a familiar one on the comic stage. Certainly the evidence is circuitous and not direct, but it would be entirely natural that a race familiar in comedy and treated in caricature in art should develop into a stock character in the mime, perhaps the Aithiops. This would account for the masks of Ethi-
opians used as pendants on necklaces, and particularly for the life-sized mask of terra-cotta which was evidently intended to be worn in some play, procession or ritual, since eyes, nose and mouth are pierced through, and there are holes above the ears for the cord which held it in place. An Ethiopian girl is introduced upon the stage in the Eunuchus of Terence which had a Greek comedy original. She has no part in the plot but undoubtedly added to the humor. If the Aithiops was actually a stock buffoon in comedies or mimes, the number of figurines and gems which show him crouching down on the ground and peacefully sleeping may mean that this characteristic inactivity was the laugh-producing rôle by which he entertained Greek audiences.
CHAPTER XI

THE ETHIOPIAN IN ROMAN LITERATURE

However great the variations between the objects which display the Ethiopian type in the different periods of Greek art, all had one feature in common. Whether they were jewelry for the adornment of the person or statuettes to ornament the house, the motif may be said to occur almost entirely on objects intended solely for decoration. The only exceptions to this classification are the vases, some of which were undoubtedly used though they are at the same time highly decorative.

The Roman usage, on the other hand, is as generally utilitarian as the Greek is decorative, and the type is principally found on objects which have a definite useful function in addition to their attractive appearance. An artistic usage so markedly different in two nationalities presupposes not only a different artistic spirit but a difference of attitude toward the race portrayed.

The paucity of references to actual (not mythical) Ethiopians in Greek literature and the spirit in which they are shown in Attic art make it safe to believe that in Greece proper, negroes in the flesh were comparatively rare, until the Alexandrian period at least, and that the impression they made was due to their rarity and unusual appearance. In the Hellenistic era their popularity is due to the opportunities which their physiognomy gave for the expression of the extreme naturalism of the day, and does not necessarily show that great numbers of them were at large in the Greek world. The evidence of Theophrastus (cf. p. 10) would indicate the contrary. The first Ethiopians filtered into the Greek world by way of the Greek colonies in Africa or were brought there by the Persian invasion, and we have no evidence that the Greeks imported any black slaves through military aggression.
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The Romans on the other hand built up important colonies in Africa. The period of their establishment involved many military campaigns, and they were subsequently held by military rule. There can be no doubt that African tribes furnished the Romans with vast numbers of slaves and that in the Empire the dark races were a vastly more common sight at Rome than at Athens. The Romans would naturally be far more familiar with the Moor or Berber type of the Mediterranean colonies than with the South African. A more extensive knowledge of the latter races doubtless came when Rome took over the control of Egypt, where the type had been established for centuries. In this respect Roman literature gives scarcely more help than Greek in adding to our knowledge of the status of the Ethiopian at Rome. A study of the few references throws some light on the nomenclature employed to designate the dark-skinned races of the Roman world.

The earliest mention of Ethiopians occurs in the "Eunuchus" of Terence, where Parmeno has brought Thais what he considers two valuable gifts, a eunuch and an Ethiopian girl, and he complains of her indifference to his presents.

Nonne ubi mi dixti cupere te ex Aethiopia
ancillulam, relictis rebus omnibus,
quaesivi"? II. 165-7.

This is obviously a Greek and not a Roman scene and reflects the Greek attitude already mentioned that an Ethiopian was an exceedingly choice slave to possess. The entrance of the Ethiopian girl must have been a great novelty upon the Roman stage at this time and probably produced an incidental bit of hilarious comedy which delighted Roman audiences. The features were probably exaggerated and the whole appearance of the girl made as comic as possible. Probably she was the counterpart of the slave woman owned by the impoverished farmer Linylus, described in the pseudo-Vergilian Moretum (II. 31 ff.):
erat unica custos
Afra genus, tota patriam testante figura
torta comam, labroque tumens et fusca colore
pectore lata, iacens mammis, compressior alvo,
cruribus exilis, spatiosa prodiga planta.

This poem also is not Roman but is generally felt to be a translation of a Greek original, probably Alexandrian. Its minute realism is surely in the spirit of the Alexandrian art which produced the negro figurines.

A certain vogue at Rome for Ethiopian attendants probably in imitation of the Greek custom is implied. The bath slave so frequently met in Greek art is recalled in the Auctor ad Herennium, IV, 50, 63 "ab avunculo rogetur Aethiops qui ad balneas veniat." That dark slaves were choice is shown by the fact that the Nemesis of Tibullus, II, 3, 55 is surrounded by dark slaves from India and places exposed to the sun's fire, though Ethiopians are not specified by name:

illi sint comites fusi quos India torret,
solis et admotis inficit ignis equis.

To this passage Kirby Flower Smith gives the following note: "Colored attendants were a luxury specially affected by women like Nemesis largely because, as in England and France during the seventeenth and eighteenth centuries, they suggested the fortune and position of foreign potentates, nabobs etc." It is the foregoing Latin passages which Melville-White, author of "The Gladiators," probably had in mind when he describes the lady Valeria as attended by a negro boy who held her mirror (opening of Chapter II).

The influence of the Greek attitude in the earlier literary passages is proved by the fact that the Greek word Aithiops is transliterated into Latin and that the relationship between dark skin and the sun persists in the Roman mind. As in Greek it seems to be a generic term for any dark-skinned man without regard to the finer distinctions of origin as it is considered synonymous with Maurus.

Niger, the adjective from which are derived words used in
so many modern languages to designate the blacks, seems not to have been used substantively for this purpose in antiquity. As an adjective definitely connected with a dark-skinned race it occurs once in Juvenal, who refers to the bony hand of a black Moor (nigri manus ossea Mauri, V. 53), and a Moor is not a negro. As an adjective denoting complexion it appears in Martial’s unpleasant epigram listing half-breed children where it undoubtedly means black-skinned, Duæ sorores iela nigra et haec rufa VI 39, 18. It is unlikely that an Ethiopian is meant in Vergil’s second eclogue II. 16-18:

quamvis ilie niger, quamvis tu candidus esses
o formose puer, ninium ne crede colori:
alba ligustra cadunt, vaccinia nigra leguntur.

Conington considers that the passage simply means a swarthy complexion. The same meaning may attach to fuscus as used by Ovid to describe Andromeda (Heroides XV, 36-7)
candida si non sum, placuit Cepheia Perseo
Andromede, patriae fusca colore suae

as here fuscus is again contrasted with candidus. Andromeda though never dark in Greek art nevertheless was a princess of Ethiopia and from Roman literature went down in French literary tradition as black. In Tibullus, II, 3, 55 quoted above fuscus was used of the dark races of Asia. For the people of India is used also the word decolor: compare Ovid, Tristia, V, 324—et quascumque bibit decolor Indus aquas; Metamorph. 2, 21—decolor extremo qua tinguitur India Gange; Propertius, IV, 3, 10—tunus et Eoa decolor Indus aqua. That it was not always reserved for the people of India is shown by Juvenal who makes it synonymous with Aithiops, additional proof of the loose usage of this latter term in antiquity—Esse Aethiopis fortasse pater mox decolor beres imploret tabulas, VI, 600. The similarity of coloring between the people of India and Mauretania is observed by Lucan, IV, 678—tum concolor Indo Mauro.

The more restricted name Maurus is not often used with
reference to black slaves at Rome. Martial writes with
unmistakable contempt of the woolly hair of a Moor, VI 39,
Hic qui retorte crine Maurus incedit.

The Roman attitude toward the Ethiopian as expressed in
scattered passages is far less kindly than the Greek. The
usage in Terence and the Auctor ad Herennium which imply
a vogue for Ethiopians is probably in imitation of Greek
usage. How early the Roman attitude crystalized into racial
feeling it is hard to say, and as those who express it are chiefly
satirists one must be careful in drawing conclusions. Never­
theless in the absence of any expressed good will and in the
face of references which have a superior or contemptuous tone
it is evident that the Romans had no special affection for
Ethiopians at Rome, however romantically they may have
spoken of the races of distant India.

The earliest passage in which they are spoken of slightingly
seems to be in Cicero—cum hoc homine an cum stipite Ae­
thiope, Cicero, De Sen., 6. The word does not occur in all the
manuscripts and the Oxford and Teubner texts omit it en­
tirely. In notes it is translated "blockhead" and the statement
made that in antiquity the Ethiopians were synonymous with
stupidity, a conclusion obviously drawn from the passage and
the modern attitude toward them. Even if the word was
actually used by Cicero, this passage alone is basis for such
a theory. That it was thought dangerous or at least bad luck
to meet them is reflected in Juvenal, V, 54-5, et cui per
medium nolis occurrere noctem, clivosae veheris dum per
monumenta Latinae. Cf. also Florus, IV, 7, et in aciem
prodeuntibus obvius Aethiops minis aperte feralesignum fuit.

The physical characteristics of the Ethiopian were put for­
ward with brutal realism in the Moretum, which undoubtedly
had its influence whether it was original or a translation.
Juvenal illustrates clearly that they had gone out of favor at
Rome when he relates how a black Moor is delegated to serve
the poor guests, while a more choice Asiatic slave waits on
the patron and host. It is true that "duo Aethiopes capil-
lati" carried wine between two of the countless courses of Trimalchio's feast (cf. p. 79). Trimalchio's main object was to show off the extent and variety of his retinue though perhaps Petronius is giving an additional example of a provincial who brings Ethiopians into his dining-room when they had gone out of favor as table attendants at Rome.

At Carthage Ethiopians were more highly esteemed probably than at Rome, as two Latin epigrams praise the Egyptian hunter Olympius, who had undoubtedly given many a performance in the amphitheater. Cf. Anthologia Latina (Riese) I, Nos. 353, 354:

\[
\text{Nil tibi forma nocet nigro fuscata colore}
\]
\[
\text{Vivet fama tui post te longaeva decoris}
\]
\[
\text{Atque tuum nomen semper Karthago loquetur.}
\]

Certainly Martial has only scorn for them in VI, 36 and VII, 87 and Juvenal sums up the racial feeling in the words derideat Aethiopem albus, II, 23.

The Elder Pliny deals at some length with Ethiopia itself but mentions no Ethiopians at Rome. The Ethiopians of Greek mythology and poetry, burned by the sun, are recalled in Macrobius (Somn. Scip., 2, 10, 11—Aethiopes . . . quos vicinia solis usque ad speciem nigri coloris exurit.

The immoral relations with them implied in Martial, VI, 39 and Juvenal, VI, 559-600 recall certain passages in the Arabian Nights and doubtless had some basis in fact, though they are probably the exaggeration of isolated incidents into an accusation against the times after the manner of all satirists.

Nevertheless the evidence of literature would not lead one to anticipate any idealizing of the type in Roman art, and a study of the objects shows a complete disillusionment in regard to the Ethiopians. Excessive propinquity has banished the last traces of mythical Ethiopia.
CHAPTER XII

THE ETHIOPIAN IN ROMAN ART

The use most commonly made of the Ethiopian head at Rome was its adaptation to small lamps, both of bronze and terra-cotta. In these the head rests in a horizontal position, and the hole for the wick is either the open mouth of the Ethiopian or a nozzle projecting from his mouth. The following is a partial list of such lamps:

BRONZE


Lamp in the form of an Ethiopian’s head, face up. The hair is indicated as thick and closely curling by means of incised rings in the metal with a dot in the center of each. A nozzle with a trefoil termination projects from the Ethiopian’s open mouth. Ht. 4½ in.


Head of an Ethiopian, face up, with thick, woolly hair, a plait of which forms the handle, and which is modelled even on the cover of the filling-hole at the top of the head. He holds the long nozzle in his open mouth. Length 3½ in. Also in the Parthian room of the British Museum are bronze lamps of the Hellenistic period in the form of a negro’s head with open mouth.


Lamp in the form of an Ethiopian’s head, face up, the hair quilled in rows. The face is unpleasantly elongated. A curved piece projects from the mouth to form the spout, and the hole for filling is in the hair above the forehead. The
cover, on which the hair was probably modelled also, is missing. The eyes are wide open. Length 0.105 m.


Lamp in the form of an Ethiopian’s head, face up, with hair in long curls standing out irregularly from his head. All the features are exaggerated—the wide open eyes, high cheek bones, short, flat nose, and huge, gaping mouth. The forehead is long and retreating, the cover for the filling-hole forming the upper part of the forehead. This lamp is one of the most realistic of the series, and the best from an artistic point of view.

247a. Helbig, Bullettino, 1874, p. 84.

Half of a bronze lamp from Alexandria in the form of an Ethiopian’s head. According to Helbig it has the peculiarity that this half can function independently of the other half.

It is impossible to give a complete list of the many bronze lamps with negro heads. There are good examples from Aquileia, several in Trieste (Alinari photograph 3207). Cf. also Loeschcke, Lampen aus Vindonissa, p. 480 (292), n. 457.

**CLAY**


Lamp in the form of an Ethiopian’s head, with the filling-hole in the forehead. The nozzle is missing. The hair is thick and curly, the eye-brows are raised and the teeth indicated. Work of the Roman Period according to Walters. Length 2½ in.


Lamp in the form of an Ethiopian’s head, face up. The mouth of the Ethiopian forms the wick-hole, and the lower lip and chin are modelled below it. The eyes are half closed
and the cheek-bones prominent. The lamp is glazed black. Height 2 1/4 in.


Lamp, glazed dark brown, the top in the form of an Ethiopian’s head with grotesque features. The mouth is grinning widely, exposing the teeth, and the hair is closely curled. Length 5 1/4 in.


Unglazed clay lamp in the form of an Ethiopian’s head, with the spout below his chin. His hair is in three rows of thick curls, his eyes are wide open and his nose is short and broad. Underneath the base is inscribed. Length 3 3/8 in.


Lamp with black glaze, in the shape of an Ethiopian’s head, the nozzle projecting from the wide open mouth. The curly hair is indicated by rings raised in the clay, set close together. The eyes are wide open, the nose broad and flat. The upper row of teeth is indicated. Height 4 1/2 in.


Lamp with plain handle and nozzle, the circular space between them containing the design of the head of a boy or an Ethiopian. The lamp has a dull red glaze. Roman work of the second century A.D. Length 4 in., diam. 2 3/8 in.


Lamp in the form of an Ethiopian’s head, the open mouth forming the nozzle. The clay is light brown, with a red glaze. The hair is indicated by raised rings in the clay. Ht. 2 1/4 in.


Fragment of a lamp from Tarentum, showing the head of an Ethiopian in relief. The clay is light brown, with a glaze.
shading from red to dark brown. The lips are thick, the nose short and the hair indicated by raised dots.


Unpublished lamp in the form of an Ethiopian’s head. The nozzle is formed by his open mouth and his teeth are shown. The nose is flat and broad at the nostrils. He has high cheekbones and a high forehead.

Purely Roman are the bronze vases in the form of figurines or heads of Ethiopians, which probably served as receptacles for perfumes:


Bronze vase in the form of a crouching Ethiopian, asleep, his head between his knees and his fists pressed against either cheek. His nose is flat, his mouth is open, and his hair is arranged in symmetrical, flat locks against his head. A circular opening at the top of his head seems to indicate that he served as a perfume vase. Ht. 0.08 m.


Bronze vase in the form of a sleeping Ethiopian, draped in a mantle, seated upon some object which he seems to guard. His head is wreathed in a garland, in grotesque contrast to his squat nose, thick protruding lips and fast-closed eyes. He probably served as a perfume vase. Ht. 0.114 m.


Bronze head of an Ethiopian in the form of a vase. Parts of rings for a handle still remain in the hair.

This is a striking portrait of a fine type of African. The hair is thick, and arranged in long rows of curls about his head, and the beard also is rolled into eight separate curls which hang from his cheeks. The eyes are wide open and
alert in expression. The cheek-bones are prominent, the nose short and broad, the mouth large and slightly open. Yet in spite of the faithful rendering of racial detail, there is a certain power in the expression of the face. Ht. 0.158 m.


Bronze vase, probably a receptacle for perfume, in the form of the bust of an Ethiopian slave. His head is turned to the right, and his eyes are closed as if asleep. His hair is in formal curls. Ht. 0.057 m.


Bronze vase in the form of a bust of a young girl. An elaborate handle passes through two rings at the top of her head. She is called a ngress by Stern, but Seltman is correct in failing to see any negro characteristics in the physiognomy beyond a suggestion of thickness in the lips. The coiffure in three tiers of soft curls is an example of the elaborate hair-dressing of the Roman empire, rather than the woolly hair of an Ethiopian.

Not unlike the figurines in the form of vases are two ink-wells of bronze:


A receptacle in the form of an Ethiopian slave, crouching on a cone-shaped eminence, with an oval opening between his feet which indicates that he served as an atramentarium. Both his knees are drawn up; his face rests on the palm of his left hand, with his elbow supported on his left knee, while his right hand rests on his right knee. Some drapery, tied about his waist, falls down in back of him. His hair is in rows of long curls, and his features are coarse. The eyes are staring in expression and the mouth is half open. Ht. 0.088 m.
Receptacle in the form of a negro slave crouching on an eminence, with both knees drawn up and chin resting between them. He clasps with both hands a goatskin sack, which he supports on his back. His hair is in regular rows of curls, his eyes staring, his nose flat and his large mouth partly open. At the left of his feet is the repository for ink, a small vase with a conical cover. Ht. 0.069 m.

Even more utilitarian than lamps, perfume vases and inkwells are the small bronze busts of Ethiopians used as weights on steel-yards. Some are solid. Others are hollow, perhaps as a device for adjustment of the weight by filling them with some substance.

Bust of an Ethiopian boy, his head coiffed with a four-petalled flower upside down, through the stem of which is pierced the hole for suspension. His hair is in short curls arranged in rows; his forehead is concave above the temples; his eyes were originally inset with some substance which has fallen away, probably silver; his nose is short; his lips thick and slightly parted. Ht. 0.085 m.

Bust of a negro with a round face, his hair falling about his head in long spiral curls. His forehead is concave and heavily wrinkled; his eyes are inset with silver; his nose is short and broad; and his thick lips are parted to show the upper row of teeth. On either side, at the top of his head, is a ring through which passed the handle by which he was suspended. Ht. 0.145 m.

Schreiber calls it a vase and suggests that it was used as a weight by filling it. Perhaps it served as a perfume vase. Neck and head are hollow.
265a. Vase in Tübingen in form of negro bust. Ht. 0.06 m. From Egypt. Cf. Goessler in Antike Plastik, Amelung zum sechzigsten Geburtstag, p. 80, fig. 5, p. 86.


Clay bust of negro with chain about the front of his neck only, perfectly preserved, covered with black glaze. The Hellenistic prototype was of metal. Sad, almost weeping expression. Flat nose, two wrinkles in forehead. Hair arranged like the Egyptian royal wig, in rectangles behind as well as in front. On either side of opening suspension hole. Ht. 0.11 m. W. 0.08 m. Fig. 22. A replica of that in Tübingen. Cf. Pagenstecher, Expedition E. v. Sieglin, II, 3, p. 205, pl. 29; Antike Plastik Amelung, 1928, p. 80, fig. 6.


Bronze figurine of an Ethiopian slave cleaning a boot (calceus), crouching down and supporting himself on his right knee. He holds the boot in his left hand and applies the sponge to it with his right. His woolly hair, indicated by rows of raised dots, is bound with a fillet. From the top of his head rises a cylindrical eminence pierced through with a hole. This was probably for a ring by means of which the figure could be suspended. Ht. 4 in. Fig. 23.


Bust of an Ethiopian, with a suspension ring at the back of his neck. He wears a conical cap, and his eyes are inset with garnets. Ht. 6 in.


Bust of an Ethiopian set in a three-petalled flower which covers part of his chest. The hair is in three rows of flat curls, but the features are not negroid. Babelon and Blanchet consider the bust a negro, but Caylus makes no mention of
the possibility of negro blood and thinks it represents a
woman. The ring for suspension is at the top of the head.
Ht. 0.1 m.

119, no. 2073.

Head of an Ethiopian, used as a weight, from lower Italy.
There is a group of four small bronze busts of Ethiopians,
the purpose of which is obscure. They represent the upper
part of divers, with arms stretched out in front and with a
flat metal extension at their backs. If they were uniform in
weight, their flat bases might mean that they were balance
weights. From their general shape they might have been
handles on the lid of some bronze receptacle.

Reinsch, Répertoire de Statuaire, III, p. 158, no. 6.

Head and arms of an Ethiopian, of bronze, with thick lips
and hair in spiral curls. He holds some objects (probably a
shell-fish) between his out-stretched hands. There is a short
metal extension from his back. The position of his head,
which is thrust back as if being held out of water, and the
object in his hands, show that he is a diver.

Walters, Catalogue of Bronzes, p. 269, no. 1674.

Upper part of a diver with woolly hair and Ethiopian
features. His arms are extended in front of him and he holds
between his hands a shell-fish which he has just brought up.
At his back is a flat metal extension. Length 5½ in.

272. London—British Museum. Cf. Walters, Catalogue of Bronzes,
p. 269, no. 1675.

Bronze bust of an Ethiopian diver similar to the foregoing,
but without the metal extension. The hair is more sym-
metrically arranged. Length, 4¾ in.; ht. 1¾ in.

Bronzes, p. 443, no. 1017.

Bronze bust of an Ethiopian diver, similar to the foregoing.
He has the long metal extension at his back. Ht. 0.042 m.; length, 0.091 m.

The Bibliothèque Nationale has two bronze nails which terminate in the head of an Ethiopian:


Bronze nail with the head of an Ethiopian in semi-round relief style, at the top. Roman work. Ht. 0.034 m.


Bronze nail with head similar to the foregoing. Ht. 0.025 m.

There is a single instance of a bronze terminal figure with an Ethiopian’s head, which probably marked the boundary of some Roman gentleman’s property:


This completes the list of adaptations of the motif to utilitarian objects. Most of them are commonplace, and only a few are of value from the artist’s standpoint. More care has been expended in the workmanship of two bronze pendants, which seem to be the sole survivals of the Greek and Etruscan use of the type on jewelry, since a gold mask of the Roman period from Egypt is too large to be an ornament.


Bronze pendant in the form of the head of an Ethiopian boy. His hair is in three rows of spiral curls, radiating from the top of his head, where the ring for suspension is fastened. His eyes are wide open, his nose snub, and his lips thick. On his neck is a collar ornamented with a bulla. Height 0.062 m.


Circular bronze pendant, the border encrusted with silver.
The center has an ornamentation, applied on it, the head of an Ethiopian modelled in bronze, in high relief. His hair is in spiral curls, his nose is snub and his lips are thick. The hole for suspension is in the border above the head. Diam. 0.04 m.


Gold mask of a negro, his hair indicated by raised dots. Work of the Roman period, from excavations at Benghazi and Teuchira. Ht. 0.14 m.

Among the purely decorative bronzes are two busts published by Bienkowski, in which a woman of Moorish type is used as a personification of Africa; coins of Mauretania and Numidia display a similar type.


Bronze bust similar to the foregoing but of poorer workmanship.


Bronze bust of a woman personifying Africa, with round flat face, full cheeks and thick lips. Her hair falls in three rows of spiral curls.

282. Coins of Mauretania and Numidia. Cf. L. Müller, Monnaies de l'ancienne Afrique, III, p. 43, no. 58; 100, 15, 107, 1; Bienkowski, Corporis Barbarorum Prodromus, p. 94.

Coins with the type of a female head personifying Africa, her hair in long spiral curls.

There remain to be described only a few decorative bronzes and marbles. Most of these are of as fine workmanship as any portraits of Ethiopians which Greece produced. They may be the work of Greek artists at Rome. The last of them, a marble head in life size, is from every standpoint the finest portrait of a man with Ethiopian blood. Fig. 24.
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Life-sized marble bust assigned to the Flavian period from the cutting of the hair, which is similar to that of female portraits of the period. The man is called a barbarian with negro blood. Before deciding as to his race, one must imagine away the restorations, which include: most of the nose; part of the ears; most of the bust and part of the panther skin which hangs over his shoulder. The nose has been restored as long and pointed, and there is no clue as to its original outlines. When the nose is covered over the effect of the face is more negroid. The hair is tightly curling all over the head, and the lips are fairly thick, although the mouth is not large. The panther skin would seem to point to an African origin.


Black marble head and torso of an Ethiopian boy, who holds a pigeon in his left hand. His hair is short and thickly curling, his nose snub and his lips thick. His head bends toward the bird in his hand. The right arm below the elbow is missing, and the legs below the knee. The work is probably of the Roman period, since Susa was a Roman colony.


Head of an Ethiopian of serpentine marble. It is probably a work of the Roman period, because of the use of colored marble.


In the “Triumph of Dionysus,” principal relief on a marble sarcophagus from the burial ground of the Licinii Crassi on the Via Salaria, two Ethiopian children are shown riding each on the back of one of the two panthers who draw the triumphal car of the god.

Small bronze bust of an Ethiopian boy wearing a tunic, a sleeved cloak, (paenula) and a hood (cucullus) which is drawn over his shoulder and held by his left hand. The hair is a mass of short curls, the nose snub, the lips thick and parted. The work is excellent in the rendering of detail. The individual and racial characteristics are rendered in a most lifelike manner, even to a bump on the forehead above the right eye. It was found in the house of a baker adjoining his bakeshop.


Small bronze bust of an Ethiopian boy, his hair in curls, his lips thick, protruding and partly open. A strap is slung over his shoulder and hangs down his chest to the left, as if he were carrying some object suspended by it on that side. Ht. 0.045 m.


Life-sized marble head of a man with unmistakable Ethiopian blood. His woolly hair, cut close to his head, is wonderfully rendered in the marble. He is markedly dolichocephalic and his forehead is low and retreating. The eyes are large, prominent and set wide apart, and the pupils are indicated by small round hollows in the surface. The nose is broken off, but enough remains to show that it must have been fairly short and broad at the nostrils. The lips are thick, though the mouth is not large. The hair of the growing beard is skilfully indicated on the cheeks, chin and upper lip. The ears are small and set low in the head below the line of the eyes. The marble has taken on a patina which creates the
illusion of dark skin, though the marble was originally white. There is no prominence of the jaw structure and consequently no trace of savagery in the effect. The intelligent expression of the eyes offsets the low forehead.

Schrader in his original publication of the head concludes that the technique is that of the second or possibly third century A. D. This was a period of realism in portraiture and it is safe to assume that we have here a fair likeness which is reliable evidence in identifying him. Both the unusual facial type and the date assigned to the workmanship favor the theory offered by Graindor that this splendid work of art represents a certain Memnon, one of the three τρόφιμοι of Herodes Atticus, the famous patron of art and learning in the reign of the emperor Hadrian. The head was found at Thyreatis (near the modern Loukou) in the Peloponnesus, land that has subsequently proved to be property once owned by Herodes Atticus. It is known from literature that Herodes set up herms of his τροφιμι after their deaths (Graindor, loc. cit.) and herms of the other two, with inscriptions, have been found on other estates. There is every reason to believe that the marble head now in Berlin once was part of a marble herm of the third τρόφιμος, Memnon, set up by Herodes Atticus on this Peloponnesian estate. There could be no better name for a man with Ethiopian blood than one associated with the most famous king of legendary Ethiopia. Likewise Schrader had concluded from the care given to every detail of hair and beard that the head belonged to a bust intended to be inspected at close range, rather than a full-sized statue set upon a pedestal.

The skill of the artist is revealed in the way in which he has contrived to unite in the face at the same time the marks of refinement and of primitive origin. The combination of Greek skill and Roman fidelity to nature make this head a fitting close for the long series of representations of the Ethiopian race in the art of the two great states of the ancient world. Figs. 24 a and b.
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